



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 45772

Title: The Effect of Prophylactic Clip Placement following Endoscopic Mucosal Resection of Large Colorectal Lesions on Delayed Polypectomy Bleeding: A Meta-Analysis

Reviewer's code: 00057983

Reviewer's country: Taiwan

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Date sent for review: 2019-03-04

Date reviewed: 2019-03-05

Review time: 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good		<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors made a very good meta-analysis about prophylactic clip placement in prevention of delayed bleeding following EMR of colorectal lesions. 1. About thirteen studies included in your analysis, did they mention other methods of bleeding



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

prevention as control group besides prophylactic clip placement? 2. About the issue of "PLAGIARISM", I found 23% of your text matching 23 fragments from 20 sources on the web or in academic databases. This result of 23% was acquired after exclusion of unnecessary parts in your manuscript, e.g. References. Please make a revision of your manuscript with a PLAGIARISM CHECK TOOL.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No