

## Format for ANSWERING REVIEWERS



October 15, 2013

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 5251-review.doc).

**Title:** Evaluation of efficacy and safety of 'Diabegon kwath', a polyherbal formulation in subjects with type II diabetes mellitus

**Author:** Sunil Mahajan, Nita Singh, Senthil K Subramanian, Pratibha Chauhan, Sonali Srivastava, HM Goswamy, GBKS Prasad and Prakash S Bisen

**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Translational medicine*

**ESPS Manuscript NO:** 5251

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of reviewers:

1 Format has been updated

2 Revision has been made according to the suggestions of the reviewer

(1) **Queries by Reviewer: 00506294:**

The query wise responses are given below

**Query 1.** The discussion is very long, the authors should to shorter it.

**Response:** The discussion is curtailed in the revised manuscript as per the suggestion

**Query.2:** There are some mistakes the authors use abbreviations on abstract and tables without explanation

**Response:** All abbreviations in Abstract section are elaborated in the abstract as well text. Hence, the abbreviations are retained in tables

**Query 3:** Results, 4th line 12% and the readers could suppose that is HbA1c and no the decrease, clarify.

**Response:** Appropriate correction is made in revised manuscript.

**Query 4:** On table 6 hypertension is badly written.

**Response:** Appropriate correction is made in revised manuscript.

**Query 5:** The tables should be at the of the article

**Response:** As per suggestion, the tables are given in sequence at the end of manuscript in revised manuscript.

(2.) **Queries by Reviewer : 02446552:**

**Query 5:** There is only minor english need to improve

**Response:** Language corrections are done in revised manuscript

(3.) **Queries by Reviewer: 02446593:**

**Query 1:** ‘The manuscript needs to be extensively rewritten in order to emphasize the uncontrolled nature of the trial and the possibility that life style changes led to some or all of the noted improvement’.

**Response:** Necessary insertions have been made in the revised manuscript as per the suggestions.

**Query 2:** The authors claim that there is no chemotherapeutic measure for this menace’ is incorrect.

**Response:** As per suggestion, appropriate correction is made in revised manuscript.

**Query 3:** Neither is the claim that this trial proves that ‘Diabegon kwath was effective in checking (in the conclusion of abstract)-----controlled trials.

**Response:** Appropriate correction is made in the revised manuscript.

**Query 4:** The claim in the introduction that “large number -----management of” needs to be toned down.

**Response:** The Introduction part is suitably modified in the revised manuscript.

**Query 5:** In general ----- claims of efficacy

**Response:** The text is revised keeping in view the suggestion made.

**Query 6:** The effect of ----- than percent decline.

**Response:** Absolute values of HbA1c are given in revised manuscript as per suggestion.

**Query 7:** All abbreviations -----first use

**Response:** All abbreviations on first use are spelt out

**Query 8:** In the conclusion ----- efficacy was demonstrated

**Response:** Suitable correction is made in the text of revised version.

Sincerely yours,

Professor Prakash S. Bisen  
Emeritus Professor,  
School of Studies in Biotechnology,  
Jiwaji University, Gwalior- 474005, India  
Fax +91 751 4043850  
Email:psbisen@gmail.com