

Editor comments

Q1. Language certificate: For manuscripts submitted by non-native speakers of English, please provide language certificate by professional English language editing companies.

We have uploaded the pdf document named “Language editing statement”.

Q2. Answer to reviewers: Please provide point to point answer to all reviewers. Authors should revise their article according to the reviewers’ comments/suggestions and provide point-by-point responses to each in a letter that is to accompany their resubmission.

We have now done this.

Q3. Similar sentences with other articles (highlighted in the 49036-CrossCheck Report), please rephrase these sentences.

We have now done this as you suggested.

Q4. You need to provide the grant application form(s) or certificate of funding agency for every grant, or we will delete the part of "Supported by...".

We have uploaded the pdf document named “Information of Grant”.

Q5. Please offer the audio core tip.

We have made an audio core tip according to the requirements.

Q6. ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

We have added the Article Highlights according to the guidelines.

Q7. Please check and confirm that there are no repeated references!

Please add PubMed citation numbers (PMID NOT PMCID) and DOI citation to the reference list and list all authors.

We have checked and add the PMID and DOI of all references.

Because references No.15 and No.30 do not have DOI, we have uploaded the first pages of the two papers.

Q8. Please mark the location of Table 2 in the text. Thank you.

We have added it.

Q9. Regarding the figures: Please provide the decomposable figure of figures, whose parts are all movable and editable, organize them into a PowerPoint file, and submit as “Manuscript No. - Figures.ppt” on the system, we need to edit the words in the figures. All submitted figures, including the text contained within the figures, must be editable. Please provide the text in your figure(s) in text boxes.

We have uploaded the ppt document named “Manuscript No. 49036-Figure.ppt”.

Reviewers comments

Reviewer: 1

Q. I read the manuscript titled "Association of the rs2094258 polymorphism with gastric cancer prognosis". It was well written, good quality and novel study. The number of patients in the study was sufficient for the trust of statistical results. I have no additional recommendations.

Thank you for your affirmation of the manuscript.

Reviewer: 2

Q. I would explain TT, CT and CC in the abstract and the main text to help readers understand the involved genetics.

The explanation about TT, CT and CC has been added to the abstract (Methods: Line 5-7) and the main text (Statistical analysis: Line 6-8).