

# World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Oncology*

*World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2020 February 15; 12(2): 124-247





### REVIEW

- 124 Biomarkers for detecting colorectal cancer non-invasively: DNA, RNA or proteins?  
*Loktionov A*
- 149 Caffeine and its main targets of colorectal cancer  
*Cui WQ, Wang ST, Pan D, Chang B, Sang LX*

### MINIREVIEWS

- 173 Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: Treatment hurdles, tumor microenvironment and immunotherapy  
*Sarantis P, Koustas E, Papadimitropoulou A, Papavassiliou AG, Karamouzis MV*

### ORIGINAL ARTICLE

#### Retrospective Cohort Study

- 182 FOLFIRINOX *vs* gemcitabine/nab-paclitaxel for treatment of metastatic pancreatic cancer: Single-center cohort study  
*Cho IR, Kang H, Jo JH, Lee HS, Chung MJ, Park JY, Park SW, Song SY, An C, Park MS, Bang S*

#### Retrospective Study

- 195 Prognostic scoring system for synchronous brain metastasis at diagnosis of colorectal cancer: A population-based study  
*Quan JC, Guan X, Ma CX, Liu Z, Yang M, Zhao ZX, Sun P, Zhuang M, Wang S, Jiang Z, Wang XS*

#### Observational Study

- 205 Neuropathy experienced by colorectal cancer patients receiving oxaliplatin: A qualitative study to validate the Functional Assessment of Cancer Therapy/Gynecologic Oncology Group-Neurotoxicity scale  
*Kaiser K, Lyleroehr M, Shaunfield S, Lacson L, Corona M, Kircher S, Nittve M, Cella D*
- 219 Clinical value evaluation of serum markers for early diagnosis of colorectal cancer  
*Song WY, Zhang X, Zhang Q, Zhang PJ, Zhang R*

### SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 228 Yttrium-90 radioembolization for unresectable hepatic metastases of breast cancer: A systematic review  
*Feretis M, Solodkyy A*

### CASE REPORT

- 237 Cryoablation combined with radiotherapy for hepatic malignancy: Five case reports  
*Liu YE, Zong J, Chen XJ, Zhang R, Ren XC, Guo ZJ, Liu CX, Lin Q*

**ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*, Wael M Abdel-Rahman, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Medical Laboratory Sciences, College of Health Sciences and Environment and Cancer Research Group, Sharjah Institute for Medical Research, University of Sharjah, Sharjah 27272, United Arab Emirates

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology* (WJGO, *World J Gastrointest Oncol*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal oncology and covering a wide range of topics including islet cell adenoma, liver cell adenoma, adenomatous polyposis coli, appendiceal neoplasms, bile duct neoplasms, biliary tract neoplasms, hepatocellular carcinoma, islet cell carcinoma, pancreatic ductal carcinoma, cecal neoplasms, colonic neoplasms, colorectal neoplasms, hereditary nonpolyposis colorectal neoplasms, common bile duct neoplasms, duodenal neoplasms, esophageal neoplasms, gallbladder neoplasms, etc.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJGO is now indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (also known as SciSearch®), PubMed, and PubMed Central. The 2019 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2018 impact factor for WJGO as 2.758 (5-year impact factor: 3.220), ranking WJGO as 52 among 84 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology (quartile in category Q3), and 131 among 229 journals in oncology (quartile in category Q3).

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Responsible Electronic Editor: *Li-Li Qi*  
Proofing Production Department Director: *Xiang Li*

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology*

**ISSN**

ISSN 1948-5204 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

February 15, 2009

**FREQUENCY**

Monthly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Monjur Ahmed, Rosa M Jimenez Rodriguez, Pashtoon Kasi

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/editorialboard.htm>

**EDITORIAL OFFICE**

Jin-Lei Wang, Director

**PUBLICATION DATE**

February 15, 2020

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>



## Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: Treatment hurdles, tumor microenvironment and immunotherapy

Panagiotis Sarantis, Evangelos Koustas, Adriana Papadimitropoulou, Athanasios G Papavassiliou, Michalis V Karamouzis

**ORCID number:** Panagiotis Sarantis (0000-0001-5848-7905); Evangelos Koustas (0000-0003-0583-0540); Adriana Papadimitropoulou (0000-0001-5790-3639); Athanasios G Papavassiliou (0000-0001-5803-4527); Michalis V Karamouzis (0000-0003-1369-8201).

**Author contributions:** Sarantis P, Koustas E and Papadimitropoulou A have equal contribution; Sarantis P, Koustas E and Papadimitropoulou A made substantial contributions to acquisition, analysis and interpretation of data; Sarantis P, Koustas E, Papadimitropoulou A, Papavassiliou AG and Karamouzis MV made substantial contributions in the conception, design and interpretation of the data; Papavassiliou AG and Karamouzis MV made substantial contributions in drafting the manuscript and revising it critically for important intellectual content.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** No potential conflicts of interest.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the

**Panagiotis Sarantis, Evangelos Koustas, Athanasios G Papavassiliou, Michalis V Karamouzis,** Molecular Oncology Unit, Department of Biological Chemistry, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens 11527, Greece

**Adriana Papadimitropoulou,** Center of Basic Research, Biomedical Research Foundation of the Academy of Athens, Athens 11527, Greece

**Michalis V Karamouzis,** First Department of Internal Medicine, “Laiko” General Hospital, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, Athens 11527, Greece

**Corresponding author:** Michalis V Karamouzis, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Molecular Oncology Unit, Department of Biological Chemistry, Medical School, National and Kapodistrian University of Athens, 75, M. Asias Street, Athens 11527, Greece. [mkaramouz@med.uoa.gr](mailto:mkaramouz@med.uoa.gr)

### Abstract

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most lethal diseases, with an average 5-year survival rate of less than 10%. Unfortunately, the majority of patients have unresectable, locally advanced, or metastatic disease at the time of diagnosis. Moreover, traditional treatments such as chemotherapy, surgery, and radiation have not been shown to significantly improve survival. Recently, there has been a swift increase in cancer treatments that incorporate immunotherapy-based strategies to target *all* the stepwise events required for tumor initiation and progression. The results in melanoma, non-small-cell lung cancer and renal cell carcinoma are very encouraging. Unfortunately, the application of checkpoint inhibitors, including anti-CTLA4, anti-PD-1, and anti-PD-L1 antibodies, in pancreatic cancer has been disappointing. Many studies have revealed that the PDAC microenvironment supports tumor growth, promotes metastasis and consists of a physical barrier to drug delivery. Combination therapies hold great promise for enhancing immune responses to achieve a better therapeutic effect. In this review, we provide an outline of why pancreatic cancer is so lethal and of the treatment hurdles that exist. Particular emphasis is given to the role of the tumor microenvironment, and some of the latest and most promising studies on immunotherapy in PDAC are also presented.

