**Name of Journal: *World Journal of Gastroenterology***

**Manuscript NO: 45388**

**Manuscript Type: CASE REPORT**

**Intra-abdominal desmoid tumors mimicking gastrointestinal stromal tumors—8 cases: A case report**

Kim JH *et al.* Intra-abdominal DT mimicking GIST

Jwa-Hoon Kim, Min-Hee Ryu, Young-Soo Park, Hyun-Jin Kim, Hyo-Jung Park, Yoon-Koo Kang

**Jwa-Hoon Kim, Min-Hee Ryu, Yoon-Koo Kang,** Department of Oncology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul 05505, South Korea

**Young-Soo Park,** Department of Pathology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul 05505, South Korea

**Hyun-Jin Kim, Hyo-Jung Park,** Department of Radiology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, Seoul 05505, South Korea

**ORCID number:** Jwa-Hoon Kim (0000-0002-0838-0111); Min-Hee Ryu (0000-0002-1033-1263); Young-Soo Park (0000-0001-5389-4245); Hyun-Jin Kim (0000-0002-9434-7173); Hyo-Jung Park (0000-0002-2364-9940); Yoon-Koo Kang (0000-0003-0783-6583).

**Author contributions:** Kang YK designed the research; Kim JH and Ryu MH performed the research; Park YS contributed to analysis of the pathology data; Kim HJ and Park HJ contributed to analysis of the radiology data; Kim JH wrote the paper.

**Informed consent statement:** All procedures performed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and the Helsinki Declaration of 1964 and later versions. Informed consent or a substitute of consent was obtained from all patients prior to inclusion in the study.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected byan in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Unsolicited manuscript

**Corresponding author: Yoon-Koo Kang, MD, PhD, Doctor,** Department of Oncology, Asan Medical Center, University of Ulsan College of Medicine, 88, Olympic-ro 43-gil, Songpa-gu, Seoul 05505, South Korea. ykkang@amc.seoul.kr

**Telephone:** +82-230103230

**Fax:** +82-2-30108772

**Received:** December 31, 2018

**Peer-review started:** January 3, 2019

**First decision:** February 13, 2019

**Revised:** February 22, 2019

**Accepted:** March 1, 2019

**Article in press:** March 2, 2019

**Published online:** April 28, 2019

**Abstract**

***BACKGROUND***

Intra-abdominal desmoid tumors (DTs) can mimic recurrence or progression of gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs).Differential diagnosis is important to avoid unnecessary or inappropriate treatment.

***CASE SUMMARY***

All 8 patients experienced surgical resection of GIST, and median time to diagnosis of DT was 1.8 years after surgical resection. All sites of DT were in the peritoneum around the surgical sites of GIST. The following clinical suspicion coupled with radiological findings contributed to the suspicion of intra-abdominal DTs: (1) Occurrence of a new single lesion in the peritoneum around the surgical sites of GIST; (2) uncontrolled lesion with imatinib while other lesions being controlled with imatinib; (3) well-defined ovoid shaped lesion with delayed or mild enhancement and absence of necrosis, hemorrhage, and cystic change on computed tomography; and (4) a lesion showing mild or no hypermetabolic activity on 18fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography, contrary to initially hyperactive lesion of GIST. All DTs were surgically removed except for one unresectable DT and only one DT recurred at another site of peritoneum, which was also surgically removed.

***CONCLUSION***

Intra-abdominal DT should be a differential diagnosis for a new single lesion in patients with GIST.
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**Core tip:** Intra-abdominal desmoid tumor should be considered as a differential diagnosis for a new single lesion in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST): (1) Occurrence of a new single lesion in the peritoneum around the surgical sites of GIST; (2) uncontrolled lesion with imatinib while other lesions being controlled with imatinib; (3) well-defined ovoid shaped lesion with delayed or mild enhancement and absence of necrosis, hemorrhage, and cystic change on computed tomography; and (4) a lesion showing mild or no hypermetabolic activity on 18fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography, contrary to initially hyperactive lesion of GIST.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GISTs) are the most common mesenchymal tumors of the gastrointestinal tract, originating from the interstitial cells of Cajal with KIT (85%) or PDGFRA (5%) mutations[1]. The development of imatinib, a KIT- or PDGFRA-targeting tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI), has changed the paradigm of treatment and survival outcomes for both non-metastatic and metastatic GISTs[1]. As the survival of patients with GIST is extended, additional primary malignancies in these patients have been reported in the literatures.

