



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 46944

Title: Mini-invasive vs open resections of colorectal tumor and liver metastases: A meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 02411089

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-06 08:48

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-07 17:00

Review time: 1 Day and 8 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well done, this is a high quality paper. Accept

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT



Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 46944

Title: Mini-invasive vs open resections of colorectal tumor and liver metastases: A meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 00505466

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-03 13:29

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-24 15:39

Review time: 21 Days and 2 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors provide a meta-analysis on the interesting issue whether laparoscopic might be beneficial when compared with open simultaneous resection of primary colorectal cancer and synchronous liver metastases. The study is clearly designed and the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

manuscript is very well written. Some, mainly minor, comments are to be made. Might it be possible to analyze for DFS, systemic/hepatic recurrence, peritoneal and locoregional recurrence separately? Line 92. 'The morbidity and mortality of CRC ranks third (10.2%) and second (9.2%) respectively among all the cancers in the world.' Do the authors mean 'incidence' instead of 'morbidity'? Line 138. Please add a reference for the AMSTAR guidelines. Lines 171-172. 'The anastomotic leakage was regarded as abnormal passage on the site of anastomotic stoma.' 'Anastomotic stoma' does not make sense. Please remove the sentence (I guess the meaning of anastomotic leakage is clear to all readers) or otherwise rephrase. Line 173. Please add a reference for the Newcastle-Ottawa Scale. Line 208-209. 'meeting' should be 'meeting'. Lines 333 and 352. 'might' should be 'might'.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 46944

Title: Mini-invasive vs open resections of colorectal tumor and liver metastases: A meta-analysis

Reviewer's code: 03004829

Reviewer's country: Sweden

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-22 13:34

Reviewer performed review: 2019-04-01 18:59

Review time: 10 Days and 5 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors present an interesting meta-analysis on the outcomes after open and minimally invasive surgery for simultaneous resection of colorectal primary and liver metastases. The main results are as expected but nonetheless interesting. However, I



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

have some comments. First, in the introduction it is mentioned that almost 50% of CRC patients develop liver metastases and 25% have liver mets at diagnosis. These are historical figures. Please use modern data. In the results section, almost all data can be found in the tables. Please remove redundant information. Why are results presented with two sets of p-values? 'Postoperative complications' is a wide concept. The term must be explained in detail. Is it Clavien-Dindo \geq 3? I believe that the number of events in most of the specific complications is too low to be included in a meta-analysis. I would recommend to use only a better defined 'postoperative complication' term and omit all others. The manuscript needs language editing.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No