



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 46152

Title: Problematic Internet use in drugs addicts under treatment in public rehab centers

Reviewer's code: 02445209

Reviewer's country: Czech Republic

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-05-17

Date reviewed: 2018-05-23

Review time: 6 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear authors, I have a few comments on your manuscript: - In the Introduction, you should mention whether there is any similar questionnaire related to PIU in drug addicts in the world, and what are the possible differences from the questionnaire created by yourselves? - The data at the beginning of Results are boring (gender, education, marital



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

status etc.), you should rather put them into a table. - The data in the Results ("As shown in table 1...") are duplicate with Table 1, in my opinion, Table 1 itself would be sufficient. - At the end of Results, you write "had a BMI between 15 and 20 (normal weight, NW)". But BMI 15 is not normal! Pathology starts at BMI 17.5 (anorexia nervosa). - In Discussion, you repeat the results again "All subject were heavy smokers... were also suffering from gambling disorder..." It is not necessary to repeat the results in such a detail. - At the end of Discussion, you should suggest further avenues of the research in the field. - In my opinion, your questionnaire is too long (101 questions). It would be boring even for mentally healthy people. In your future research, you should try to make the questionnaire shorter. Best regards The reviewer

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Psychiatry

Manuscript NO: 46152

Title: Problematic Internet use in drugs addicts under treatment in public rehab centers

Reviewer’s code: 00784262

Reviewer’s country: Canada

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Date sent for review: 2018-06-04

Date reviewed: 2018-06-04

Review time: 17 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a survey study of Internet use/abuse from Italy that makes the case that people who suffer from substance abuse disorder are also the ones prone to Internet addiction and that this addiction has untoward effects on health. Since the endpoint was time spent online, I am not sure how the investigators were able to distinguish between



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7901 Stoneridge Drive, Suite 501,
Pleasanton, CA 94588, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Internet abusers and people who make a living by being constantly online. This point needs to be clarified. The English of this paper, while very good, is not perfect, which leads to obscurity in some parts of the paper. The paper needs to be edited by a native English speaker.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- [Y] No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- [Y] No