Although the association between GIST and other malignancies remains unclear, various solid tumors and hematologic malignancies were simultaneously or metachronously found with GIST[2]. A previous case series proposed a potential link between the occurrence of desmoid tumors (DTs) and GISTs[3]. The estimated risk of developing DT was significantly higher in patients with GIST than in the general population (standardized incidence ratio = 82, 95% confidence interval 44-133)[3]. The majority of DT cases (75%) developed after GIST[3], and were suggested to mimic the recurrence or progression of GIST.

DTs, also known as aggressive fibromatosis, are a rare mesenchymal neoplasm described as local infiltrative and non-metastatic disease. Severe local infiltrative growth and tissue invasion may cause deformity and functional impairment of adjacent organs, becoming life-threatening in cases with involvement of vital organs[4]. DT is classified into extra-abdominal, abdominal wall, and intra-abdominal fibromatosis based on the anatomical location of the lesion[4]. Intra-abdominal DT is extremely rare and its causes remain undetermined. It is thought that the occurrence of DT may be related to familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP), Gardner syndrome, or trauma from previous neoplastic surgery[4]. In cases of postoperative occurrence, intra-abdominal DT should be distinguished from the recurrence or progression of previous neoplasms. Cases of postoperative DT mimicking GIST have been reported[5-9]. Meanwhile, secondary malignancy may be a differential diagnosis in patients with GIST following the development of a new single lesion. Currently, excisional biopsy is the only available method to distinguish a secondary malignancy from a GIST. Despite treatment with KIT or PDGFRA targeting TKIs is feasible suspecting recurrence or progression of GIST, performing an excisional biopsy is recommended following the clinical suspicion of secondary malignancy, to prevent unnecessary or inappropriate treatment with TKIs. In previous studies investigating DT mimicking GIST, the preoperative approach to the diagnosis of new lesions in patients with GIST suspected of DT was not described in detail. In addition, the preoperative radiological features of new lesions were not adequately investigated using computed tomography (CT) or 18fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography (18FDG-PET).

In this study, we report eight patients with intra-abdominal DT after GIST. We conducted an analysis of the clinical conditions and radiological and pathological findings of new lesions suggestive of intra-abdominal DT.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

A total of 2745 patients with histologically confirmed GIST were treated at the Asan Medical Center (AMC) in Seoul, Korea, from 2001 to 2017. We reviewed their medical records and tissue specimens in the prospectively collected GIST registry at the AMC. Among them, eight intra-abdominal DTs were identified in patients with GIST during treatment or regular follow-up. CT was used to evaluate tumor response according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors version 1.0 criteria. 18FDG-PET was performed in cases of non-definitive evaluation of response or equivocal lesions with CT scan. The clinical conditions and radiological/pathological findings of the new lesions in eight patients are summarized below. Table 1 lists the characteristics of GIST and intra-abdominal DT.

***Occurrence of a new single lesion after primary resection of low-risk GIST (patient 1)***

A 59-year-old female patient presented with an asymptomatic lesion newly observed on regular follow-up. She was diagnosed as having low-risk gastric GIST and advanced gastric cancer (AGC) (pathological T2bN0M0 according to American Joint Committee on Cancer 6th edition). She had no personal or family history of disease, including FAP or Gardner syndrome. She underwent total gastrectomy and was followed up without adjuvant therapy. However, a new 3.7-cm mass occurred in the right diaphragmatic crus approximately 2 years after the primary resection of GIST. The new lesion was not palpable and there were no specific findings on physical and laboratory examinations. This mass was well-defined ovoid shaped with delayed or mild enhancement and had homogeneous enhancement patterns on CT. The maximum standardized uptake values (SUVmax) on 18FDG-PET was 3.5 (mild). Based on previous pathological findings, the risk of recurrence of both GIST and AGC was low, and biopsy was necessary for the diagnosis of the new lesion.

