**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 47998

**Manuscript Type:** CASE REPORT

**Novel technique for anastomotic salvage using transanal minimally invasive surgery: A case report**

Olavarria OA *et al.*TAMIS Ligasure septotomy for anastomotic leak

Oscar A Olavarria, Robert L Kress, Shinil K Shah, Amit K Agarwal

**Oscar A Olavarria,** Center for Surgical Trials and Evidence-Based Practice, McGovern Medical School at the University of Texas Health Science Center at Houston, Houston, TX 77033, United States

**Oscar A Olavarria, Robert L Kress, Shinil K Shah, Amit K Agarwal,** Department of Surgery, McGovern Medical School at the University of Texas Health Science Center Houston, Houston, TX 77033, United States

**ORCID number:** Oscar A Olavarria ([0000-0001-8731-5395](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8731-5395)); Robert L Kress ([0000-0001-8074-7021](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8074-7021)); Shinil K Shah ([0000-0002-4605-3138](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-4605-3138)); Amit K Agarwal ([0000-0003-3395-0662](http://orcid.org/0000-0003-3395-0662)).

**Author contributions:** Olavarria OA, Kress RL, Shah SK and Agarwal AK contributed to study conception and design; Olavarria OA, Kress RL and Agarwal AK contributed to acquisition of data; Olavarria OA, Kress RL, Shah SK and Agarwal AK contributed to analysis and interpretation of data; Olavarria OA, Kress RL and Agarwal AK contributed to drafting of manuscript; Olavarria OA, Kress RL, Shah SK and Agarwal AK contributed to critical revision.

**Informed consent statement:** Informed written consent was obtained from the patient for the surgical intervention and publication of this report and any accompanying images.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** Olavarria OA, Kress RL and Agarwal AK declare that they have no conflict of interest. Shah receives grants from Neosurgical, Medigus and Intuitive Surgical. Also receives educational honoraria from Gore and C-SATS. None of these conflicts of interests are relevant to the current case report

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** Guidelines of the CARE Checklist (2016) have been adopted.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Unsolicited manuscript

**Corresponding author: Oscar A Olavarria, MD, Doctor, Postdoctoral Fellow, Senior Research Fellow,** Department of Surgery, McGovern Medical School at the University of Texas Health Science Center Houston, 5656 Kelley St., General Surgery Office, Houston, TX 77033, United States. [oscarolavarria@hotmail.com](mailto:oscarolavarria@hotmail.com)

**Telephone:** +1-713-5664640

**Fax:** +1-713-5664583

**Received:** April 4, 2019

**Peer-review started:** April 4, 2019

**First decision:** May 8, 2019

**Revised:** May 18, 2019

**Accepted:** May 23, 2019

**Article in press:**

**Published online:**

**Abstract**

***BACKGROUND***

Anastomotic leak (AL) after low anterior resection (LAR) can be a highly morbid complication. The incidence of AL ranges from 5% to 20% depending on patient characteristics and the distance of the anastomosis from the anal verge. Low anastomoses and leaks pose technical challenges for endoscopic treatment. The aim of this report was to describe the use of a commercially available laparoscopic energy device through a transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) port for the management of a symptomatic leak not requiring relaparotomy (grade B) after a LAR with diverting loop ileostomy.

***CASE SUMMARY***

A TAMIS GelPOINT Path port was inserted into the anus to access the distal rectum. Pneumorectum was achieved with AirSeal insufflation and a 30 degree laparoscope was introduced through a trocar. A LigaSureTM Retractable L-Hook device was then used to perform a septotomy of the chronic sinus tract identified posterior to the coloproctostomy. The procedure was then repeated twice in three weeks intervals with ultimate resolution of the chronic leak cavity. Several months after serial TAMIS septotomies, barium enema demonstrated a patent anastomosis with no evidence of persistent leak or stricture. The patient subsequently underwent ileostomy reversal and has had no significant post-operative issues.

***CONCLUSION***

TAMIS septotomy with the LigaSureTM Retractable L-Hook is a feasible and effective, minimally invasive salvage technique for the treatment of grade B ALs. Larger studies are needed to assess the generalizability and long-term results of this technique.

**Key words:** Transanal minimally invasive surgery; Ligasure hook; Anastomotic leak; Low anterior resection; Septotomy; Case report

**© The Author(s) 2019.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Anastomotic leaks (ALs) after low anterior resection for the treatment of rectal cancer are highly morbid complications and often pose a technical challenge for its treatment. We report a case of a transanal minimally invasive septotomy of a chronic sinus secondary to an AL, with the use of the Ligasure retractable L-Hook device. This safe and effective technique may help avoid re-operations which are associated with higher mortality and higher permanent stoma rates.

