

November 14, 2013

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 5197-review.doc).

**Title:** Risk factors for bleeding after endoscopic submucosal dissection of colorectal neoplasms

**Author:** Sho Suzuki, Akiko Chino, Teruhito Kishihara, Naoyuki Uragami, Yoshiro Tamegai, Takanori Suganuma, Junko Fujisaki, Masaaki Matsuura, Takao Itoi, Takuji Gotoda, Masahiro Igarashi, Fuminori Moriyasu

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5197

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of editor and reviewers:

1 Format has been updated.

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the editor.

Comment 1: Standard abbreviations should be defined in the abstract and on first mention in the text.

Response: We added detailed terminology “endoscopic submucosal dissection” in front of abbreviation “ESD” in page 3.

Comment 2: Please write a summary of less than 100 words to outline the most innovative and important arguments and core contents in your paper to attract readers.

Response: We wrote “Core tip” in page 4.

Comment 3: Editor required writing the “COMMENTS”.

Response: We wrote the “COMMENTS” in page 13 - 14.

3 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer.

Comment 1: The author described that one of significant risk factors of delayed bleeding after colorectal ESD was not lesion in the cecum but location in the cecum in the manuscript. Therefore, “Lesions in the cecum” should be changed to “Location in the cecum” in the Conclusion of the abstract.

Response: We changed “Lesions in the cecum” to “Location in the cecum” in the Conclusion of the abstract in page 4.

Comment 2: Conclusion in the Discussion section is too long and should be shortened.

Response: We revised Conclusion in the Discussion section to be shortened and simplified.

See page 12: “In conclusion, we found that the rate of delayed post-procedural bleeding following ESD for colorectal neoplasms was 4.3%, and an increased risk of post-procedural bleeding was associated with the presence of lesions in the cecum and significant bleeding events during the ESD procedure. Therefore, additional post-ESD procedures are recommended in patients with these risk factors.”

4 References were added and typesetting was corrected.

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Gastroenterology*.

Sincerely yours,

Sho Suzuki

Department of Gastroenterology  
Cancer Institute Hospital  
Japanese Foundation for Cancer Research  
3-8-31 Ariake, Koto-ku  
Tokyo 135-8550, Japan

Phone: +81-3-35200111

Fax: +81-3-35200141

Email: sho.suzuki@jfcr.or.jp