

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 43088

**Title:** Viewpoints of the target population regarding barriers and facilitators of colorectal cancer screening in the Czech Republic

**Reviewer's code:** 02839880

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-10-26

**Date reviewed:** 2018-11-07

**Review time:** 17 Hours, 12 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting paper that aimed to investigate the viewpoints of asymptomatic subjects older than 50 years regarding the source of information for and barriers and facilitators of CRC screening. In general the manuscript is good; English language is



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://** www.wjgnet.com

adequate. Please check throughout the text for grammar and spelling errors. The tables and figures are clear and useful. I would suggest to include more references in the text about possible screening and early diagnosis methods (e.g. Falzone L, et al Aging (Albany NY). 2018 May 18;10(5):1000-1014; Godos J et al World J Gastroenterol. 2017 Mar 14;23(10):1909-1919.; Rametta S et al BMC Surg. 2013;13 Suppl 2:S17). In the conclusion paragraph I would include a statement on the future application and utility of questionnaires for CRC screening.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 43088

**Title:** Viewpoints of the target population regarding barriers and facilitators of colorectal cancer screening in the Czech Republic

**Reviewer's code:** 00503587

**Reviewer's country:** New Zealand

**Science editor:** Xue-Jiao Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2018-11-20

**Date reviewed:** 2018-11-22

**Review time:** 14 Hours, 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This work focuses on the perspectives of subjects who are within the age for CRC screening

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS**

1. The Methods of the ABSTRACT includes the number of subjects. These are results - this needs to be moved to the results section
- 2.



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,  
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://** [www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

The INTRODUCTION is too long and should be shortened and focused more 3. The second sentence of the INTRO includes three incidence figures - accordingly the verb should be plural 4. In the INTRODUCTION it is stated that gynaecologists are involved - is this correct? 5. Abbreviations are used on page 7 without explanation 6. The RESULTS section would be enhanced by the use of subheadings 7. The DISCUSSION could be abbreviated which would enhance flow and readability

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No