

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 47802

**Title:** Treatment of laryngopharyngeal reflux disease: A systematic review

**Reviewer's code:** 00503705

**Reviewer's country:** Greece

**Science editor:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-03-29 17:24

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-04-01 07:25

**Review time:** 2 Days and 14 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Impressive work! i am glad you remarked the paper of Park and it's suggestion that twice daily PPIs are much more effectively. it's a pity you didn't find out more works about prokinetics plus PPIs. (Only 1 paper!). I believe, as a lot in the scientific community, that the diet and behavioral changes is the gold standard in therapy, and



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**Fax:** +1-925-223-8243  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

thank you for keep stating that

#### INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

##### *Google Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### *BPG Search:*

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 47802

**Title:** Treatment of laryngopharyngeal reflux disease: A systematic review

**Reviewer's code:** 00503695

**Reviewer's country:** Germany

**Science editor:** Jin-Lei Wang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-03-29 14:04

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-04-11 09:48

**Review time:** 12 Days and 19 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Ladies and Gentlemen, The manuscript 'Treatment of laryngopharyngeal reflux disease: a systematic review' compares the various treatment regimes for acid, weakly acid, nonacid and mixed laryngopharyngeal reflux disease and discusses the reasons for unsuccessful treatment. The manuscript is well written. The figure and the tables are

sufficient. a) Please clarify the exact keywords scheme for the study search. b) In the legend of Figure 1 a definition for laryngopharyngeal reflux disease according to the pH-testing results is mentioned. As a ratio always means information loss, a definition should include the absolute numbers in addition to the ratio. And please check the pH numbers for accuracy, the tables for accuracy and the other legends for styling accuracy. c) Please check the references for accuracy according to the Journal Style Guidelines. d) Minor points: 1. Section Prokinetics, line 7: Please change to '... corroborate with these findings ...'. 2. Section Alginate and magaldrate, line 7: Change to '... in pH>6.0, while ...'. 3. Section Perspectives, line 13: Change to 'therapeutic control tool'. 4. Figure 1: Change from '3-m' to '3 months' for comprehensive reasons. 5. Tables: Include the abbreviations VSS and VoiSS into the legends. Sincerely,

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No