



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 45945

**Title:** Clear cell sarcoma in unusual sites mimicking metastatic melanoma

**Reviewer’s code:** 02535507

**Reviewer’s country:** Italy

**Science editor:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2019-01-25

**Date reviewed:** 2019-01-25

**Review time:** 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The paper of Obiorah IE and Ozdemirli M reports two rare cases of clear cell sarcoma mimicking metastatic melanoma and highlight the importance of FISH for the differential diagnosis and successive management. Main comments are: 1. In the manuscript there are many abbreviations which need to be written as full text at the first



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://www.wjgnet.com**

mention. 2. Authors state that "Surgery is the mainstay of treatment for clear cell sarcoma, with chemotherapy and radiation having little effect". On these bases, it may be argued that the reason of the death of the first patient and the survival of the second were due to the complete surgical excision more than a lack of diagnosis. A discussion about this relevant topic is mandatory. 3. It has not been mentioned whether the second patient had post-surgical consequences on motor and sensory function in consideration of the tumor site. 4. How long was the survival of the correctly diagnosed patient and what is his life expectancy?

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Oncology

**Manuscript NO:** 45945

**Title:** Clear cell sarcoma in unusual sites mimicking metastatic melanoma

**Reviewer's code:** 02460781

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Science editor:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Date sent for review:** 2019-01-25

**Date reviewed:** 2019-01-27

**Review time:** 1 Hour, 2 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The two cases were interesting. This is a good case report and it has reference significance for clinical practice.

**INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**



# Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

### *Google Search:*

The same title

Duplicate publication

Plagiarism

[Y] No

### *BPG Search:*

The same title

Duplicate publication

Plagiarism

[Y] No