



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 46795

Title: Impact of continuous local lavage on pancreatic juice-related postoperative complications: Case report

Reviewer’s code: 00058054

Reviewer’s country: Japan

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-04-24 04:57

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-11 01:49

Review time: 16 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer’s expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this manuscript, authors have reported three cases of postoperative pancreatic fistula. They were treated by continuous local lavage (CLL) and cured. As the results, authors concluded CLL had therapeutic potential for postoperative pancreatic fistula not only for



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

necrotizing and pancreatitis. The manuscript has been basically well written and the results seem to be interesting for readers. However, several issues should be clarified. 1. Basically, CLL is seemed to be a general treatment for pancreatic fistula. Why did not you perform CLL for fatal 2 cases? If it were impossible to perform CLL for these cases, reasons should be shown. 2. Pancreatic fistula is sometimes inevitable complication after pancreatic surgery. How do you think about usage of two-way tube or irrigation drainage tube at the operation? Please discuss. 3. Authors pointed out that maturity the artificial fistula was important. How long at least days should we wait for drain replacement based on your experiences?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No