**Key words:** Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma; Tumor microenvironment; Immunotherapy; Gemcitabine; Treatment; Cancer stem cells

original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Invited manuscript

**Received:** March 29, 2019

**Peer-review started:** April 3, 2019

**First decision:** November 11, 2019

**Revised:** November 28, 2019

**Accepted:** December 13, 2019

**Article in press:** December 13, 2019

**Published online:** February 15, 2020

**P-Reviewer:** Lin JM, Mohamed SY

**S-Editor:** Dou Y

**L-Editor:** A

**E-Editor:** Qi LL



©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is one of the most aggressive and lethal malignancies. Treatments such as surgery, radiation, and chemotherapy have limited efficacy due to the extensive heterogeneity of genetic mutations and the dense stromal environment, among other causes. In recent years, immunotherapy has been successfully applied in the treatment of various types of cancers, and immunotherapy combined with the above treatments could create more favorable conditions for the fight against PDAC.

**Citation:** Sarantis P, Koustas E, Papadimitropoulou A, Papavassiliou AG, Karamouzis MV. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: Treatment hurdles, tumor microenvironment and immunotherapy. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2020; 12(2): 173-181

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v12/i2/173.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v12.i2.173>

## INTRODUCTION

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is a highly aggressive lethal malignancy due to the lack of early diagnosis and limited response to treatments. It is the most prevalent type of pancreatic neoplasm, and it is developed in the exocrine compartment and accounts for more than 90% of pancreatic cancer cases. Despite scientific progress on the elucidation of PDAC tumor biology and the development of novel therapeutic regimes, it has an average 5-year survival rate of less than 10%<sup>[1]</sup> and is anticipated to become the second leading cause of cancer-related mortality by 2020. Almost 60%-70% of PDAC cases arise from the head of the pancreas, and these cases are usually diagnosed earlier than tumors arising from the body and tail, as the head of the pancreas contains the common bile duct<sup>[2]</sup>. Tumors of the body and tail are associated with a worse prognosis<sup>[3]</sup>. Weight loss, abdominal pain, and jaundice<sup>[4]</sup> are the most common symptoms observed in patients with PDAC, while less common symptoms include new-onset type 2 diabetes<sup>[5]</sup> and thromboembolic disease<sup>[6]</sup>. Classical treatments such as chemotherapy, surgery and radiation have been widely used, but they have not exhibited any significant improvements in clinical outcomes<sup>[7,8]</sup>. The overall survival for metastatic pancreatic cancer remains poor, and less than 20% of patients survive past the end of the first year<sup>[9]</sup>. Surgical resection and chemotherapy (gemcitabine and FOLFIRINOX, a combination of oxaliplatin, irinotecan, fluorouracil, and leucovorin) have managed to improve survival of patients with early-stage pancreatic cancer, but these treatments are not sufficient for patients with late stages of the disease<sup>[10]</sup>. Novel immunotherapies have provided promising results in various solid tumors, such as melanoma or renal cell carcinoma, in a number of cases surpassing chemotherapy as a first-line therapeutic selection<sup>[11]</sup>. Although immunotherapy began a new era in the field of cancer treatment, it is challenging in the context of PDAC as this type of cancer has a nonimmunogenic, immune-suppressive and therapy-resistant microenvironment.

## TREATMENT HURDLES

PDAC development is associated with a poor prognosis due to its complicated and multifactorial nature. There is a lack of simple, early detection methods and is typically diagnosed at a late stage because symptoms do not appear until the disease has progressed and metastasized to distinct sites<sup>[12]</sup>. As mentioned above, surgical resection with chemotherapy provides the best treatment option for PDAC and is beneficial in patients whose cancer cells have not spread to critical abdominal vessels and adjacent organs<sup>[13]</sup>.

The major difficulties in treating pancreatic cancer lie at both the genetic and cellular levels. The extent of mutational changes in pancreatic tumors generates gene instability that appears to play an essential role in PDAC tumor growth and resistance to treatments. PDAC is characterized by considerable genetic heterogeneity not only among patients but also within a single primary tumor. Targeted treatments are effective in cancers that have a relatively high percentage of patients with the same cancer-causing mutation, such as *EGFR* in lung cancer<sup>[14]</sup> or *BRAF* in melanoma<sup>[15]</sup>.



Pancreatic cancer, on the contrary, presents a variety of mutations that lead to cancer, and each mutation is present in a small percentage of patients<sup>[16]</sup>.

The presence of multiple signaling pathway alterations could partially explain the presence of multiple resistance mechanisms. Although the underlying biology of PDAC has not been fully elucidated, key mutations of specific genes such as *Kras*, *CDKN2A/p16*, *TP53* and *SMAD4* and the concomitant activation of downstream signaling pathways appear to play an essential role in the resistance to treatments<sup>[17]</sup>. Additionally, the existence of cancer stem cells (CSCs) contributes to the acquisition of a more resistant tumor state. Pancreatic CSCs account for 0.5%-1.0% of all pancreatic cancer cells<sup>[18]</sup>; CSCs have an increased capacity for self-renewal and exhibit unique metabolic, autophagic and chemoresistance properties that allow them to escape any therapeutic interventions. CSCs are considered tumor-initiating cells that are able to promote tumor development and therapy resistance, leading to disease progression and relapse. One more reason why current treatment fails to exhibit considerable efficacy and beneficial clinical outcomes is that they do not adequately target CSCs<sup>[19]</sup>.

Furthermore, the metastatic potential of PDAC is also responsible for the poor outcome and the lack of effective treatment modules. Recently, genomic and proteomic analyses in the primary PDAC tumor have revealed subclones with different metastatic potentials<sup>[20]</sup> and probably different responses to specific therapeutic regimes. Additionally, PDAC metastasizes microscopically early in the disease course, limiting the effectiveness of local therapies such as surgery and radiation<sup>[21]</sup>.

Finally, multiple studies have demonstrated that components within the PDAC microenvironment are responsible for poor prognosis and the difficulty in establishing efficacious therapeutic strategies<sup>[22-24]</sup>. The tumor microenvironment (TME) is characterized by dense desmoplasia and extensive immunosuppression. Extensive desmoplasia results in decreased stromal vascularization, altered immune cell infiltration and hypoxia, inducing tumor growth and hindering drug activity<sup>[25]</sup>.

