



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 42374

Title: Efficacy of 0.5-L vs. 1-L of polyethylene glycol plus ascorbic acid as an additional bowel cleansing method for inadequate bowel preparation

Reviewer’s code: 03535487

Reviewer’s country: Italy

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-10-24

Date reviewed: 2018-10-24

Review time: 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> General
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The topic is very important for the gastroenterologists who perform colonoscopy. the work is well done and written with clear conclusions. My advice is to specify better which was the motivation to perform the colonoscopy, if the colonoscopy was made to



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

control inflammatory IBD, follow-up after colorectal carcinoma resection, screening or prevention after positive bland stool examination. About the limitation of the study, it should be addressed the correlation of the last rectal effluent based on the type of diet (+/- rich in vegetables) and body Surface area. Clearly the satisfaction to receive 0,5 L should be correlated also with the rectal volume capacity that could be measured with contrast enema or CT scan. My advice for the next study is to calculate the satisfaction based on the ratio rectal volume/amount of medium. The english Language should be revised.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No