

Reviewer #1:

1. Title: the authors mainly discuss about the advances of endoscopic therapy. Therefore, the title should be change accordingly.

Title has been changed to "Endoscopic Advances in the Management of Non-variceal Upper Gastrointestinal Bleeding: A Review"

2. Introduction: as above mentioned, the part should be re-write to be more concise regarding endoscopic treatment.

The parts of the introduction are re written to be more focus on the endoscopic treatment. Please see paragraph 2 & 3 of introduction section

3. The structures of difference parts of the manuscript should be similar. Some parts were discussed in detail including history of the technique development while other were short

We tried to make this review comprehensive and make different parts as proportionate as possible. However, we are limited by the number of research articles/ available literature. Some of the modalities such as OVESCO and Hemospray are very popular and has more literature available than endoscopic band ligation.

4. A table which summarizes the types of emerging therapies should be added at the beginning of the body.

Table 2 added which summarizes the types of emerging therapies added at the beginning of the body.

5. A table which summarizes the types of aimed lesions for each emerging therapy and the available evidence to support should make the review more comprehensive.

Table 3 added which summarizes the types of emerging therapies aiming at the different types of lesions with their pros and cons added to the manuscript.

6. Conclusion: the authors should add a conclusion section in this manuscript.

Conclusion added at the end of manuscript

Reviewer #2:

1. to remove in the first sentence of the abstract word “proximal”.

“proximal” word removed from the introduction and abstract section

2. In the introduction, I would finish the sentence “Upper gastrointestinal bleeding (UGIB) is defined as any gastrointestinal bleeding that originates above the ligament of Treitz, which includes the esophagus, stomach, and proximal duodenum” after the words “... above the ligament Treitz”. The word “proximal” is not the most appropriate word in the definition because it does not determinate well the border.

“proximal” word removed from the introduction and abstract section

3. The American Society of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy guidelines stated that no single modality has been shown to be superior for treating upper gastrointestinal bleeding caused by peptic ulcer disease. The authors touched very important issue – the described by them clips can be used alone. It would be great if they would like to have a look at the problem of one or more method should be applied during one endoscopic procedure. Moreover, I think it's prudent to write not only little about different types of clips.

Literature on the different types of clips added in the introduction section in paragraph 3