

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 47124

Title: ANALYSIS OF THE POSTOPERATIVE HEMOSTATIC PROFILE OF COLORECTAL CANCER PATIENTS SUBJECTED TO LIVER METASTASIS RESECTION SURGERY. IMPLICATIONS FOR PERIOPERATIVE ANALGESIA

Reviewer's code: 01047350

Reviewer's country: Greece

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-04-11 17:09

Reviewer performed review: 2019-04-18 19:15

Review time: 7 Days and 2 Hours

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY | LANGUAGE QUALITY | CONCLUSION | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS |
|---|---|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | Peer-Review: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of | (General priority) | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not | language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript: |
| publish | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input type="checkbox"/> Advanced |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection | <input type="checkbox"/> General |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No expertise |
| | | | Conflicts-of-Interest: |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The title reflect the Scope of the manuscript? Abstract and keywords are adequate. Authors describe the present status in the background section. In Method section the

authors should clarify the inclusion and possible exclusion criteria for the patients of the study. The collected data analysis and the subsequent analysis present adequately the research objects of the study. References are recent, relative and adequate. Statistical analysis is also seems to be adequate. The Tables are useful for the presentation of the results. Language needs minor revision. Although the study seems to be well designed and performed there are some questions that the authors should answer: (a) What are the selection criteria for the type of anesthesia. (b) What is the clinical and education interest of the present study. (c) What is new compared to the already existing studies. (d) An anesthesiology journal may be more suitable for this study.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 47124

Title: ANALYSIS OF THE POSTOPERATIVE HEMOSTATIC PROFILE OF COLORECTAL CANCER PATIENTS SUBJECTED TO LIVER METASTASIS RESECTION SURGERY. IMPLICATIONS FOR PERIOPERATIVE ANALGESIA

Reviewer's code: 02944278

Reviewer's country: Italy

Science editor: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-05-16 03:13

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-16 03:21

Review time: 1 Hour

| SCIENTIFIC QUALITY | LANGUAGE QUALITY | CONCLUSION | PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS |
|---|---|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | Peer-Review: |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language | (High priority) | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept | <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of | (General priority) | Peer-reviewer's expertise on the |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not | language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision | topic of the manuscript: |
| publish | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection | <input type="checkbox"/> General |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> No expertise |
| | | | Conflicts-of-Interest: |
| | | | <input type="checkbox"/> Yes |
| | | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No |

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Quite interesting article, well written. No data are available on postoperative course of patient. No one had liver failure? This could strongly impact postoperative course.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Please specify with more details on postoperative cours that could affect coagulative panel.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No