

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 47067

**Title:** Identifying high-risk individuals for gastric cancer surveillance from western and eastern perspectives: Lessons to learn and possibility to develop an integrated approach for daily practice

**Reviewer's code:** 00001114

**Reviewer's country:** Japan

**Science editor:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-04-23 09:17

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-04-28 07:06

**Review time:** 4 Days and 21 Hours

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                     | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION                                 | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                      |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing       | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept            | Peer-Review:                                  |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority)                            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | polishing   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of           | (General priority)                         | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the              |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not               | language polishing  | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision    | topic of the manuscript:                      |
| publish  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced  |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection         | <input type="checkbox"/> General              |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise         |
|  |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                        |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                  |
|  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No        |

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review entitles "Identifying high-risk individuals for gastric cancer surveillance from Western and Eastern points of view: lessons to learn and possibility to develop an

integrated approach for daily practice" is well-written and a comprehensive review about this subject. I have some minor comments– 1. Please provide the web site address regarding Ref #1 in the section of Reference. 2. Please insert Ref #1 after the sentence of "But it is the third leading cause of death due to cancer as the majority of patients have been detected in advanced stages" in the section of INTRODUCTION in Page 5. 3. In "II.1 HISTOLOGICAL APPROACH" or "OLGIM (Operative Link for Gastric Intestinal Metaplasia) Staging System", the Kyoto global consensus on H. pylori gastritis strongly seems to recommend not only the OLGA grading system but also OLGIM or GC risk stratification in the CQ14. 4. In Page 8, please provide full spelling for "UGIE" 5. In "Gastric dysplasia and the risk for gastric cancer development ", regarding LGD, I feel there is a problem about the difficulty of differentiation between gastric adenocarcinoma and low-grade adenoma/dysplasia (LGA) on endoscopic forceps biopsy (Dig Endosc. 2018 Mar;30(2):228-235). Please comment on this problem. 6. in "II.3 NON-INVASIVE APPROACH" Page 18, regarding the last sentence, "The measurement of serum PG I and sPGr alone or in combination with H. pylori serum antibody (HpAb) test, and/or Gastrin-17 has been investigated to identify high-risk individuals". please provide references. 7. Please delete "(Kim GIE 2016)" that is Ref 66 in Page 21. 8. In Page 22, please provide full spelling for "UGIS". 9. Please provide the reference instead of (Kim, 2016) in the last sentence in Page 26, Line 9.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 47067

**Title:** Identifying high-risk individuals for gastric cancer surveillance from western and eastern perspectives: Lessons to learn and possibility to develop an integrated approach for daily practice

**Reviewer's code:** 00717554

**Reviewer's country:** Netherlands

**Science editor:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-05-05 08:57

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-05-05 09:43

**Review time:** 1 Hour

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY                                     | LANGUAGE QUALITY  | CONCLUSION   | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS                    |
|--|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent            | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing       | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | Peer-Review:                                |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority)                                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good                 | polishing   | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept                    | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of           | (General priority)                                 | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not               | language polishing  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript:                    |
| publish  | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision            | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced           |
|  |   | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection                 | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise       |
|  |   |  | Conflicts-of-Interest:                      |
|  |   |  | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes                |
|  |   |  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No      |

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I would like to mention the following comments: 1- Title: What is the meaning of western and eastern points of view? It does not express the meaning that explained in



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

the text. Please revise the title into for example: " comparison of western and eastern methods" and so on. 2- Before II, the aim of study should be explained. 3- After II.1, the subtitles have no number such as: II.1.1 and II.1.2 and ... 4- In III section, again, subtitles have no numbers: III.1 .... 5- The comparison of western and eastern countries? 6- Strategy: without any connecting sentence of paragraph. 7- Where is discussion and conclusion? Good Luck

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No