

Response to Reviewer

We appreciate the reviewer's comments and have modified the manuscript accordingly. The manuscript has been strengthened as a result of these revision and we are appreciative of the reviewer's thorough review.

1. *I couldn't understand what information to look at 'https://icd.who.int/browse10/2016/en '. I could not access the parameters of Table-3 from this address. Either delete this sentence or edit the web address.*

Thank you for catching this. There is no way to link to the direct page, it must be a search within the webpage. Therefore, we took your suggestion and deleted the webpage.

2. *The article is not prepared according to the format of the wjg series. I'd like to know why the authors didn't.*

The manuscript is now properly formatted. This paper is an invited opinion review paper so we did not realize we should use a standard format.

3. *The first sentence of the introduction should be moved to end of the introduction section.*

Thank you, we have revised the first sentence and last sentence of the introduction section.

4. *The article is over extended (5150 words). Manuscript should be shortened.*

We were not given a word limit for this paper and since it is an invited opinion review, we would like to retain all of the current content. If the reviewer/editor still feels that the article should be shortened, we are open to that suggestion and would appreciate a suggested word limit.

5. *The article should only be revised to focus on the relationship between chronic liver disease and fatigue.*

Thank you for this suggestion. Since the literature specifically on chronic liver disease and fatigue is a little limited, we want to retain content that discusses fatigue in other contexts because it helps to explain the science of fatigue in a broader sense. In understanding the relationship between chronic liver disease and fatigue, it is important that the reader understand the history of fatigue research and its conceptualization from other specialties.

6. *Figure-1 should be removed from the study. Table-3 and 4 should be removed from the study.*

We were not given a limit on the number of tables and figures. Therefore, we would prefer to retain these in the manuscript. However, we are willing to move these into supplemental material if the reviewer/editor deem it necessary.