## TUMOR MICROENVIRONMENT

As mentioned above, the PDAC microenvironment is characterized by increased desmoplasia and the presence of several noncellular components, such as hyaluronic acid, and various cell types, such as cancer-associated fibroblasts (CAFs), pancreatic stellate cells (PSCs), muscle fibroblasts and immune cells. Cellular components account for 10%-30%, but the stroma generates most of the tumor mass<sup>[26]</sup>. The PSC and CAF components are the dominant cells of pancreatic cancers that produce the extracellular matrix in the TME<sup>[27]</sup>. These components are responsible for the generation of a rigid barrier that results in elevated tumor pressure, diminished vascularization and attenuated drug delivery. Conventional drugs, such as gemcitabine, cannot penetrate the rich and thick layer of the stroma in PDAC and result in drug resistance<sup>[28]</sup>. Targeting stroma has demonstrated contradictory results among preclinical studies. A study by Olive *et al*<sup>[29]</sup> in mouse models showed that inhibition of Sonic Hedgehog-dependent desmoplasia increased gemcitabine delivery and overall survival, while other studies exhibited results contradictory to those of conditional Shh ablation; however, Shh inhibition diminished stroma formation, induced a more aggressive phenotype and decreased survival<sup>[30,31]</sup>. Additionally, the limited availability of oxygen in the PDAC microenvironment and the minimal vascularization detected were identified as promising targets for therapy. However, clinical trials focused on VEGF-A inhibition combined with chemotherapy did not have the anticipated results. The dense ECM provoked elevated intratumoral pressure that negatively regulated vasculature and diffusion. This phenomenon was reversed with the use of hyaluronidase, but it had a limited beneficial effect because of the increased risk for thrombus<sup>[32]</sup>. In addition, the extensive immune suppression observed in PDAC comes as a result of the coordinated action of regulatory T cells (Treg), myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSCs) and macrophages, which block CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell duties in tumor recognition and clearance.

In recent years, the impact of the TME on chemotherapy has become the target of many studies. Chemotherapy can induce immunogenic cell death in certain tumors, which could activate the immune system. Gemcitabine can affect the TME through the inhibition of the expansion of MDSCs and the induction of T2H cells, which leads to the polarization of M2 polarized TAMs<sup>[33]</sup>. Furthermore, other chemotherapeutic drugs, such as cisplatin or carboplatin, have been identified as inducers of IL-6 and prostaglandin E2 and IL-10-producing M2 polarized TAMs<sup>[34]</sup>.

A highly heterogeneous subpopulation of cells is a characteristic of pancreatic cancer. This complex structure of cancer cells and stromal and immunosuppressive

cells consequently alters the effect of immunotherapy. The predominant cell types in the PDAC TME are MDSCs, Tregs and macrophages<sup>[35]</sup>. Furthermore, several other cell types have also been identified in the PDAC TME, such as fibroblasts, ECM, and PSCs; there is also a high ratio of Treg/Teffs. The accumulated population of T cells in the TME leads T cells to exhaustion during an immune response.

Moreover, approximately 50% of PDAC tumors are characterized by the invasion of MDSCs and the upregulation of PD-L1 through IFN- $\gamma$ <sup>[36]</sup>. Thus, PDAC tumors establish an immunosuppressive environment<sup>[37,38]</sup>. In more advanced tumors, several studies have identified that Tregs and Teffs inhibit the normal function of T cells and enhance the immunosuppressive environment of the TME<sup>[39]</sup>.

Several studies also underline the lack of recognition by T cells of cancer antigens through the degradation or downregulation of major histocompatibility complex I in cancer cells. Furthermore, a mutation in the IFN-receptor 1 or 2 gene increases immune suppression in TME and helps cancer cells escape the T cell antitumor response<sup>[40]</sup>. Moreover, two phenotypes, often called “cold” and “hot” tumors, are categorized based on the degree of immune infiltration of T-lymphocytes<sup>[41]</sup>. Hot tumors are characterized by a variation in CD8+ and Tregs in response to immunotherapeutic drugs, and cold tumors, in the early stage of tumorigenesis, show a 20%-40% response to immune checkpoint inhibitors<sup>[37,42]</sup>.

## IMMUNOTHERAPY

PDAC is a disease with increased heterogeneity and exhibits unique immunologic hallmarks. The principal basis of cancer immunotherapy is to activate a patient's T cells so that they can kill their tumors. The key steps are briefly described as follows: (1) Decrease of tumor-specific antigen presentation; (2) Activation of T cells; (3) Infiltration of T cells into tumors; (4) Recognition of cancer cells by T cells; and (5) Elimination of cancer cells<sup>[43]</sup>. There are several types of cancer immunotherapies, such as monoclonal antibodies, adoptive cell transfer<sup>[44-46]</sup>, cancer vaccines<sup>[47,48]</sup>, immune checkpoint inhibitors<sup>[49]</sup>, and immune modulators, all currently tested in clinical trials for the determination of their efficacy. Promising results have been demonstrated after the administration of inhibitors against two major T cell response checkpoints, ipilimumab (anti-CTLA-4 IgG1 humanized antibody) and Nivolumab/Pembrolizumab (anti-PD-1), in various immunogenic cancers, such as melanoma and non-small-cell lung cancer<sup>[50-52]</sup>. CTL-4 binds to its ligands on antigen-presenting cells (APCs) and exerts its immunosuppressive role by reducing T effector cell activation while increasing Treg activity<sup>[53]</sup>.


