

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 52920

**Title:** The utility of multiple endoscopic techniques in the differential diagnosis of gallbladder adenomyomatosis from gallbladder malignancy with bile duct invasion: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 02959015

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's country:** Italy

**Author's country:** China

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-06 07:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-08 18:06

**Review time:** 2 Days and 10 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors describe a case report reporting a series of instrumental methods to assess the benignity / malignancy of gallbladder disease and biliary tract involvement. The instrumental examinations they propose are useful and some of them are innovative. To improve the paper in the discussion section I suggest adding: 1) Comment on the utility that CEUS of the gallbladder can have in the differential diagnosis of gallbladder cancer (not of neoplastic strictures); In this regard the authors can mention and comment J Ultrasound. 2018 Jun; 21 (2): 119-126 and Ultraschall Med. 2018 Apr; 39 (2): e2-e44 which analyze advantages and limitations 2) To underline how the increased Ca19.9 value may not always be an index of biliary neoplasia, authors can find the bibliographic reference in an ancient but exhaustive paper Gut. 1994 May; 35 (5): 707-8.

## **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No