***Occurrence of a new single lesion while receiving adjuvant therapy with imatinib after the primary resection of GIST (patients 2-6)***

Five patients (men, 4; woman, 1; median: 58, range 50**-**67 years) presented with abdominal pains or no symptoms, and new lesions were observed during adjuvant therapy with imatinib (400 mg/day) due to high or intermediate risk of recurrence, following the primary resection of localized GIST. They had no personal or family history of disease, including FAP or Gardner syndrome. There were no specific findings on physical and laboratory examinations except for a palpable mass with mild tenderness in patient 4. These masses were well-defined ovoid shaped with delayed or mild enhancement and had homogeneous enhancement patterns on CT. The SUVmax on 18FDG-PET was 2.2 (mild) in patient 2, no hypermetabolic activity in patient 3 and 5, and 3.2 (mild) in patient 6. On the contrary, initial GIST showed lobulated masses with heterogeneous enhancement patterns on CT and hypermetabolic activity on 18FDG-PET. Initial GIST in two patients (patient 2 and 5) showed SUVmax of 4.3 and 6.3.

***Occurrence of a new single lesion while receiving palliative treatment with imatinib for metastatic GIST (patient 7)***

A 40-year-old female patient presented with an asymptomatic lesion newly observed during palliative treatment with imatinib (400 mg/day) for metastatic GIST at small bowel, peritoneum, ovary, and liver after initial debulking surgery. She had no personal or family history of disease, including FAP or Gardner syndrome. The palliative treatment decreased the size of the lesions, including the liver metastasis. However, approximately two years after the initial debulking surgery, a new lesion developed in the pelvic mesentery. The new lesion was not palpable and there were no specific findings on physical and laboratory examinations. This mass was well-defined ovoid shaped with delayed or mild enhancement and had homogeneous enhancement patterns on CT. The SUVmax on 18FDG-PET was 2.7 (mild). The findings of the CT and 18FDG-PET examinations in a typical patient (patient 7) are shown in (Figure 1).

***Occurrence of an incidental desmoid tumor in a patient with advanced GIST receiving palliative treatment with imatinib (patient 8)***

A 72-year-old male patient who underwent wedge resection of the stomach for primary gastric GIST 6 years ago was found to have newly developed masses in the small bowel and peritoneum. He had abdominal discomfort and had no personal or family history of disease, including FAP or Gardner syndrome. There were no specific findings on physical and laboratory examinations and he received palliative treatment with imatinib (400 mg/day) for recurrent GIST. The lesion in the peritoneum of the left adrenal fossa was well-defined ovoid shaped with delayed or mild enhancement and had homogeneous enhancement patterns on CT. On the contrary, other GIST lesions showed lobulated masses with heterogeneous enhancement patterns on CT and hypermetabolic activity with SUVmax of 4.9 on 18FDG-PET. During treatment for GIST, the disease remained stable without the occurrence of new lesions.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

The suspicious lesions were surgically removed for both diagnostic and treatment purpose except for one unresectable lesion in the right diaphragmatic crus, which was located near the aorta in patient 1. Patient 1 underwent open biopsy. The tumor specimens were examined by an experienced pathologist. Representative tumor sections were made into formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded blocks and hematoxylin and eosin stained slides were reviewed. Immunohistochemical staining such as CD117, CD34, DOG-1, smooth muscle actin (SMA), S-100, and beta-catenin were also performed for differential diagnosis. The size of most tumors ranged from 1cm to 4cm and tumors were firm and grossly circumscribed without necrosis, cystic change, or hemorrhage. Microscopic examination showed spindle cell proliferation in fibrotic background with infiltrative growth patterns. The spindle cells lacked cytologic atypia, atypical mitosis, or epithelioid component. On immunohistochemical staining, tumor cells were negative for CD117 and CD34 but, positive for beta-catenin. SMA and S-100 were negative. All these features were consistent with DT and a representative case (patient 7) is shown in (Figure 2).