Similarly, binding of PD-1, which is predominantly expressed on T cells, with its ligands PDL-1/PDL-2, which are found on tumor cells and tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, results in diminished T cell proliferation and antitumor cytokine release. Despite the encouraging evidence from the aforementioned cancer studies, these treatments exhibited poor efficacy to pancreatic cancer when administered alone. In a phase II study, ipilimumab was not able to induce tumor response in patients with advanced pancreatic cancer, and the anti-PD-L1 monoclonal antibody BMS-93655 had no efficacy in a phase I study<sup>[54-56]</sup>. The incompetence of these compounds to elicit pancreatic tumor growth inhibition was probably due to the immune quiescence, excessive desmoplasia and the lack of consensus expression of PD-L1 in this type of cancer<sup>[57]</sup>. Therefore, the incorporation of additional therapies for administration of combinatorial strategies appears to be the ideal approach to achieving the most efficient response. A broad spectrum of clinical trials in pancreatic cancer have been completed or are currently ongoing using immune checkpoint monotherapies, dual checkpoint combination therapies and checkpoint inhibitors combined with vaccines, cytotoxic chemotherapy and other inhibitory agents. Below, there are some examples of the therapeutic strategies followed in these clinical trials: (1) Monotherapies include the administration of various inhibitors against CTL-4 (ipilimumab, tremelimumab) and PD-1 (pembrolizumab, MPDL3280A, MEDI4736), and dual checkpoint inhibition including the combinations of these agents with each other or with other agents such as mogamulizumab (anti-CCR-5); (2) Immune checkpoint inhibitors in combination with chemotherapeutic agents consist of combinations of CTL4 and/or PD-1 inhibitors with conventional chemotherapeutic agents such as gemcitabine, Nab-paclitaxel, FOLFOX, and carboplatin<sup>[58-60]</sup>. A phase I clinical study investigating the efficacy of gemcitabine and tremelimumab in metastatic pancreatic cancer showed a partial response in some patients, and the disease remained stable for more than ten weeks<sup>[61]</sup>. In another study of unresectable pancreatic cancer, ipilimumab and gemcitabine combinatorial treatment had similar results<sup>[58]</sup>. Two clinical pilot studies based on the combination of chemotherapy and

anti-PD-1 antibodies (pembrolizumab and FOLFOX for advanced GI cancer and pidilizumab and gemcitabine for resected pancreatic cancer) were initiated after increased tumor infiltration of CD8<sup>+</sup> T cells and complete responses were observed in treated mice<sup>[59]</sup>; (3) Vaccine immunotherapy is based on the delivery of tumor antigens to APCs and the subsequent induction of an orchestrated immune response. Cancer-specific DNA alterations create neo-antigens, which results in a unique peptide sequence. Vaccine immunotherapies include whole-cell vaccines, DC vaccines, DNA and peptide vaccines, but despite the improved immune profiles, they have shown a poor clinical outcome<sup>[48]</sup>. The most widely studied vaccine in pancreatic cancer is GVAX, an allogenic irradiated whole-cell tumor vaccine genetically engineered to secrete granulocyte macrophage-colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF) and stimulate cytolytic activity against tumors<sup>[62]</sup>. In a phase I clinical study, GVAX administration in resectable pancreatic cancer before and after radiotherapy exhibited extended DFS<sup>[63]</sup>, and in phase II clinical studies, GVAX in combination with cyclophosphamide or 5-FU-based chemoradiation demonstrated similar results regarding DFS and MS<sup>[64,65]</sup>. When combined with the aforementioned immune checkpoint inhibitor ipilimumab in a phase I trial in patients with advanced refractory pancreatic cancer, GVAX resulted in improved survival compared to ipilimumab alone, a fact that was associated with the extensive presence of T cells<sup>[66]</sup>. Other vaccines targeting KRAS, MUC1, VEGF-R, or survivin alone or in combination with GVAX are also under clinical investigation for the determination of their efficacy<sup>[60]</sup>; (4) Adoptive T cell immunotherapy is based on the modification of autologous T cells, engineered to express a chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) and stimulate the immune response against the tumor. Despite the impressive results gained by a clinical study utilizing CAR-T technology to target leukemia<sup>[67,68]</sup>, the majority of patients receiving CAR-T cells targeting mesothelin, a membrane antigen overexpressed in pancreatic cancer, showed satisfying tolerance but failed to exhibit a good response<sup>[60]</sup>. In addition to mesothelin, other cancer-associated antigens are being tested in ongoing clinical trials as potential targets of CAR-T-based therapeutic regimes (anti-CEA, anti-CD-133, anti-ROR1, anti-WT1) alone or in combination with chemotherapy<sup>[60]</sup>; and (5) Immune modulating agents targeting the dense pancreatic microenvironment could also exert substantial antitumor activity. Promising data have been derived from the use of anti-CD40 agonistic antibodies along with gemcitabine in PDAC patients, where tumor regression was attributed to stromal alterations provoked by the effect of the anti-CD40 antibody<sup>[69,70]</sup>. Another molecule currently being tested in clinical trials against PDAC is CCR2, a chemokine receptor that mediates the chemotaxis of immune cells. In a phase 1 clinical trial, half of PDAC patients treated with PF-04136309, an inhibitor of CCR2, in combination with FOLFIRINOX, exhibited partial response and stable disease<sup>[71]</sup>.

## CONCLUSION

Pancreatic cancer remains a devastating disease with poor prognosis. This is due to factors such as the lack of early diagnostic markers, delayed detection, diverse genetics and rapid metastasis. The extensive TME that grows around the tumor plays crucial roles in this disease. Due to the dense and immunosuppressive TME, the penetrance of therapeutic regimes for the elimination of cancer cells is hindered. The interaction between the microenvironment and cancer cells remains to be further elucidated. However, in recent years, immunotherapy has been successfully applied in the treatment of various types of cancers. Combination therapies have been developed to optimize the clinical outcome and prolong the survival of patients with pancreatic cancer (Figure 1).





**Figure 1** The pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma microenvironment consists of a significant hurdle for the efficient application of chemotherapy drugs or immunotherapeutic compounds. Combination treatments of chemotherapy, immunotherapy and radiation might render pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma microenvironment more vulnerable to inhibition and promote effective treatment strategies.

