

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 52604

Title: Preoperative nuclear stress testing in the very old patient population

Reviewer's code: 00503286

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Full Professor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Romania

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2019-11-08

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-10 15:53

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-15 07:52

Review time: 4 Days and 15 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
[Y] Grade A: Excellent	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing	[Y] Accept (High priority)	[] Yes	Peer-Review:
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] Accept (General priority)	[] No	[Y] Anonymous
[] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	[] Minor revision		[] Onymous
[] Grade D: Fair		[] Major revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
[] Grade E: Do not publish	[] Grade D: Rejection	[] Rejection		[] Advanced
				[Y] General
				[] No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:
				[] Yes
				[Y] No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The paper "Preoperative Nuclear Stress Testing in the Very Old Patient Population" should be published in journal after the minor correction with the editor. A graphical presentation were welcome!

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 52604

Title: Preoperative nuclear stress testing in the very old patient population

Reviewer's code: 02549231

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2019-11-08

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-27 10:26

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-27 12:24

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good				<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
				<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

-Relationship between type of surgery, NST and adverse events; -"prognostic utility of both methods": but treadmill method only two cases; -Zafrin study on 83 year old patients: compare and discuss differences with present study; -is there a group of 85 year old pts not undergoing NST (control group) ?

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 52604

Title: Preoperative nuclear stress testing in the very old patient population

Reviewer's code: 02948419

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ukraine

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2019-11-08

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ping Yan

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-26 17:58

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-07 15:39

Review time: 10 Days and 21 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	[Y] Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		[] Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		[] Advanced
				[Y] General
				[] No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:
				[] Yes
				[Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Authors reported good written and well-balanced research paper that is depicted nuclear stress testing in elderly patients. The study is quite well designed, while sample size is small. Authors reported MACEs in patients' population after nuclear stress testing and found that SSS ≥ 9 predicted the development of MACE in the immediate peri-/post-operative period in elderly patients ≥ 85 years undergoing moderate to high-risk surgery. The findings of the study are clinically important and they deserve to be published. 1. Study design. Please, report flow chart with clear criteria of inclusion / non-inclusion 2. Result. Please, report demographics, risks, other performances for patients with SSS > 9 and < 9 3. Please, explain whether patients with HF were enrolled in the study or HF was determined as MACE only. Additionally, explain why HF $< 45\%$ and $> 45\%$ were determined. 4. Please, re-consider Cox proportional regression as adjusted model to CV risk factors and give discussion further. Compare some predictive models with C-statistic

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Cardiology

Manuscript NO: 52604

Title: Preoperative nuclear stress testing in the very old patient population

Reviewer's code: 02948419

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Ukraine

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2019-11-08

Reviewer chosen by: Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-27 08:04

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-27 08:23

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Authors reported revised version of the paper, which had been critically evaluated and improved . I believed that the revision version of the manuscript can be accepted for processing further.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No