

PEER-REVIEW REPORT
WITH RESPONSES TO REVIEWERS

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 48345

Title: Systematic review of esophageal cancer in Africa: epidemiology, risk factors, management and outcomes.

Reviewer's code: 03317348

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-04-16 04:34

Reviewer performed review: 2019-04-21 13:45

Review time: 5 Days and 9 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the opportunity of review of this paper. I think that this paper is interesting and the results of this study is one of the important clinical analysis. In order

to better this manuscript, I have the following comments. 1. Table 2 is too large for the readers, therefore, can you organize the contents of Table 2 a little more compactly?

RESPONSE: Dear Reviewer:

Thank you for your suggestion. We have re-organized the contents of table 2 and it is now more compact.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 48345

Title: Systematic review of esophageal cancer in Africa: epidemiology, risk factors, management and outcomes.

Reviewer's code: 03036083

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-04-26 10:34

Reviewer performed review: 2019-04-26 10:47

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors analyzed about the incidence and the risk factors of esophageal cancer in Africa.

Major comments. 1. What is the big difference between the risk factors of esophageal cancer in Africa and other continent? 2. Is there any way to prevent from being

esophageal cancer or Is there any way to find it in early stage? Minor comments 1. Too much data. It is very difficult to read. It might be more attractive if you limit the data that is most important.

Response:

Dear Reviewer, thank you for your comments.

1. What is the big difference between the risk factors of esophageal cancer in Africa and other continent?

Response: The risk factors for esophageal cancer in Africa seem to be multifactorial with most common being environmental. Smoking, alcohol and diet also play a role.

2. Is there any way to prevent from being esophageal cancer or Is there any way to find it in early stage?

Response: There are currently no screening protocols for early detection of esophageal cancer in Africa. Endoscopy has been used as screening/surveillance in countries for esophageal adenocarcinoma with known risk factors such as Barrett's esophagus. Endoscopy as a screening has been applied in some countries, such as China, for cases of squamous cell dysplasia, a precursor of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. There is currently insufficient data to support the role of endoscopy as an esophageal cancer screening in Africa. More studies are needed to explore use of endoscopy for screening for esophageal squamous cell precursor lesions and further studies to assess risk factors for prevention. Increasing awareness amongst both patients and physicians could play a role in early diagnosis.

3. 1. Too much data. It is very difficult to read. It might be more attractive if you limit the data that is most important.

Response: We appreciate you comment of too much data. We have rearranged to

make this easier to follow and more attractive

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 48345

Title: Systematic review of esophageal cancer in Africa: epidemiology, risk factors, management and outcomes.

Reviewer's code: 02537245

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-04-17 01:53

Reviewer performed review: 2019-05-04 01:59

Review time: 17 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Minor revision is needed.

Response: Thank you

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No