## REFERENCES

- 1 Miller KD, Siegel RL, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, Kramer JL, Rowland JH, Stein KD, Alteri R, Jemal A. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2016. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2016; **66**: 271-289 [PMID: 27253694 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21349]
- 2 Corbo V, Tortora G, Scarpa A. Molecular pathology of pancreatic cancer: from bench-to bedside translation. *Curr Drug Targets* 2012; **13**: 744-752 [PMID: 22458520 DOI: 10.2174/138945012800564103]
- 3 Ghaneh P, Costello E, Neoptolemos JP. Biology and management of pancreatic cancer. *Postgrad Med J* 2008; **84**: 478-497 [PMID: 18940950 DOI: 10.1136/gut.2006.103333]
- 4 Porta M, Fabregat X, Malats N, Guarner L, Carrato A, de Miguel A, Ruiz L, Jariod M, Costafreda S, Coll S, Alguacil J, Corominas JM, Solà R, Salas A, Real FX. Exocrine pancreatic cancer: symptoms at presentation and their relation to tumour site and stage. *Clin Transl Oncol* 2005; **7**: 189-197 [PMID: 15960930 DOI: 10.1007/bf02712816]
- 5 De Souza A, Khawaja KI, Masud F, Saif MW. Metformin and pancreatic cancer: Is there a role? *Cancer Chemother Pharmacol* 2016; **77**: 235-242 [PMID: 26740120 DOI: 10.1007/s00280-015-2948-8]
- 6 Khorana AA. Cancer and coagulation. *Am J Hematol* 2012; **87** Suppl 1: S82-S87 [PMID: 22389165 DOI: 10.1002/ajh.23143]
- 7 Ansari D, Gustafsson A, Andersson R. Update on the management of pancreatic cancer: surgery is not enough. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 3157-3165 [PMID: 25805920 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i11.3157]
- 8 Adamska A, Domenichini A, Falasca M. Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: Current and Evolving Therapies. *Int J Mol Sci* 2017; **18** [PMID: 28640192 DOI: 10.3390/ijms18071338]
- 9 Mayo SC, Nathan H, Cameron JL, Olino K, Edil BH, Herman JM, Hirose K, Schulick RD, Choti MA, Wolfgang CL, Pawlik TM. Conditional survival in patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma resected with curative intent. *Cancer* 2012; **118**: 2674-2681 [PMID: 21935914 DOI: 10.1002/cncr.26553]
- 10 Vaccaro V, Sperduti I, Milella M. FOLFIRINOX versus gemcitabine for metastatic pancreatic cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **365**: 768-9; author reply 769 [PMID: 21864184 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMc1107627]
- 11 Santoni M, Massari F, Di Nunno V, Conti A, Cimadamore A, Scarpelli M, Montironi R, Cheng L, Battelli N, Lopez-Beltran A. Immunotherapy in renal cell carcinoma: latest evidence and clinical implications. *Drugs Context* 2018; **7**: 212528 [PMID: 29899754 DOI: 10.7573/dic.212528]
- 12 Oberstein PE, Olive KP. Pancreatic cancer: why is it so hard to treat? *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2013; **6**: 321-337 [PMID: 23814611 DOI: 10.1177/1756283X13478680]
- 13 Chakraborty S, Singh S. Surgical resection improves survival in pancreatic cancer patients without vascular invasion- a population based study. *Ann Gastroenterol* 2013; **26**: 346-352 [PMID: 24714323]

