

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44811

Title: Early gastric cancer diagnostic ability of ultrathin endoscope loaded with laser light source

Reviewer's code: 00068348

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2018-12-12

Date reviewed: 2019-01-06

Review time: 4 Hours, 25 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This article deals with diagnosis of gastric cancer by ultrathin endoscope loaded with a laser light source compared with that of the conventional endoscope. The number of patients included was sufficient. Although the majority of the patients included in the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

conventional endoscopy group. The point is that actually there is no statistical significant difference between the 2 methods in all the aspects of comparing them. The only advantage is that it is better tolerated by the patients!!

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 44811

Title: Early gastric cancer diagnostic ability of ultrathin endoscope loaded with laser light source

Reviewer's code: 04091933

Reviewer's country: Russia

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Date sent for review: 2019-01-21

Date reviewed: 2019-01-23

Review time: 17 Hours, 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have demonstrated that the coherent light source can actually improve the diagnostic results with ultrathin endoscopes: in the study the ultrathin endoscope with a laser source showed a diagnostic ability that was not inferior to that in the conventional



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https:// www.wjgnet.com

endoscope. In my opinion, it is important that this ability was maintained even when examining patients with gastric cancer after *Helicobacter pylori* eradication. The biopsy implementation and prediction rates were also not significantly different between the groups. The main limitations of the study are described by the authors and do not affect the quality. If prospective multicenter studies will be performed, it can be expected that the minimally invasive ultrathin endoscope may possibly become the first-choice screening examination in gastric cancer diagnosis. Tables and figures in the article are of good quality. However, the disadvantage that requires correction is the lack of references for recent years (2015–2018).

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No