

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 46855

**Title:** Novel risk scoring system for the prediction of pancreatic fistula after pancreaticoduodenectomy

**Reviewer's code:** 03477516

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-03-01 00:23

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-03-05 22:11

**Review time:** 4 Days and 21 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for sending your manuscript. This manuscript was "A novel risk scoring system for the prediction of pancreatic fistula after pancreaticoduodenectomy". This manuscript was well described and very interesting. However I wonder you should



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

revise some parts of it Major) 1, This manuscript didn't write the exist of cholangitis. The inflammation influence several factors as albmin level, CRP, etc. Did these cases do the biliary tract decompression? Were the methods of recompression PTCD, ENBD with plastic or mertalic tube? You should describe these problems. Minor) 1, In surgical procedure (P9), you should describe the procedure of pancreaticojejunostomy. 2, Why is the reason for 500ml of blood loss criteria? You should reveal the reason of criteria. Please try to consider again. Thank you.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 46855

**Title:** Novel risk scoring system for the prediction of pancreatic fistula after pancreaticoduodenectomy

**Reviewer's code:** 02544751

**Reviewer's country:** Slovakia

**Science editor:** Jia-Ping Yan

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-02-27 11:59

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-03-05 23:20

**Review time:** 6 Days and 11 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Review of manuscript No. 46855: „ A novel risk scoring system for the prediction of pancreatic fistula after pancreaticoduodenectomy, written by Ye Li, Fang Zhou, Dongming Zhu, Zixiang Zhang, Jian Yang, Jun Yao, Yijun Wei, Yaling Xu, Deichun Li,

Jian Zhou “, which will be published in World Journal of Gastroenterology. The structure of manuscript has the commonly required criteria. The topic of presented work is very actual. Postoperative pancreatic fistula is one of the most afraid postoperative complications which can increase the morbidity after pancreaticoduodenectomy. In the current study, authors aimed to construct a new risk scoring system for postoperative pancreatic fistula that include both preoperative and intraoperative factors. The research work follows several aims and interesting parametric studies. The results are documented in graphs that present the review of the obtained data. The citations are well-chosen and relevant and their format respects usual standards. The conclusion summarizes the author’s results. Summarizing, I recommend that the manuscript can be published. Kosice, 5. March 2019 MUDr. Jana Katuchova, PhD. Professor of Surgery University Hospital Košice Slovakia

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No