- 14 **Bethune G**, Bethune D, Ridgway N, Xu Z. Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) in lung cancer: an overview and update. *J Thorac Dis* 2010; **2**: 48-51 [PMID: [22263017](#)]
- 15 **Cheng L**, Lopez-Beltran A, Massari F, MacLennan GT, Montironi R. Molecular testing for BRAF mutations to inform melanoma treatment decisions: a move toward precision medicine. *Mod Pathol* 2018; **31**: 24-38 [PMID: [29148538](#) DOI: [10.1038/modpathol.2017.104](#)]
- 16 **Grant TJ**, Hua K, Singh A. Molecular Pathogenesis of Pancreatic Cancer. *Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci* 2016; **144**: 241-275 [PMID: [27865459](#) DOI: [10.1016/bs.pmbts.2016.09.008](#)]
- 17 **Samuel N**, Hudson TJ. The molecular and cellular heterogeneity of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2011; **9**: 77-87 [PMID: [22183185](#) DOI: [10.1038/nrgastro.2011.215](#)]
- 18 **Lee CJ**, Li C, Simeone DM. Human pancreatic cancer stem cells: implications for how we treat pancreatic cancer. *Transl Oncol* 2008; **1**: 14-18 [PMID: [18607507](#) DOI: [10.1593/tlo.08013](#)]
- 19 **Yoshida GJ**, Saya H. Therapeutic strategies targeting cancer stem cells. *Cancer Sci* 2016; **107**: 5-11 [PMID: [26362755](#) DOI: [10.1111/cas.12817](#)]
- 20 **Le Large TYS**, Bijlsma MF, Kazemier G, van Laarhoven HWM, Giovannetti E, Jimenez CR. Key biological processes driving metastatic spread of pancreatic cancer as identified by multi-omics studies. *Semin Cancer Biol* 2017; **44**: 153-169 [PMID: [28366542](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.semcancer.2017.03.008](#)]
- 21 **Rhim AD**, Mirek ET, Aiello NM, Maitra A, Bailey JM, McAllister F, Reichert M, Beatty GL, Rustgi AK, Vonderheide RH, Leach SD, Stanger BZ. EMT and dissemination precede pancreatic tumor formation. *Cell* 2012; **148**: 349-361 [PMID: [22265420](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.cell.2011.11.025](#)]
- 22 **Parente P**, Parcesepe P, Covelli C, Olivieri N, Remo A, Pancione M, Latiano TP, Graziano P, Maiello E, Giordano G. Crosstalk between the Tumor Microenvironment and Immune System in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: Potential Targets for New Therapeutic Approaches. *Gastroenterol Res Pract* 2018; **2018**: 7530619 [PMID: [30662458](#) DOI: [10.1155/2018/7530619](#)]
- 23 **Foucher ED**, Ghigo C, Chouaib S, Galon J, Iovanna J, Olive D. Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma: A Strong Imbalance of Good and Bad Immunological Cops in the Tumor Microenvironment. *Front Immunol* 2018; **9**: 1044 [PMID: [29868007](#) DOI: [10.3389/fimmu.2018.01044](#)]
- 24 **Ren B**, Cui M, Yang G, Wang H, Feng M, You L, Zhao Y. Tumor microenvironment participates in metastasis of pancreatic cancer. *Mol Cancer* 2018; **17**: 108 [PMID: [30060755](#) DOI: [10.1186/s12943-018-0858-1](#)]
- 25 **Uzunparmak B**, Sahin IH. Pancreatic cancer microenvironment: a current dilemma. *Clin Transl Med* 2019; **8**: 2 [PMID: [30645701](#) DOI: [10.1186/s40169-019-0221-1](#)]
- 26 **Erkan M**, Hausmann S, Michalski CW, Fingerle AA, Dobritz M, Kleeff J, Friess H. The role of stroma in pancreatic cancer: diagnostic and therapeutic implications. *Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2012; **9**: 454-467 [PMID: [22710569](#) DOI: [10.1038/nrgastro.2012.115](#)]
- 27 **Karagiannis GS**, Poutahidis T, Erdman SE, Kirsch R, Riddell RH, Diamandis EP. Cancer-associated fibroblasts drive the progression of metastasis through both paracrine and mechanical pressure on cancer tissue. *Mol Cancer Res* 2012; **10**: 1403-1418 [PMID: [23024188](#) DOI: [10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-12-0307](#)]
- 28 **Binenbaum Y**, Na'ara S, Gil Z. Gemcitabine resistance in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Drug Resist Updat* 2015; **23**: 55-68 [PMID: [26690340](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.drug.2015.10.002](#)]
- 29 **Olive KP**, Jacobetz MA, Davidson CJ, Gopinathan A, McIntyre D, Honess D, Madhu B, Goldgraben MA, Caldwell ME, Allard D, Frese KK, Denicola G, Feig C, Combs C, Winter SP, Ireland-Zecchini H, Reichelt S, Howat WJ, Chang A, Dhara M, Wang L, Rückert F, Grützmann R, Pilarsky C, Izeradjene K, Hingorani SR, Huang P, Davies SE, Plunkett W, Egorin M, Hruban RH, Whitebread N, McGovern K, Adams J, Iacobuzio-Donahue C, Griffiths J, Tuveson DA. Inhibition of Hedgehog signaling enhances delivery of chemotherapy in a mouse model of pancreatic cancer. *Science* 2009; **324**: 1457-1461 [PMID: [19460966](#) DOI: [10.1126/science.1171362](#)]
- 30 **Rhim AD**, Oberstein PE, Thomas DH, Mirek ET, Palermo CF, Sastra SA, Dekleva EN, Saunders T, Becerra CP, Tattersall IW, Westphalen CB, Kitajewski J, Fernandez-Barrena MG, Fernandez-Zapico ME, Iacobuzio-Donahue C, Olive KP, Stanger BZ. Stromal elements act to restrain, rather than support, pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 2014; **25**: 735-747 [PMID: [24856585](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ccr.2014.04.021](#)]
- 31 **Cheng X**, Kim JY, Ghafoory S, Duvaci T, Rafiee R, Theobald J, Alborzinia H, Holenya P, Fredebohm J, Merz KH, Mehrabi A, Hafezi M, Saffari A, Eisenbrand G, Hoheisel JD, Wölfl S. Methyloisindigo preferentially kills cancer stem cells by interfering cell metabolism via inhibition of LKB1 and activation of AMPK in PDACs. *Mol Oncol* 2016; **10**: 806-824 [PMID: [26887594](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.molonc.2016.01.008](#)]
- 32 **Provenzano PP**, Cuevas C, Chang AE, Goel VK, Von Hoff DD, Hingorani SR. Enzymatic targeting of the stroma ablates physical barriers to treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Cell* 2012; **21**: 418-429 [PMID: [22439937](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ccr.2012.01.007](#)]
- 33 **Liu Q**, Li Y, Niu Z, Zong Y, Wang M, Yao L, Lu Z, Liao Q, Zhao Y. Atorvastatin (Lipitor) attenuates the effects of aspirin on pancreatic cancerogenesis and the chemotherapeutic efficacy of gemcitabine on pancreatic cancer by promoting M2 polarized tumor associated macrophages. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res* 2016; **35**: 33 [PMID: [26879926](#) DOI: [10.1186/s13046-016-0304-4](#)]
- 34 **Dijkgraaf EM**, Heusinkveld M, Tummers B, Vogelpoel LT, Goedemans R, Jha V, Nortier JW, Welters MJ, Kroep JR, van der Burg SH. Chemotherapy alters monocyte differentiation to favor generation of cancer-supporting M2 macrophages in the tumor microenvironment. *Cancer Res* 2013; **73**: 2480-2492 [PMID: [23436796](#) DOI: [10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-12-3542](#)]
- 35 **Aliru ML**, Schoenhals JE, Venkatesulu BP, Anderson CC, Barsoumian HB, Younes AI, K Mahadevan LS, Soeung M, Aziz KE, Welsh JW, Krishnan S. Radiation therapy and immunotherapy: what is the optimal timing or sequencing? *Immunotherapy* 2018; **10**: 299-316 [PMID: [29421979](#) DOI: [10.2217/imt-2017-0082](#)]
- 36 **Karakhanova S**, Link J, Heinrich M, Shevchenko I, Yang Y, Hassenpflug M, Bunge H, von Ahn K, Brecht R, Mathes A, Maier C, Umansky V, Werner J, Bazhin AV. Characterization of myeloid leukocytes and soluble mediators in pancreatic cancer: importance of myeloid-derived suppressor cells. *Oncoimmunology* 2015; **4**: e998519 [PMID: [26137414](#) DOI: [10.1080/2162402X.2014.998519](#)]
- 37 **Mahmood J**, Shukla HD, Soman S, Samanta S, Singh P, Kamalpurkar S, Saeed A, Amin NP, Vujaskovic Z. Immunotherapy, Radiotherapy, and Hyperthermia: A Combined Therapeutic Approach in Pancreatic Cancer Treatment. *Cancers (Basel)* 2018; **10** [PMID: [30486519](#) DOI: [10.3390/cancers10120469](#)]
- 38 **Young K**, Hughes DJ, Cunningham D, Starling N. Immunotherapy and pancreatic cancer: unique challenges and potential opportunities. *Ther Adv Med Oncol* 2018; **10**: 1758835918816281 [PMID: [30574212](#) DOI: [10.1177/1758835918816281](#)]

