



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 52733

Title: Biliary spontaneous dislodgement spiral stent for patients who underwent mechanical lithotripsy

Reviewer's code: 03807998

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Fellow

Reviewer's country: Italy

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Artificial Intelligence Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-18 09:07

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-18 13:31

Review time: 4 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Ye et al. reported their experience with the use of a new plastic self depositing biliary stent in patients undergoing mechanical lithotripsy for bile duct stones. The study is of interest but I suggest some revisions to improve the quality of the paper: - in the abstract they report the aim is only to evaluate the feasibility of the placement, while in the introduction and methods the endpoints seem to be multiple. The abstract should therefore be corrected. - I would not include the self-extraction or dislocation of the nasobiliary tube among the post-ERCP complications - in the methods section a description of how the ML was performed and what devices were used should be inserted - no patients underwent stone or fragment extraction with balloon? - in the methods section, page 7, the authors report: "Drainage of bile, as well as residual small fragments or sludge, was confirmed before withdrawal of the duodenoscope.". Does this mean they inserted the nasobiliary drainage or the BSDSS only in patients with evidence of residual small fragments/sludge? That line should be changed as it might be confusing. - the authors report that "Daily abdominal radiography was scheduled to determine the BSDSS location until the BSDSS was noted when the patient had a bowel movement. " Does this mean the patients were instructed to look for the stent in the feces? This should be specified, as noticing a 7Fr 7 cm stent in the feces might not be that easy. - the authors report "Postoperative cholangiography or abdominal ultrasound was performed to detect residual debris, and additional ERCP was performed when needed". Does this mean that all patients underwent either cholangiography or abdominal ultrasound? This should be better explained. - the authors report "The endpoints of this study were CBD stone recurrence or October 2019." I would change the phrase "endpoints of this study" with "the end of follow-up for each patient was considered either CBD stone recurrence or October 2019", as endpoints might be confused with "outcomes".

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 52733

Title: Biliary spontaneous dislodgement spiral stent for patients who underwent mechanical lithotripsy

Reviewer's code: 03646559

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's country: Sweden

Author's country: China

Reviewer chosen by: Jin-Zhou Tang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-11-24 18:02

Reviewer performed review: 2019-11-24 19:30

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations to a well written manuscript.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No