

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 45991

Title: Female genital tract metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma with EGFR mutations:
Two case reports

Reviewer's code: 02497184

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-01-29 10:51

Reviewer performed review: 2019-02-13 08:35

Review time: 14 Days and 21 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled 'Female genital tract metastasis of lung adenocarcinoma with EGFR mutations: 2 case reports and review of literature' by Runlan Yan et al. reported two cases of advanced lung adenocarcinoma with female genital tract metastasis. The

article provides a rare clinical example in the literature. The researchers emphasize that immunohistochemistry and gene mutational testing have greatly helped in locating the initial tumor site when both pulmonary and female genital tract neoplasms exist. The manuscript is well written, well organized and clear to follow. The conclusions are based on the results. I have some minor comments as the following: it will be appropriate to make statements on these issues. 1. Page 7: A description of the abbreviations should be written. i.e. CDX2(-), PSX8(-), Ki67 2. Page 4, 6: The normal values of the tests should be given. Carcinoembryonic antigen: 1.5 ng/ml, which was negative. Carcinoembryonic antigen: 4.4 ng/ml, Tumor-associated carbohydrate antigen 125: 42.9U/ml. 3. Page 9, second paragraph: Reference number should be given. In certain situations, liquid biopsy could be an essential tool for clinicians, especially for patients who cannot undergo invasive diagnostic procedures[que].

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No