

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 41903

**Title:** Psoriatic fasciitis in a pediatric patient: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 00646503

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Fang-Fang Ji

**Date sent for review:** 2018-10-16

**Date reviewed:** 2018-10-16

**Review time:** 7 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read the Case Report by Otar Yener G et al. entitled " Psoriatic fasciitis in a pediatric patient: A case report". The paper is scientifically accurate, complete and fully comprehensive to the reader. The reference section is adequate, updated and appropriate to back up the points made in the article. The study conveys a clear-cut

message. I have no major comments on this paper. However, I provide several suggestions in order to make the paper more attractive to readers. In the introduction the authors mention the classification criteria for the identification of the Juvenile psoriatic arthritis (JPsA) referring to a 2004 paper (Petty RE and the International League of Associations for Rheumatology, J Rheumatol 2004; 31: 390-392). I see, however, in literature, new classification proposals that date back only a year ago (Zisman D et al., Juvenile Psoriatic Arthritis: A Report from the GRAPPA 2017 Annual Meeting.) J Rheumatol Suppl. 2018 Jun; 94: 11-16; Helliwell PS et al. Prologue: 2017 Annual Meeting of the Group for Research and Assessment of Psoriasis and Psoriatic Arthritis (GRAPPA) J Rheumatol Supplement 2018 Jun; 94: 1-3). The authors should better specify. In the description of the clinical case the authors claim that "Genetic testing for autoinflammatory diseases revealed no pathology". The authors must specify with particularity what these genetic tests are. In the discussion, the authors should introduce the reference for their affirmation: "Dactylitis is a common manifestation in younger children, whereas axial arthritis and enthesitis are more common in older children".

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

**<https://www.wjgnet.com>**

[ ] Duplicate publication

[ ] Plagiarism

[ Y ] No