



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 50956

**Title:** MicroRNA-30c inhibits pancreatic cancer cell proliferation by targeting twinfilin 1 and indicates poor prognosis

**Reviewer's code:** 00069827

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Lithuania

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-08-19 18:43

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-08-20 10:33

**Review time:** 15 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

I would like to commend the authors for presenting this research data. Clearly, there is still an open discussion about the mechanisms of carcinogenesis in pancreatic cancer, and the role of microRNA in particular. Specifically, the role of microRNA-30 in pancreatic cancer was not researched and described, so this paper has a certain degree of novelty. Surely, like many other previously described molecular pathways, microRNAs are not the sole players but the current research adds significant piece of information to the global picture of the complex network of altered regulation in pancreatic cancer. Overall, little criticism could be expressed regarding this study. The manuscript is concise and well-structured. The aim and the goals of the study, methodology and results sections are comprehensive and clear. This is a nice example of the translational research combining in vitro cell culture experiments, use of animal model and inclusion of some human patient data.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 50956

**Title:** MicroRNA-30c inhibits pancreatic cancer cell proliferation by targeting twinfilin 1 and indicates poor prognosis

**Reviewer's code:** 03664719

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FACS, FRCS, MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's country:** United Kingdom

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-08-23 17:11

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-08-24 17:43

**Review time:** 1 Day

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

The manuscript by LuLu Sun and colleagues analyses miR-30c in pancreatic cancer. To this end, expression levels of miR-30c and the target twinfilin 1 (TWF1) were determined in human pancreatic cancer by quantitative real-time PCR and immunoblot analysis. It is shown that miR-30c expression is decreased in pancreatic cancer and that miR-30c suppressed pancreatic cancer cell proliferation. Further, TWF1 is a direct target of miR-30c and miR-30c negatively correlates with TWF1. This is a potentially interesting analysis, and the experimental procedures are -in general- sound and valid. There are some concerns that should be addressed: 1. The rationale to analyse miR-30c is not clear. Why did the authors chose this microRNA? 2. The authors should provide data on the localisation of miR-30c in pancreatic cancer tissues. 3. The normal human pancreatic ductal epithelial (HPDE) cell line is not an optimal control for pancreatic cancer cells. This should be discussed. 4. It is quite surprising, that there was only one target gene appearing in all three online bioinformatics tools (TargetScan, miRDB and miRTarBase), with 55, 849, and 521 predicted genes. How can this be explained? How accurate are these online tools?

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication



# Baishideng Publishing Group

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

[ ] Plagiarism

[ Y ] No