

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 50819

Title: Characterizing the composition of intestinal microflora by 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Reviewer's code: 02839880

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor

Reviewer's country: Italy

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-08-13 10:22

Reviewer performed review: 2019-08-14 08:14

Review time: 21 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting paper that investigated the role of intestinal microflora in the pathogenesis of colorectal tumors, the composition of intestinal microflora closely related to colorectal adenoma, and the possible use of intestinal flora as a biomarker of colorectal adenoma. In general the manuscript is good; English language is adequate. Please check throughout the text for grammar and spelling errors and provide a full explanation for the acronyms (e.g. paragraph 2.2.3 “LEfse”) In the conclusion paragraph of the abstract, please delete the numbers of the statements (1., 2., 3.). The figures are very clear and useful. Table 2, please it should be useful to include only three numbers after the 0 in the P value. In the discussion section, it would be interesting to discuss if there is a possible relationship between inflammatory markers levels and changes in intestinal microflora due to diet or other factors (Telle-Hansen VH et al, Nutrients 2018;10(11):1783; Godos J et al World J Gastroenterol. 2017 Mar 14;23(10):1909-1919; Uccello M et al BMC Surg. 2012). Also, I would include a statement in the conclusion paragraph on the possible clinical or surgical application of the results and future direction of these research topic.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-223-8242

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 50819

Title: Characterizing the composition of intestinal microflora by 16S rRNA gene sequencing

Reviewer's code: 03442051

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: BM BCh

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's country: United Kingdom

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-08-25 16:34

Reviewer performed review: 2019-09-15 17:17

Review time: 21 Days

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Dear Authors, Your thinking was good and concept was good. In writing up of the manuscript I have made some changes to the manuscript for the comfort of the reader and better understanding. Good luck and best wishes Mr Uppara M

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No