Olavarria OA, Kress RL, Shah SK, Agarwal AK. Novel technique for anastomotic salvage using transanal minimally invasive surgery: A case report. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2019; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Colorectal cancer remains the third most common cause of cancer related deaths in the United States among men and women. The American Cancer Society estimates there to be over 43000 new patients diagnosed with rectal cancer yearly[1]. Mortality associated with this pathology is proportionally related to the staging of the disease prior to surgical treatment.

Over the past decades, significant advancements have been made in the management of rectal cancer in efforts to improve locoregional control. Among these, universal adoption of neoadjuvant therapy has been as important as the improvements in surgical techniques to control local spread of cancer and recurrence rates. After the introduction of restorative anterior resection by Dixon in 1948, boosted by the advent of surgical staplers and total mesorectal excision (TME) during proctectomy, there was an increase in the proportion of low anterior resection (LAR) over abdominoperineal resection (APR) for the treatment of rectal cancer[2-6]. However, the improved oncologic and functional outcomes resulted in higher leak rates associated with colorectal and coloanal anastomoses[7,8].

LAR for colorectal cancer has a reported morbidity of 20% with anastomotic leak (AL) being among the most dreaded complications. Multivariate analysis and logistic regression models evaluating risk factors for AL after LAR demonstrate that the lower the anterior resection, the more likely an AL will occur[9,10]. Reported rates of AL range between 5% to 20%[11-14]. This variation is in part attributed to the lack of a universally accepted nomenclature and classification of ALs. In 2010, the International Study Group of Rectal Cancer proposed a definition and severity grading system for AL after anterior resection of the rectum[15]. The proposed grading system constituted three groups based on the severity of the AL: Grade A represents an AL identified on imaging not requiring therapeutic interventions. Grade B is a leak requiring interventions such as administration of antibiotics, transanal lavage and/or radiologic assisted placement of a pelvic drain, but not re-laparotomy. And lastly, grade C is a leak requiring re-laparotomy.

Within the options for management of grade B ALs, endoscopic interventions are often used to diagnose and treat the underlying pathology. The ability to treat the AL with minimally invasive techniques is paramount as re-operation is associated with higher mortality rates and higher rates of a permanent stoma[14,16,17].

The purpose of this study was to describe the utility of a commercially available laparoscopic energy device, LigaSureTM Retractable L-Hook (Medtronic, Minneapolis, MN), through transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) as a novel technique for the management of a grade B AL after LAR.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

A 69-year-old hispanic male initially presented with abdominal pain, weight loss, and hematochezia.

***History of present illness***

Patient was seen in gastroenterology clinic after 6-month having experienced lower abdominal pain, malaise, unintentional weight loss and loose bowel movement with occasional blood in the stool. Patient denied any similar symptoms prior to that time.

***History of past illness***

Past medical history was positive for benign essential hypertension.

***Personal and family history***

Personal and family history were negative for colorectal cancer, inflammatory bowel disease or other gastrointestinal diseases.

***Physical examination upon admission***

On physical examination, abdomen was soft, non-tender, with no palpable masses. Digital rectal examination revealed a palpable circumferential mass at approximately 7 cm from anal verge.

***Laboratory examinations***

Routine blood tests including complete blood count, comprehensive metabolic panel and urinalysis were all within normal limits, except hypochromic microcytic anemia with a hemoglobin of 7.4 g/dL and carcinoembryonic antigen was elevated to 4.1 ng/dL (normal range 0.0-3.0 ng/dL)

***Imaging examinations***

Patient underwent a diagnostic colonoscopy which revealed a near-obstructing, circumferential, ulcerated rectal mass at 7 cm from anal verge (Figure 1). Magnetic resonance imaging of the pelvis was consistent with extramural invasion of the tumor into the muscularis propria with mesorectal nodal involvement.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Biopsy performed during colonoscopy revealed an invasive, moderately differentiated adenocarcinoma of the rectum (T3N1).

**TREATMENT**

The patient underwent neoadjuvant chemotherapy with 5-Fluorouracil in combination with radiation therapy. Ten weeks after completion of neoadjuvant therapy, he was taken to the operating room for a robotic assisted laparoscopic LAR with TME, stapled coloproctostomy and diverting loop ileostomy. Post-operative course was complicated by a grade B AL with presacral abscess (Figure 2) requiring intravenous antibiotic therapy and percutaneous drainage by interventional radiology. The drain was kept in place for 2 wk and was ultimately removed when output was minimal. The patient recovered uneventfully and plans were made for subsequent ileostomy takedown.