**TREATMENT**

Patient 1 initiated imatinib (400 mg/d) for unresectable DT. In patient 2-6, all patients had new lesions in the peritoneum approximately 1-2 years after primary resection of GIST and the initial suspicion was postoperative reactive change or recurrence of GIST. Among them, four patients maintained the dose of the adjuvant imatinib (400 mg/d) because peritoneal changes were not regarded as recurrence of GIST. However, in one patient with initial suspicion of recurrence of GIST, the dose was escalated to 800 mg/d. In four patients maintaining 400 mg/d imatinib, the size of the tumors increased. In contrast, such an increase in tumor size was not observed in the patient treated with 800 mg/d imatinib, with the tumor remaining stable for approximately 1 year. Subsequently, a change of enhancement within a new lesion was considered as focal progression (FP) of GIST. All patients eventually underwent surgical resection of tumors and DT was newly diagnosed and removed. In patient 7, because the new lesion was initially suspected as disease progression, the dose of imatinib was escalated to 800 mg/d. The tumor showed stable disease for 1.3 years and surgical resection of residual lesions after disease control with imatinib was performed. DT was newly diagnosed and removed. In patient 8, surgical resection of the residual lesions was performed after disease control with imatinib, and DT was incidentally diagnosed in the peritoneum of the left adrenal fossa and removed.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

Patient 1 achieved stable disease with imatinib (400 mg/d) for 6 mo, after which she was lost to follow-up. In patient 2-6, DT was treated with surgical resection and, in one patient treated with the escalated dose of imatinib (800 mg/d), the dose was subsequently reduced to 400 mg and all patients completed the planned adjuvant therapy with imatinib for GIST. Additional peritoneal changes occurred in three patients. One patient immediately underwent excisional biopsy since DT was strongly suggested because of similar radiological findings of the peritoneal mass to those of the first DT. However, two patients with multiple peritoneal seeding nodules were administered imatinib (400 mg/d) suspecting for recurrent GIST. In one of those patients, the treatment was maintained with equivocal change in the seeding nodules for 8 mo. However, the size of the tumor increased in one patient during one year of treatment with imatinib (400/800 mg/d) and surgical resection of focal progressive disease was performed. Recurrence of GIST was pathologically confirmed and the patient was treated with sunitinib (37.5 mg/d) and resumed imatinib for approximately one year each. The patient was lost to follow-up and expired one year later. In patient 7 and 8, there was no recurrence of DT after surgical resection for subsequent 4 and 1.3 years of follow-up. They continued palliative treatment with imatinib (800 mg/d and 400 mg/d, respectively) for minimal residual GIST without disease progression.

**DISCUSSION**

The possibility of secondary malignancy should always be considered in patients with GIST when a new single lesion develops. This is relevant regardless of the patients’ clinical settings; whether their diseases are localized, or whether they are receiving imatinib for palliative or adjuvant treatment. Intra-abdominal DT has been considerably related to GIST[3] and should be included as a differential diagnosis in cases of occurrence of new single lesion in patients with GIST. The present case series provide clinical information on the preoperative approach in suspecting intra-abdominal DT in patients with GIST, since excisional biopsy is necessary for the diagnosis of intra-abdominal DT. Moreover, the present case series described in detail the characteristic findings of DT on CT or 18FDG-PET. The following clinical conditions and radiological findings contributed to the suspicion of intra-abdominal DTs: (1) Occurrence of a new single lesion in the peritoneum around the surgical sites of GIST resection; (2) uncontrolled lesion with imatinib while other lesions being controlled with imatinib; (3) well-defined ovoid shaped lesion with delayed or mild enhancement and absence of necrosis, hemorrhage, and cystic change on CT; and (4) a lesion showing mild or no hypermetabolic activity (low values of SUVmax) on 18FDG-PET, contrary to initially hyperactive lesion of GIST.

