

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 52383

Title: Effect and safety of mark-guided vs standard peroral endoscopic myotomy: A retrospective case control study

Reviewer's code: 02549032

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FEBG, MD

Professional title: Associate Specialist, Doctor

Reviewer's country: Greece

Author's country: China

Manuscript submission date: 2019-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Zhou Tang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-10 16:38

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-14 15:04

Review time: 3 Days and 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an interesting single center retrospective study on marked-guided v/s standard POEM for achalasia. The authors concluded that both techniques are equally safe and effective with <<less procedure duration, reflux symptoms and PPI use>>in marked group. This negative actually study is potentially interesting for publication. Some major issues: 1. English language corrections are necessary. 2. There is plagiarism in the introduction. Please focus on the aim of this study, which is if marked-guided POEM is superior to standard POEM. Actually this is a negative study. All other comparisons eg balloon dilatation v/s POEM, LHM v/s POEM reflux after POEM are not relevant to this study. Also no comment on the ethical issues of studies comparing balloon dilatation to POEM myotomy, is made? Also the issue of post-POEM GERD is on base of pHmetry and not on symptoms. Severe complications after POEM appeared during the learning curve and in in-experienced hands!!!!Please follow the credentials and make comments. 3. The authors found a statistical significance in << reflux symptoms and PPI use was significantly less in the mark-guided POEM group than standard POEM group>>. Are there any obvious logical explanations why in marked-guided group there is less reflux? Please give any potential reason or explanation of this result. 4. Obviously in uncomplicated achalasia cases without sigmoid esophagus mark-guided POEM will be easier to guide the submucosal tunnel direction. Did the authors have any experience with this technique in sigmoid type II achalasia with megaesophagus or in failed Heller cases with changed anatomy? In these complex achalasia cases marked-guided POEM could be helpful.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 52383

Title: Effect and safety of mark-guided vs standard peroral endoscopic myotomy: A retrospective case control study

Reviewer's code: 03822348

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's country: United States

Author's country: China

Manuscript submission date: 2019-10-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Zhou Tang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-24 13:38

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-03 12:10

Review time: 8 Days and 22 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with a great interest the article by Li et al. This is a novel technique that could be helpful especially for initial experience with POEM I performed hundreds of POEM s/p previous treatment (botox, dilation, Heller and previous poem) and I never lost my way in the tunnel. Again this could be helpful for beginners I think it will be helpful to compare the performance of beginners with this technique, it may show positive results I could not find the video Minor comments: English and grammar correction Why the author use to knives, this will add >\$600 to the procedure

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No