SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this retrospective study, Zhang et al investigated the risk scores, prevention and treatment of maternal venous thromboembolism. The study is well display, the sample size is very large. In my opinion, the manuscript can be accepted after a minor language revision of the abstract and main text. Such as, in abstract, Objective, the "and to" was repeat; in results, 1. "wereare" shouldbe were or are? Please carefully check and make an editing.

Answer: Thank you very much for your comments. The grammar errors in the article have been corrected.

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The shedding of the venous thromboembolism thrombus and its transfer to the lungs may cause ulmonary embolism and is strongly lethal. According to foreign reports, the risk of venous thromboembolism in pregnant women is aboutapproximately 0.8-2‰, which is 3-7 times higher than that of non-pregnant women. The risk of venous thromboembolism during puerperium is 2-4 times that duringof prenatal periods. The incidence of venous thromboembolism is three times that of pulmonary embolism, and pulmonary embolism accounts for 9% of maternal deaths. Drug prevention and treatment of pregnancy-related venous thromboembolism relyies more highly on foreign guidelines;, however,but due to the differences in ethnicity and national conditions, there are many controversies about the indications for drug treatment, drug selection and dose selection for anticoagulant therapy. In this study, the authors investigated in terms offor the status of venous thromboembolism prophylactic treatment. The methods are reasonable, with a big sample size. The evaluation criteria is very clear. Results are good. The data in tables should be carefully checked. I found some Chinese words in the tables, although I know the meanings of them, please change them to English. There are some minor grammar and spelling

mistakes in the abstract and main text. This should be carefully checked and revised. At last, I recommend to accept this manuscript after a minor revision.

Answer: Thank you very much for your comments. The grammar errors in the article have been corrected. Chinese words in tables are revised, and the data are checked again.