Table 2 summarizes 27 previously reported cases of DT after GIST[3,5-9]. The study population was predominantly male (one case showed no gender data), and the median age of the included patients was 58 years (range: 34–74 years). Primary locations of GIST were the stomach (*n* = 20), small bowel (*n* = 11), and peritoneum (*n* = 2). All patients underwent surgical resection of GIST, and 19 patients were treated with imatinib following the occurrence of new lesions. The median time to diagnosis of DT was 2.5 years (range: 0.5-15.9 years) after the surgical resection of GIST. The most common site of DT was the peritoneum around surgical sites, and the median size of DT was 6.5 cm (range: 1.6-17 cm). None of the patients were diagnosed preoperatively. In the present case series, the patients were predominantly male (male-to-female ratio = 5:3), and their median age was 58.5 years (range: 40-72 years). Consistent with previous cases, all patients had a history of surgical resection of GIST and the median time to diagnosis of DT was 1.8 years (range: 0.9-7.1 years) after the surgical resection. The locations of primary GIST were the stomach (*n* = 4), small bowel (*n* = 3), and sigmoid (*n* = 1). All sites of DT were in the peritoneum around the surgical sites of GIST.

The confirmative diagnosis of DT should be based on histological examination with positive immunohistochemical staining for beta-catenin[4]. Mutation in the beta-catenin gene is found in approximately 85% of sporadic DT cases, and its analysis is encouraged for the diagnosis of sporadic DT[4]. However, considering that intra-abdominal DT mimics the recurrence or progression of GIST, it is difficult to perform excisional biopsy in every patient with GIST. Preoperative CT or FDG-PET may be useful in suspecting intra-abdominal DT in patients with GIST. The following eight characteristics on CT suggest the diagnosis of DT: Extra-gastrointestinal location, ovoid or irregular contour, homogeneous enhancement, absence of intralesional necrosis, mild degree of enhancement, and low lesion/aorta CT attenuation ratio[10]. Despite the limited data available for patients examined through 18FDG-PET, those with intra-abdominal DT demonstrated relatively low SUV, below a SUVmax of 4.7[11]. In contrast, examination *via* CT showed that GISTs were hypervascular lesions in the arterial phase and wash-out lesions in the portal phase, showing heterogeneous enhancement with a low attenuation center due to necrosis, hemorrhage, and cystic change[10]. The mean basal SUVmax on FDG-PET was relatively higher in GISTs (5.8) than in DTs[12]. In the present case series, all intra-abdominal DTs had a well-defined ovoid shape, with delayed or mild enhancement on CT, and mild hypermetabolic activity with an SUVmax of 2.0-3.5 on 18FDG-PET. Although the initial impression was recurrence or progression of GIST, the radiological findings of the new single lesion strongly suggested to perform excisional biopsy for the diagnosis of intra-abdominal DT. Consequently, unnecessary treatment was avoided in these patients. The diagnosis of recurred DT in one patient was also facilitated because of the immediate excisional biopsy performed based on radiological findings. Furthermore, on 18FDG-PET for the diagnosis of initial or recurrent GIST in three patients, all GISTs showed relatively higher hypermetabolic activity compared to one’s own intra-abdominal DTs. This finding suggests that changes in metabolic activity may assist in distinguishing intra-abdominal DT from GIST.