Pre-operative workup for ileostomy takedown including a barium contrast enema (Figure 3) revealed a posterior sinus tract which was confirmed on flexible sigmoidoscopy (Figure 4). In order to prevent future sinus tract drainage and abscess formation, the decision was made to surgically intervene prior to ileostomy reversal. Endoscopic management was not considered given that the staple line and sinus tract location (posterolateral) were 4 cm from the anal verge. Visualization was suboptimal without pneumorectum. Therefore, the decision was made to proceed with a TAMIS approach.

Patient was brought to the operating room, placed under general anesthesia and transitioned into high lithotomy position. A GelPOINT Path® TAMIS port (Applied Medical, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, United States) was inserted into the anus and secured to the skin with 2-0 silk sutures. Three trocars were placed through the TAMIS port. Pneumorectum was achieved with AirSeal® (Conmed, Utica, NY) insufflation and a 30 degree laparoscope was introduced through the trocar. The chronic sinus tract was identified just posterior to the coloproctostomy at 4 cm from the anal verge. The LigaSureTM Retractable L-Hook device was used to perform partial septotomies to create a wide opening of the mucosal bridge present between the sinus tract and the lumen (Figure 5). The cavity was then bluntly cleared of granulation and fibrotic tissue. The procedure was sequentially repeated twice, under general anesthesia, in three weeks intervals until the sinus tract was completely opened.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

After three total septotomy treatments over the course of 9 wk, the sinus tract completely resolved. Post intervention barium contrast enema revealed resolution of the sinus tract and leak (Figure 6). The patient ultimately underwent ileostomy takedown without complications and was doing well at the 6 mo post-operative visit, having 2-3 bowel movements per day with no continence issues.

**DISCUSSION**

With the advent of emerging techniques for the treatment of rectal cancer in past decades, significant advances have been made in improving oncologic and functional outcomes[6]. LAR has been coined as the standard of care in selected cases which were previously treated with APR, often preventing the need for a permanent stoma. Unfortunately, this led to the emergence of new challenges inherent to the LAR technique including ALs which can be difficult complications to manage.

Minimally invasive septotomies have been endorsed for the treatment of chronic sinus tracts not only after colorectal interventions but also after foregut procedures including sleeve gastrectomies and roux-en-y gastric bypass for weight loss complicated with staple line leaks[18-21]. During this intervention the septum separating an abscess cavity and the true lumen is divided resulting in a larger communication of both lumens (true lumen and abscess lumen). By these means, internal drainage is favored through a path of low resistance with redirection of the leak flow from the abscess cavity towards the true lumen, resulting in contraction and scarring of the chronic leak/abscess cavity.

Minimally invasive management of AL after low and ultralow anterior resections has the benefit of minimizing the risk of temporary and permanent stomas[13]. Specifically, endoscopic therapies such as fibrin glue application, endoscopic stenting, endoluminal vacuum drainage and clipping have all been described as options to manage varying grades of ALs[22,23]. However, these techniques tend to be less effective for chronic leaks and are limited by several factors including the distance from the anal verge, size of the defect, or ability to traverse the anastomosis.

In cases where endoscopic means are incapable of managing the leak, TAMIS via rectal insufflation can be effective[24,25]. This provides an alternate route to access to the distal rectum with use of conventional laparoscopic instruments[26].

In this report, we describe a minimally invasive technique using a commercially available transanal port and energy device to induce insufflation within the rectum and complete sequential septotomies of a chronic draining sinus tract of the rectum after a LAR. When compared to other energy sources such as bovie and harmonic scalpel, the LigaSure L-Hook offers advantages such as less thermal spread, additional reach and better control due to the retractable technology, and ability to get into tight spaces. Although the concept of endoscopic enlargement and eventual obliteration of a sinus tract after a colorectal leak has been reported in the literature, to our knowledge, this is the first report of the use of the LigaSureTM Retractable L-Hook device during this technique[24,27,28].

No consensus exists for the management of ALs and the determination must be individualized for each patient. Minimally invasive techniques are safe and effective at managing symptomatic, small, contained leaks. However, few studies have compared effectiveness among different modalities and even fewer studies have looked at long term results after these are performed.

**CONCLUSION**

The treatment of grade B ALs after LAR for rectal cancer can be challenging. TAMIS septotomy with the LigaSureTM Retractable L-Hook device is a feasible and effective technique for the treatment of select grade B ALs. Larger studies with longer follow up are needed to assess the generalizability and long-term results of this technique.