- 39 **Sanford DE**, Belt BA, Panni RZ, Mayer A, Deshpande AD, Carpenter D, Mitchem JB, Plambeck-Suess SM, Worley LA, Goetz BD, Wang-Gillam A, Eberlein TJ, Denardo DG, Goedegebuure SP, Linehan DC. Inflammatory monocyte mobilization decreases patient survival in pancreatic cancer: a role for targeting the CCL2/CCR2 axis. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013; **19**: 3404-3415 [PMID: [23653148](#) DOI: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0525](#)]
- 40 **Amedei A**, Niccolai E, Benagiano M, Della Bella C, Cianchi F, Bechi P, Taddei A, Bencini L, Farsi M, Cappello P, Prisco D, Novelli F, D'Elios MM. Ex vivo analysis of pancreatic cancer-infiltrating T lymphocytes reveals that ENO-specific Tregs accumulate in tumor tissue and inhibit Th1/Th17 effector cell functions. *Cancer Immunol Immunother* 2013; **62**: 1249-1260 [PMID: [23640603](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00262-013-1429-3](#)]
- 41 **Gao J**, Shi LZ, Zhao H, Chen J, Xiong L, He Q, Chen T, Roszik J, Bernatchez C, Woodman SE, Chen PL, Hwu P, Allison JP, Futreal A, Wargo JA, Sharma P. Loss of IFN- $\gamma$  Pathway Genes in Tumor Cells as a Mechanism of Resistance to Anti-CTLA-4 Therapy. *Cell* 2016; **167**: 397-404.e9 [PMID: [27667683](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.069](#)]
- 42 **Hilmi M**, Bartholin L, Neuzillet C. Immune therapies in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: Where are we now? *World J Gastroenterol* 2018; **24**: 2137-2151 [PMID: [29853732](#) DOI: [10.3748/wjg.v24.i20.2137](#)]
- 43 **Zhang J**, Wolfgang CL, Zheng L. Precision Immuno-Oncology: Prospects of Individualized Immunotherapy for Pancreatic Cancer. *Cancers (Basel)* 2018; **10** [PMID: [29385739](#) DOI: [10.3390/cancers10020039](#)]
- 44 **Topalian SL**, Weiner GJ, Pardoll DM. Cancer immunotherapy comes of age. *J Clin Oncol* 2011; **29**: 4828-4836 [PMID: [22042955](#) DOI: [10.1200/JCO.2011.38.0899](#)]
- 45 **Brahmer JR**, Tykodi SS, Chow LQ, Hwu WJ, Topalian SL, Hwu P, Drake CG, Camacho LH, Kauh J, Odunsi K, Pitot HC, Hamid O, Bhatia S, Martins R, Eaton K, Chen S, Salay TM, Alaparthi S, Grosso JF, Korman AJ, Parker SM, Agrawal S, Goldberg SM, Pardoll DM, Gupta A, Wigginton JM. Safety and activity of anti-PD-L1 antibody in patients with advanced cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2012; **366**: 2455-2465 [PMID: [22658128](#) DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa1200694](#)]
- 46 **Gibney GT**, Weiner LM, Atkins MB. Predictive biomarkers for checkpoint inhibitor-based immunotherapy. *Lancet Oncol* 2016; **17**: e542-e551 [PMID: [27924752](#) DOI: [10.1016/S1470-2045\(16\)30406-5](#)]
- 47 **Le DT**, Jaffee EM. Next-generation cancer vaccine approaches: integrating lessons learned from current successes with promising biotechnologic advances. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2013; **11**: 766-772 [PMID: [23847215](#) DOI: [10.6004/jncn.2013.0099](#)]
- 48 **Jaffee EM**, Hruban RH, Canto M, Kern SE. Focus on pancreas cancer. *Cancer Cell* 2002; **2**: 25-28 [PMID: [12150822](#) DOI: [10.1016/s1535-6108\(02\)00093-4](#)]
- 49 **Pardoll DM**. The blockade of immune checkpoints in cancer immunotherapy. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2012; **12**: 252-264 [PMID: [22437870](#) DOI: [10.1038/nrc3239](#)]
- 50 **Robert C**, Thomas L, Bondarenko I, O'Day S, Weber J, Garbe C, Lebbe C, Baurain JF, Testori A, Grob JJ, Davidson N, Richards J, Maio M, Hauschild A, Miller WH, Gascon P, Lotem M, Harmankaya K, Ibrahim R, Francis S, Chen TT, Humphrey R, Hoos A, Wolchok JD. Ipilimumab plus dacarbazine for previously untreated metastatic melanoma. *N Engl J Med* 2011; **364**: 2517-2526 [PMID: [21639810](#) DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa1104621](#)]
- 51 **Horn L**, Spigel DR, Vokes EE, Holgado E, Ready N, Steins M, Poddubskaya E, Borghaei H, Felip E, Paz-Ares L, Pluzanski A, Reckamp KL, Burgio MA, Kohlhaeuf M, Waterhouse D, Barlesi F, Antonia S, Arrieta O, Fayette J, Crinò L, Rizvi N, Reck M, Hellmann MD, Geese WJ, Li A, Blackwood-Chirchir A, Healey D, Brahmer J, Eberhardt WEE. Nivolumab Versus Docetaxel in Previously Treated Patients With Advanced Non-Small-Cell Lung Cancer: Two-Year Outcomes From Two Randomized, Open-Label, Phase III Trials (CheckMate 017 and CheckMate 057). *J Clin Oncol* 2017; **35**: 3924-3933 [PMID: [29023213](#) DOI: [10.1200/JCO.2017.74.3062](#)]
- 52 **Herbst RS**, Soria JC, Kowanzet M, Fine GD, Hamid O, Gordon MS, Sosman JA, McDermott DF, Powderly JD, Gettinger SN, Kohrt HE, Horn L, Lawrence DP, Rost S, Leabman M, Xiao Y, Mokatrinn A, Koeppen H, Hegde PS, Mellman I, Chen DS, Hodi FS. Predictive correlates of response to the anti-PD-L1 antibody MPDL3280A in cancer patients. *Nature* 2014; **515**: 563-567 [PMID: [25428504](#) DOI: [10.1038/nature14011](#)]
- 53 **Blank CU**, Enk A. Therapeutic use of anti-CTLA-4 antibodies. *Int Immunol* 2015; **27**: 3-10 [PMID: [25038057](#) DOI: [10.1093/intimm/dxu076](#)]
- 54 **Foley K**, Kim V, Jaffee E, Zheng L. Current progress in immunotherapy for pancreatic cancer. *Cancer Lett* 2016; **381**: 244-251 [PMID: [26723878](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.canlet.2015.12.020](#)]
- 55 **Sahin IH**, Askan G, Hu ZI, O'Reilly EM. Immunotherapy in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma: an emerging entity? *Ann Oncol* 2017; **28**: 2950-2961 [PMID: [28945842](#) DOI: [10.1093/annonc/mdx503](#)]
- 56 **Winograd R**, Byrne KT, Evans RA, Odorizzi PM, Meyer AR, Bajor DL, Clendenin C, Stanger BZ, Furth EE, Wherry EJ, Vonderheide RH. Induction of T-cell Immunity Overcomes Complete Resistance to PD-1 and CTLA-4 Blockade and Improves Survival in Pancreatic Carcinoma. *Cancer Immunol Res* 2015; **3**: 399-411 [PMID: [25678581](#) DOI: [10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-14-0215](#)]
- 57 **Zheng L**. PD-L1 Expression in Pancreatic Cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 2017; **109** [PMID: [28131993](#) DOI: [10.1093/jnci/djw304](#)]
- 58 **Kamath SD**, Kalyan A, Kircher S, Nimeiri H, Fought AJ, Benson A, Mulcahy M. Ipilimumab and Gemcitabine for Advanced Pancreatic Cancer: A Phase Ib Study. *Oncologist* 2019 [PMID: [31740568](#) DOI: [10.1634/theoncologist.2019-0473](#)]
- 59 **Nomi T**, Sho M, Akahori T, Hamada K, Kubo A, Kanehiro H, Nakamura S, Enomoto K, Yagita H, Azuma M, Nakajima Y. Clinical significance and therapeutic potential of the programmed death-1 ligand/programmed death-1 pathway in human pancreatic cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007; **13**: 2151-2157 [PMID: [17404099](#) DOI: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-06-2746](#)]
- 60 **Thind K**, Padmos LJ, Ramanathan RK, Borad MJ. Immunotherapy in pancreatic cancer treatment: a new frontier. *Therap Adv Gastroenterol* 2017; **10**: 168-194 [PMID: [28286568](#) DOI: [10.1177/1756283X16667909](#)]
- 61 **Aglietta M**, Barone C, Sawyer MB, Moore MJ, Miller WH, Bagalà C, Colombi F, Cagnazzo C, Gioeni L, Wang E, Huang B, Fly KD, Leone F. A phase I dose escalation trial of tremelimumab (CP-675,206) in combination with gemcitabine in chemotherapy-naïve patients with metastatic pancreatic cancer. *Ann Oncol* 2014; **25**: 1750-1755 [PMID: [24907635](#) DOI: [10.1093/annonc/mdu205](#)]
- 62 **Thomas AM**, Santarsiero LM, Lutz ER, Armstrong TD, Chen YC, Huang LQ, Laheru DA, Goggins M, Hruban RH, Jaffee EM. Mesothelin-specific CD8(+) T cell responses provide evidence of in vivo cross-

