



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 47596

Title: Intraosseous venous malformation of the maxilla after enucleation of hemophilic pseudotumor: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03088429

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-03-31

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Lei Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-24 08:16

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-25 02:18

Review time: 18 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I think this paper reveal important fact of the HP. It is good and informative but I need some of the information from the author. Please check them. Abstract: Case summary;

· Please change the patient age as the 11 y.o like as described in the main paper Because

the chief complaints are 11 y.o boy admitting. · Subtotal maxillectomy information must be needed more deeply. INTRODUCTION Good and informative CASE

PRESENTATION Chief complaints · Yes in this term the patient age as the 11 y.o. I

think this is good. History of past illness In 2011 was written but this is not for useful in the paper. Please change. Histopathology and immunohistochemical findings · How

the author got the specimens from the tumor and what area of the tumor did he get?

TREATMENT · The author wrote the patient underwent right subtotal maxillectomy.

But from the view of head and neck surgical oncologist, this procedure is too big and invasive for 11 y.o patient. I sincerely would like to hear from the author how they decide for adapting this type of oration for this patient like as tumor board and also

what is the operation step by step for keeping the patient's QOL. Also the author has to provide the postoperative photo of the patient face figure. DISCUSSION Absolutely

there are many important information from this strategy, I think it is good. But I feel we need the theoretical aspect of this great treatment. Please tell us.