



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42874

Title: Comparison of ESD with surgical gastrectomy for early gastric cancer: an updated meta-analysis

Reviewer’s code: 03012124

Reviewer’s country: Spain

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-10-16

Date reviewed: 2018-11-12

Review time: 26 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting meta-analysis study about the comparison of ESD with surgical gastrectomy for early gastric cancer. In this study, the authors eligibled fourteen studies (5112 patients) for analysis. The data is very big and informative. Comparisons of



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

clinical parameters or outcomes between ESD and resection surgery for EGC are still lacking and controversial. Although several previous meta-analysis either enrolled limited studies nor didn't include the survival comparison, the long-term outcomes in patients with sufficient follow-up who have undergone ESD and surgery remain unclear. In this aspect, this met-analysis is meaningful, and useful. The results are excellent, and well discussed. I have no specific comments. Only some minor language polishing should be corrected.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42874

Title: Comparison of ESD with surgical gastrectomy for early gastric cancer: an updated meta-analysis

Reviewer’s code: 02992676

Reviewer’s country: Australia

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-11-15

Date reviewed: 2018-12-03

Review time: 18 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The endoscopic submucosal dissection technique is now a more acceptable endoscopic approach for the treatment of early gastric cancer without lymph node metastasis compared to endoscopic mucosal resection. It has been confirmed that endoscopic



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

submucosal dissection involves the resection of both benign neoplastic and malignant noninvasive lesions, and enjoys the advantages of higher curative resection and histologically complete resection rate, and lower local recurrence rate for early gastric cancers. However, the long-term outcomes in patients with sufficient follow-up who have undergone endoscopic submucosal dissection and surgery remain unclear. In this study, the safety and efficacy of the short- and long-term outcomes between endoscopic submucosal dissection and resection surgery are compared. 1 Overall, this study is well designed, and the methods are described in detail. Results are interesting and meaningful. 2 The criteria for inclusion and exclusion are proper. And number of studies included are big. 3 The figure 3 and figure 5 are very small, it's difficult to read the words in the figures. Big and more clear figures should be provided. 4 Table 1 is good And figure 1 made a good flow chart of study enrollment. 5 The limitations are listed in the discussion section. Are there any plans to display a update met-analysis study? Any proposes ways to resolve those limitations? 6 A minor language editing is required.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

Fax: +1-925-223-8243

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 42874

Title: Comparison of ESD with surgical gastrectomy for early gastric cancer: an updated meta-analysis

Reviewer’s code: 02992570

Reviewer’s country: Japan

Science editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date sent for review: 2018-11-15

Date reviewed: 2018-12-03

Review time: 18 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This study is a comprehensive meta-analysis comparing clinical outcomes between ESD and surgery in treating EGC. The patient numbers involve are very big. The advantages of ESD for the treatment of early gastric cancer are confirmed. The manuscript is very



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

well written, however, an editing or update according to the journal's style is required.
Also, some minor language polishing should be corrected.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No