With a new single lesion, recurrence or FP[13] may be initially considered in patients with localized or metastatic GIST. The guidelines[1,14,15] and recent studies[16-18] suggest the beneficial role of surgical resection of FP in metastatic GISTs, compared with either dose escalation of imatinib or switching to a second-line TKI. In addition, secondary malignancy, especially the intra-abdominal DT, should be distinguished from GIST when a new single lesion occurs. Excisional biopsy is also a curative treatment for resectable DT. Taken together, surgical resection for a new single lesion as FP disease in patients with GIST could be recommended for both diagnostic and therapeutic purposes.

Notably however, two patients in our case series had intra-abdominal DTs that remained stable for over one year with imatinib. In specific, the intra-abdominal DT progressed while on 400 mg/day of imatinib but stayed stable when dose was escalated to 800 mg/day. Previous phase II studies evaluating the efficacy of imatinib for unresectable or advanced DT reported objective response rate (ORR) ranging 6%-25%[19-21]. The highest ORR of 25% among the cited studies was achieved in a study which every patient initiated 800 mg/day of imatinib[21]. Although heterogeneity of tumor locations exists and the small number of patients does not permit to draw conclusions, the higher dose of imatinib (800 mg/d) was shown to be necessary for antitumor activity in patients with intra-abdominal DT. Nevertheless, both patients in our case series eventually underwent surgical resection for the intra-abdominal DTs as recommended by current standards.

**CONCLUSION**

Secondary malignancy should be suspected in cases of occurrence of a new single lesion in patients with GIST. Considering the close relationship between intra-abdominal DT and GIST, DT should be considered as an important differential diagnosis. Surgical resection is necessary for both the diagnosis and treatment of intra-abdominal DT to avoid unnecessary or inappropriate treatment. Clinical experiences and radiological findings using CT or 18FDG-PET may assist in performing surgical resection.
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**Figure 1 Abdominal computed tomography and 18fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography revealed intra-abdominal desmoid tumors in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors, showing well-defined ovoid shaped masses with delayed or mild enhancement on computed tomography and mild or absence of hypermetabolic activity on 18fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography.** A: Patient 7: A 40-year-old female patient presented with small bowel gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) with metastasis in the peritoneum, liver, and ovary. Pelvic lobulated masses with heterogeneous enhancement were observed on computed tomography; B: Initial GIST lesions showed hypermetabolic activity on 18fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography(18FDG-PET); C: A new single mass occurred in the pelvic peritoneum; D: The maximum standardized uptake values was 2.7 as shown on 18FDG-PET; E: The dose of imatinib was escalated to 800 mg/day and the size of desmoid tumors was stable for approximately 1.3 years. 18FDG-PET: 18Fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography; GIST: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors.

****

**Figure 2 Histological examination of intra-abdominal desmoid tumors in patients with gastrointestinal stromal tumors showed low or moderate cellularity with proliferative spindle cells in a fibrotic background and infiltrative growth patterns (hematoxylin and eosin, original magnification × 400).** Tumor cells were negative for CD117 and DOG-1, whereas positive for beta-catenin. A: Patient 7: A 40-year-old female patient presented with small bowel gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) with metastasis in the peritoneum, liver, and ovary. She underwent initial debulking surgery. GIST cells were positive for CD117; B: Desmoid tumor (DT) in the pelvic peritoneum showed low cellularity with collagen fibers and was negative for CD117; C: DT was positive for beta-catenin; D: DT was negative for DOG-1. GIST: Gastrointestinal stromal tumors; DT: Desmoid tumor.

