

エディテージをご利用頂きまして誠にありがとうございます。

校正後のファイル、校正者からのコメント、このファイルにあります校正者からのレターをご確認ください。

校正に関するご質問、校正者からの質問へのご回答につきましては、無料のご質問サービスをご利用ください。*

校正後のファイルに修正を行われた場合、最終チェックとしてぜひ無料の再校正サービスをご利用ください。細かい英語の問題により、ジャーナルから修正と再投稿を要求される可能性がありますので最終チェックは重要です。**

最後に、ぜひ Editage オンラインシステム内にあります完了後のジョブページ、またはフィードバックの投稿ページより今回の校正に関するフィードバックをお送りください。

弊社のサポートサービスをご利用になる場合は、Editage オンラインシステムへログインし、対象となるサービスをご選択ください。



The screenshot shows the Editage user dashboard. Two blue callout boxes provide instructions:

- Top Callout:** 修正された原稿のチェックをご希望の場合、再校正サービスをご利用ください。(If you wish to check the corrected manuscript, please use the re-proofing service.)
- Bottom Callout:** 校正者の提案についてのご質問、または校正者のコメントに沿って変更を加えた原稿のチェックをご希望の場合は、「ジョブに関するご質問」からご依頼ください。(If you have questions about the editor's suggestions or want to check a manuscript with changes based on the editor's comments, please request it from 'Questions about the job').

The interface includes a top navigation bar with links like 'サポートFAQ', 'お支払いの状況', 'プロフィール&アカウント設定', and 'フィードバック'. The main area shows a 'ホーム' (Home) section with '未注文のお見積' (0) and '完了済みのプロジェクト' (0). There are also sections for 'エディテージ最新ニュース' (Latest Editage News) and 'エディテージの強み' (Strengths of Editage), which lists benefits like 'とにかく時間がない' (No time at all), '品質にも妥協したくない' (Don't want to compromise on quality), and 'ジャーナルにリジェクトされてしまった' (Rejected by the journal).

➤ 校正サービスを受けた旨を論文内（謝辞欄）に記載する

複数の著者がエディテージから支援を受けたという内容を論文内に記載しています。

ICMJE などの国際的な出版ガイドラインでは、校正やライティングの支援が行われた旨を論文内に記載すべきであるとしています。また、このような記載は、エディテージで校閲された論文の英語品質が国際出版水準を満たしていることをジャーナルの編集者や査読者に示す役割も

果たします。

エディテージの支援を受けた旨を論文内（謝辞欄）に記載する場合には以下の一文をご利用ください。

We would like to thank Editage (www.editage.jp) for English language editing.

Message from your editor, Arjun

Dear Author,

It was a pleasure working on your document. Do go through my changes and comments in the edited file.

Please send me your feedback or any questions through your Editage Online account (<http://app.editage.jp/>).

Editor's report

I have provided feedback on your manuscript through specific comments along with ratings for relevant sections. The key below the table explains my ratings. I hope you find my feedback useful.

Section	Rating
Title An effective title is concise while being representative.	★ ★ ★
Abstract A good abstract explains the aims of the research, how these were met, and the main findings.	★ ★ ★
Introduction This section should set the context for the study, clearly state the research objective, and establish the significance of the study.	★ ★ ★
Materials and methods This section should completely describe all methods, techniques, and instruments used. This includes ethical considerations.	★ ★
Results and discussion These sections should present the data and findings in a clear and unbiased manner, and address the objective or research question stated in the introduction.	★ ★
Conclusions A good concluding section notes the limitations of the study. It should mention the scope for further research as well as the implications/application of the study.	★ ★
Tables and figures The tables and figures should present data clearly, should be referenced in and correspond with the text.	★ ★ ★

-
- ★ ★ ★ This section required only a few revisions.
 - ★ ★ Most parts of this section required revision.
 - ★ The entire section required significant revision. Please go through my comments/changes carefully.

Comments

SCOPE

The report is suitable for submission to *World Journal of Respiriology* under the Case Control Study category.

NOVELTY OF THE STUDY

The novelty of the study has been highlighted in the Introduction (“*However, no data pertaining to the investigation of the relationship between MetS and daytime hypercapnia in obese patients with severe OSA exist.*”).

RELEVANCE AND CONTRIBUTION OF THE STUDY

The relevance and clinical significance of the study have been highlighted in the Discussion.

SUBMISSION READINESS

The document was mostly well-organized, and there was limited scope for significant structural changes. The Abstract provides all relevant components, namely study motivation, objective, and implications. The Introduction section provides adequate background to the study and justifies the study objective. The Methods section is well-structured and ensures reproducibility. The Results section is concise and adequately provides all study results in appropriate sections. However, please do note that some text was moved from the Results to the Methods for flow. Furthermore, the Discussion section draws adequate comparisons between the present and previously reported findings; additionally, the limitations of the study have been mentioned. However, please consider including 2-3 sentences about the future perspectives of the implications of this study. The Tables and Figures well-summarize the study results and are self-explanatory.

Quick tip

Guideline

A singular subject should be accompanied by a singular verb, and plural subject should be accompanied by a plural verb.

Explanation

The subject of a sentence is the noun that performs the action (verb) described in the sentence. For example, in the sentence, “The girl sings,” the girl is the subject and sings is the verb. In a grammatically correct sentence, singular subjects are accompanied by singular verbs (e.g., The

girl sings) and plural subjects are accompanied by plural verbs (e.g., The girls sing).

Example

Incorrect: “However, **prevalence, characteristics and other clinical features** of hypercapnic obese patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea **is** to be elucidated.”

Correct: “However, the **prevalence, characteristics, and other clinical features** of hypercapnic obese patients with severe obstructive sleep apnea **remain** unelucidated.”