

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 51853

Title: Congenital palate perforation: case report and review of the literature

Reviewer's code: 03352142

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Full Professor, Statistician

Reviewer's country: Iran

Author's country: Canada

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-10-27 11:22

Reviewer performed review: 2019-10-27 11:47

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The following points should be addressed 1. The literature review in title does not make

sense and the authors did not it! 2. Some important keywords such as Craniomaxillofacial, von Langenbeck palatoplasty are missed and some current ones are not appropriate such as case report, review, treatment, etiology 3. The following two important points at abstract are missing o Introduction - WHAT is unique and WHY this finding may be associated with a particular intervention? o The patient's main concerns and important clinical findings. 4. I expected to see more details in introduction about " summarize why this case is unique with medical literature references. 5. According to CARE checklist most important points on diagnostic assessment and therapeutic approach are missing. 6. The following sentence has defects conceptually and grammatically " Consideration the anatomy and etiology, the congenital palate perforation should be classification as isolation or association..." 7. How about the strengths and limitations of current study? 8. How about the obtained informed consent?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No