- priming by antigen-presenting cells in vaccinated pancreatic cancer patients. *J Exp Med* 2004; **200**: 297-306 [PMID: [15289501](#) DOI: [10.1084/jem.20031435](#)]
- 63 **Jaffee EM**, Hruban RH, Biedrzycki B, Laheru D, Schepers K, Sauter PR, Goemann M, Coleman J, Grochow L, Donehower RC, Lillemoe KD, O'Reilly S, Abrams RA, Pardoll DM, Cameron JL, Yeo CJ. Novel allogeneic granulocyte-macrophage colony-stimulating factor-secreting tumor vaccine for pancreatic cancer: a phase I trial of safety and immune activation. *J Clin Oncol* 2001; **19**: 145-156 [PMID: [11134207](#) DOI: [10.1200/JCO.2001.19.1.145](#)]
- 64 **Lutz E**, Yeo CJ, Lillemoe KD, Biedrzycki B, Kobrin B, Herman J, Sugar E, Piantadosi S, Cameron JL, Solt S, Onners B, Tartakovsky I, Choi M, Sharma R, Illei PB, Hruban RH, Abrams RA, Le D, Jaffee E, Laheru D. A lethally irradiated allogeneic granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor-secreting tumor vaccine for pancreatic adenocarcinoma. A Phase II trial of safety, efficacy, and immune activation. *Ann Surg* 2011; **253**: 328-335 [PMID: [21217520](#) DOI: [10.1097/SLA.0b013e3181fd271c](#)]
- 65 **Laheru D**, Lutz E, Burke J, Biedrzycki B, Solt S, Onners B, Tartakovsky I, Nemunaitis J, Le D, Sugar E, Hege K, Jaffee E. Allogeneic granulocyte macrophage colony-stimulating factor-secreting tumor immunotherapy alone or in sequence with cyclophosphamide for metastatic pancreatic cancer: a pilot study of safety, feasibility, and immune activation. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008; **14**: 1455-1463 [PMID: [18316569](#) DOI: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-07-0371](#)]
- 66 **Le DT**, Lutz E, Uram JN, Sugar EA, Onners B, Solt S, Zheng L, Diaz LA, Donehower RC, Jaffee EM, Laheru DA. Evaluation of ipilimumab in combination with allogeneic pancreatic tumor cells transfected with a GM-CSF gene in previously treated pancreatic cancer. *J Immunother* 2013; **36**: 382-389 [PMID: [23924790](#) DOI: [10.1097/CJI.0b013e31829fb7a2](#)]
- 67 **Maude SL**, Frey N, Shaw PA, Aplenc R, Barrett DM, Bunin NJ, Chew A, Gonzalez VE, Zheng Z, Lacey SF, Mahnke YD, Melenhorst JJ, Rheingold SR, Shen A, Teachey DT, Levine BL, June CH, Porter DL, Grupp SA. Chimeric antigen receptor T cells for sustained remissions in leukemia. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **371**: 1507-1517 [PMID: [25317870](#) DOI: [10.1056/NEJMoa1407222](#)]
- 68 **Beatty GL**. Engineered chimeric antigen receptor-expressing T cells for the treatment of pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Oncoimmunology* 2014; **3**: e28327 [PMID: [25050204](#) DOI: [10.4161/onci.28327](#)]
- 69 **Beatty GL**, Chiorean EG, Fishman MP, Saboury B, Teitelbaum UR, Sun W, Huhn RD, Song W, Li D, Sharp LL, Torigian DA, O'Dwyer PJ, Vonderheide RH. CD40 agonists alter tumor stroma and show efficacy against pancreatic carcinoma in mice and humans. *Science* 2011; **331**: 1612-1616 [PMID: [21436454](#) DOI: [10.1126/science.1198443](#)]
- 70 **Beatty GL**, Torigian DA, Chiorean EG, Saboury B, Brothers A, Alavi A, Troxel AB, Sun W, Teitelbaum UR, Vonderheide RH, O'Dwyer PJ. A phase I study of an agonist CD40 monoclonal antibody (CP-870,893) in combination with gemcitabine in patients with advanced pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2013; **19**: 6286-6295 [PMID: [23983255](#) DOI: [10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-1320](#)]
- 71 **Nywenning TM**, Wang-Gillam A, Sanford DE, Belt BA, Panni RZ, Cusworth BM, Toriola AT, Nieman RK, Worley LA, Yano M, Fowler KJ, Lockhart AC, Suresh R, Tan BR, Lim KH, Fields RC, Strasberg SM, Hawkins WG, DeNardo DG, Goedegebuure SP, Linehan DC. Targeting tumour-associated macrophages with CCR2 inhibition in combination with FOLFIRINOX in patients with borderline resectable and locally advanced pancreatic cancer: a single-centre, open-label, dose-finding, non-randomised, phase 1b trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2016; **17**: 651-662 [PMID: [27055731](#) DOI: [10.1016/S1470-2045\(16\)00078-4](#)]



Published By Baishideng Publishing Group Inc  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
Telephone: +1-925-3991568  
E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

