Revision Total Hip Arthroplasty:
An Analysis of the Quality and Readability of Information on the Internet

Gerard A. Sheridan [1], Carl O'Brien [1], Bassam A. Masri [1], Clive P.Duncan [1], Donald S. Garbuz [1]

[1] Department of Orthopaedics, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC V5Z 1M9, Canada

Dear sir/madam,

Thank you for considering our research for publication in your journal "World Journal of Orthopedics". I have attended to all of the recommendations made by the 3 reviewers.

I have addressed the reviewer's comments as follows:

Comment 1: The Introduction is not sufficiently developed. More information about the patient web use should be included

This additional information has been included in the revised manuscript.

Comment 2: The authors reported that only 28% of the websites had a good score. Are they the most visited? Are they in the first places of the first page?

The websites that scored highest were not necessarily the most visited. Some of the most visited websites had low scores and vice versa.

Comment 3: No footprint were reported in figures and tables. Please add.

These have all since been included.

Regarding the tables and figures. I have included them in both a word document and a powerpoint document for your use. You may edit them all as you wish. The regression analysis model was formulated using STATA software and so you may not be able to edit that figure. Please let me know if you need anything to be changed with this figure. I have adjusted all images to be consistent with all guidelines described on your website.

I have completely revised the manuscript as per your guidelines and everything is in order now. The copyright license agreement has been included as an attachment also.

I hope you are satisfied with the revision of this manuscript and will confirm publication in your journal.

I look forward to hearing from you,

Kind regards,

Gerard A. Sheridan
Sch, MB, BCh, BAO, MRCSI, PgD, MCh