**REFERENCES**

1 **American Cancer Society**. Key Statistics for Colorectal Cancer. 2018. Available from: URL: https://www.cancer.org/cancer/colon-rectal-cancer/about/key-statistics.html

2 **Stewart DB**, Dietz DW. Total mesorectal excision: what are we doing? *Clin Colon Rectal Surg* 2007; **20**: 190-202 [PMID: 20011200 DOI: 10.1055/s-2007-984863]

3 **Heald RJ**. A new approach to rectal cancer. *Br J Hosp Med* 1979; **22**: 277-281 [PMID: 391315]

4 **Heald RJ**, Husband EM, Ryall RD. The mesorectum in rectal cancer surgery--the clue to pelvic recurrence? *Br J Surg* 1982; **69**: 613-616 [PMID: 6751457 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.1800691019]

5 **Heald RJ**, Ryall RD. Recurrence and survival after total mesorectal excision for rectal cancer. *Lancet* 1986; **1**: 1479-1482 [PMID: 2425199 DOI: 10.1016/s0140-6736(86)91510-2]

6 **Lirici MM**, Hüscher CG. Techniques and technology evolution of rectal cancer surgery: a history of more than a hundred years. *Minim Invasive Ther Allied Technol* 2016; **25**: 226-233 [PMID: 27415777 DOI: 10.1080/13645706.2016.1198381]

7 **Kapiteijn E**, Putter H, van de Velde CJ; Cooperative investigators of the Dutch ColoRectal Cancer Group. Impact of the introduction and training of total mesorectal excision on recurrence and survival in rectal cancer in The Netherlands. *Br J Surg* 2002; **89**: 1142-1149 [PMID: 12190680 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2168.2002.02196.x]

8 **Carlsen E**, Schlichting E, Guldvog I, Johnson E, Heald RJ. Effect of the introduction of total mesorectal excision for the treatment of rectal cancer. *Br J Surg* 1998; **85**: 526-529 [PMID: 9607540 DOI: 10.1046/j.1365-2168.1998.00601.x]

9 **Yeh CY**, Changchien CR, Wang JY, Chen JS, Chen HH, Chiang JM, Tang R. Pelvic drainage and other risk factors for leakage after elective anterior resection in rectal cancer patients: a prospective study of 978 patients. *Ann Surg* 2005; **241**: 9-13 [PMID: 15621985 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000150067.99651.6a]

10 **Eriksen MT**, Wibe A, Norstein J, Haffner J, Wiig JN; Norwegian Rectal Cancer Group. Anastomotic leakage following routine mesorectal excision for rectal cancer in a national cohort of patients. *Colorectal Dis* 2005; **7**: 51-57 [PMID: 15606585 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2004.00700.x]

11 **Eckmann C**, Kujath P, Schiedeck TH, Shekarriz H, Bruch HP. Anastomotic leakage following low anterior resection: results of a standardized diagnostic and therapeutic approach. *Int J Colorectal Dis* 2004; **19**: 128-133 [PMID: 14752675 DOI: 10.1007/s00384-003-0498-8]

12 **Nesbakken A**, Nygaard K, Lunde OC, Blücher J, Gjertsen Ø, Dullerud R. Anastomotic leak following mesorectal excision for rectal cancer: true incidence and diagnostic challenges. *Colorectal Dis* 2005; **7**: 576-581 [PMID: 16232238 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2005.00870.x]

13 **Chopra SS**, Mrak K, Hünerbein M. The effect of endoscopic treatment on healing of anastomotic leaks after anterior resection of rectal cancer. *Surgery* 2009; **145**: 182-188 [PMID: 19167973 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2008.09.012]

14 **Maggiori L**, Bretagnol F, Lefèvre JH, Ferron M, Vicaut E, Panis Y. Conservative management is associated with a decreased risk of definitive stoma after anastomotic leakage complicating sphincter-saving resection for rectal cancer. *Colorectal Dis* 2011; **13**: 632-637 [PMID: 20236150 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2010.02252.x]

15 **Rahbari NN**, Weitz J, Hohenberger W, Heald RJ, Moran B, Ulrich A, Holm T, Wong WD, Tiret E, Moriya Y, Laurberg S, den Dulk M, van de Velde C, Büchler MW. Definition and grading of anastomotic leakage following anterior resection of the rectum: a proposal by the International Study Group of Rectal Cancer. *Surgery* 2010; **147**: 339-351 [PMID: 20004450 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2009.10.012]

16 **Sabbagh C**, Maggiori L, Panis Y. Management of failed low colorectal and coloanal anastomosis. *J Visc Surg* 2013; **150**: 181-187 [PMID: 23665058 DOI: 10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2013.03.016]

