

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Stem Cells

Manuscript NO: 47129

Title: Early therapeutic effect of platelet rich fibrin combined with allogenic bone marrow-derived stem cells on rats' critical sized mandibular defects

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Shengwen Calvin Li

Country: United States

Editorial Director: Jin-Lei Wang

Date accepted review: 2019-09-06 21:01

Date reviewed: 2019-09-06 21:02

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

EIC comment: English language and style are fine-tune/minor spell check required for clarity. There are numerous typographical/grammatical errors (also incorrect punctuation with abbreviation) throughout the Manuscript (108 examples as marked by red track, but not an exhaustive presentation, are attached for your reference in a separate e-mail to the WJSC Editorial Office). Data presentations should be enhanced for readability by addressing specific critiques as below. Specific comments: 1) Fig. 2: they should use arrow-heads, pointing out the areas. Scale bars should be used. 2) Fig. 3: Scattering dot plots? Selection of a population? 3) Fig. 4: Can they double-check the marks? E.g., O: in H showing intense staining, while in O in G showing blank/empty spot? Any references or alternative confirmation? Ideally, they should use arrow-heads, pointing out the areas. Scale bars should be used. 4) Fig. 5: Can they double-check the marks? E.g., O: in G showing intense red staining, while in O in I showing blank/empty spot? Any references or alternative confirmation?

Ideally, they should use arrow-heads for pointing out the areas. Scale bars should be used. 5) Fig. 6: Ideally, they should use arrow-heads, pointing out the areas. Scale bars should be used. 6) Can they use bar illustrations for better clarity for Tables 1, 2, and 3? 7) Discussion: First paragraph - "a therapeutic treatment" - "Tautological phrases such as final outcome, red in color, and I personally express the same information twice. Avoid using repetitive words when writing, especially in formal situations."