**Table 1 Summary of the clinical features of eight patients with intra-abdominal desmoid tumor after gastrointestinal stromal tumor**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Age in yr/sex** | **Location of GIST** | **Metabolic activity with SUVmax in GIST** | **Primary surgery** | **Genotype of GIST** | **Imatinib for GIST** | **Interval1 (mo)** | **Metabolic activity with SUVmax in DT** | **Sites of DT** | **Surgery for DT** | **Size (cm) of DT2** | **Patient status** |
| 1 | 59/F | Stomach | - | W/R | KIT exon 11 | No | 31.5 | 3.5 | Peritoneum, celiac area | Open biopsy | 3.7 | F/U loss |
| 2 | 63/F | Sigmoid | 4.3 | LAR | KIT exon 9 | Yes | 13.9 | 2.2 | Peritoneum, pelvic area | SB R and A, mass excision | 2 | Recurrence of GIST |
| 3 | 58/M | Stomach | - | W/R | - | Yes | 23.7 | No | Peritoneum, LUQ area | Lap. W/R of stomach, mass excision | 1.7 | NED |
| 4 | 50/M | Stomach | - | TG | - | Yes | 20.3 | - | Peritoneum, pelvic area | SB R and A, mass excision | 6.7 | NED |
| 5 | 67/M | Duodenum | 6.33 | PPPD | - | Yes | 13.5 | No | Peritoneum, pelvic area | Excision of SB mesentery | 2.2 | Recurrence of GIST |
| 6 | 56/M | Jejunum | - | Seg. resection | - | Yes | 10.9 | 3.2 | Peritoneum, pelvic area | SB R and A | 3 | Recurrence of DT |
| 7 | 40/F | SB with peritoneum, liver, ovary | - | SB R and A | KIT exon 11 | Yes | 28.5 | 2.7 | Peritoneum, pelvic area | SB R and A | 3.3 | Stable GIST with imatinib |
| 8 | 72/M | Stomach, recurrent SB with peritoneum | 4.9 | Lap. W/R | - | Yes | 84.6 | - | Peritoneum, adrenal area | Mass excision | 1.3 | Stable GIST with imatinib |

1Interval, from the date of primary resection of gastrointestinal stromal tumor (GIST) to the date of diagnosis of desmoid tumor (DT); 2Size of DT was based on the pathological specimen; 3The maximum standardized uptake values of recurrent GIST. GIST: Gastrointestinal stromal tumor; DT: Desmoid tumor; W/R: Wedge resection; F/U: Follow-up; EUS: Endoscopic ultrasound; LAR: Lower anterior resection; SB R and A: Small bowel resection and anastomosis; LUQ: Left upper quadrant; TG: Total gastrectomy; PPPD: Pylorus preserving pancreaticoduodenectomy; Seg: Segmental.

**Table 2 Comparison between previous cases in the literatures and patients included in the present case series**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Previous cases1 (*n* = 27)** | **Present cases (*n* = 8)** |
| Sex (Male : Female) | 19:7 (one patient; unknown) | 5:3 |
| Age (yr) (median, range) | 58 (34-74) | 58.5 (40-72) |
| Primary GIST |  |  |
| Stomach | 20 | 4 |
| Small bowel | 11 | 3 |
| Peritoneum | 2 | 0 |
| Colon and rectum | 0 | 1 |
| Disease status following the occurrence of a new single lesion | 62 | 8 |
| Localized GIST | 5 | 6 |
| Metastatic GIST | 1 | 2 |
| Imatinib for GIST following the occurrence of a new single lesion | 19 | 7 |
| Interval time from surgical resection of GIST to the diagnosis of DT (yr) | 2.5 (0.5-15.9) | 1.8 (0.9-7.1) |
| Sites of DT |  |  |
| Stomach | 1 | - |
| Small bowel | 5 | - |
| Colon | 1 | - |
| Peritoneum/Mesentery | 15 | 8 |
| Others (liver and spleen) | 2 | - |
| Extra-abdominal organ3 | 3 | - |
| Size of DT (cm) (median, range) | 6.5 (1.6–17)4 | 2.6 (1.3-6.7) |

1Previous case reports[5-9] and cases with desmoid tumor after gastrointestinal stromal tumor [3]; 2Available previous data (*n* = 6); 3Abdominal wall (*n* = 2) and thigh (*n* = 1); 4Available previous data (*n* = 6). GIST: Gastrointestinal stromal tumor; DT: Desmoid tumor.