17 **Smith JD**, Butte JM, Weiser MR, D'Angelica MI, Paty PB, Temple LK, Guillem JG, Jarnagin WR, Nash GM. Anastomotic leak following low anterior resection in stage IV rectal cancer is associated with poor survival. *Ann Surg Oncol* 2013; **20**: 2641-2646 [PMID: 23385965 DOI: 10.1245/s10434-012-2854-9]

18 **Mahadev S**, Kumbhari V, Campos JM, Galvao Neto M, Khashab MA, Chavez YH, Bessler M, Gonda TA. Endoscopic septotomy: an effective approach for internal drainage of sleeve gastrectomy-associated collections. *Endoscopy* 2017; **49**: 504-508 [PMID: 28114687 DOI: 10.1055/s-0042-122012]

19 **Guerron AD**, Ortega CB, Portenier D. Endoscopic Abscess Septotomy for Management of Sleeve Gastrectomy Leak. *Obes Surg* 2017; **27**: 2672-2674 [PMID: 28695456 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-017-2809-0]

20 **Haito-Chavez Y**, Kumbhari V, Ngamruengphong S, De Moura DT, El Zein M, Vieira M, Aguila G, Khashab MA. Septotomy: an adjunct endoscopic treatment for post-sleeve gastrectomy fistulas. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2016; **83**: 456-457 [PMID: 26358326 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2015.08.065]

21 **Angrisani L**, Hasani A, Santonicola A, Vitiello A, Iovino P, Galasso G. Endoscopic Septotomy for the Treatment of Sleeve Gastrectomy Fistula: Timing and Indications. *Obes Surg* 2018; **28**: 846-847 [PMID: 29264781 DOI: 10.1007/s11695-017-3071-1]

22 **Blumetti J**, Abcarian H. Management of low colorectal anastomotic leak: Preserving the anastomosis. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2015; **7**: 378-383 [PMID: 26730283 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v7.i12.378]

23 **Verlaan T**, Bartels SA, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Tanis PJ, Fockens P, Bemelman WA. Early, minimally invasive closure of anastomotic leaks: a new concept. *Colorectal Dis* 2011; **13 Suppl 7**: 18-22 [PMID: 22098512 DOI: 10.1111/j.1463-1318.2011.02775.x]

24 **Whitlow CB**, Opelka FG, Gathright JB Jr, Beck DE. Treatment of colorectal and ileoanal anastomotic sinuses. *Dis Colon Rectum* 1997; **40**: 760-763 [PMID: 9221848 DOI: 10.1007/bf02055427]

25 **van Vledder MG**, Doornebosch PG, de Graaf EJ. Transanal endoscopic surgery for complications of prior rectal surgery. *Surg Endosc* 2016; **30**: 5356-5363 [PMID: 27059974 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-016-4888-8]

26 **Dapri G**, Guta D, Grozdev K, Antolino L, Bachir N, Jottard K, Cadière GB. Colorectal anastomotic leakage corrected by transanal laparoscopy. *Colorectal Dis* 2016; **18**: O210-O213 [PMID: 27094879 DOI: 10.1111/codi.13358]

27 **Sneider E**, Maykel J. Management of anastomotic leak after low anterior resection with transanal endoscopic microsurgical (TEM) debridement and repair. *J Surg Case Rep* 2012; **2012**: 1 [PMID: 24960787 DOI: 10.1093/jscr/2012.9.1]

28 **Brunner W**, Rossetti A, Vines LC, Kalak N, Bischofberger SA. Anastomotic leakage after laparoscopic single-port sigmoid resection: combined transanal and transabdominal minimal invasive management. *Surg Endosc* 2015; **29**: 3803-3805 [PMID: 25783831 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-015-4138-5]

**P-Reviewer:** Balta AZ **S-Editor:** Ji FF **L-Editor: E-Editor:**

**Specialty type:** Gastroenterology and hepatology

**Country of origin:** United States

**Peer-review report classification**



Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B


Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0





**Figure 1 Rectal mass on colonoscopy.**




**Figure 2 Presacral abscess with evidence of posterior leak of rectal contrast.**

****


**Figure 3 Posterior sinus tract evidence in barium contrast enema.**

****

**Figure 4 Posterior sinus tract at the healed staple line on flexible sigmoidoscopy.**

****

**Figure 5 Rectal lumen and posterior sinus tract and sinus tract after septotomy.** A: Rectal lumen and posterior sinus tract; B: Sinus tract after septotomy.

****

**Figure 6 Absence of posterior sinus tract in post-operative barium contrast enema.**