

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

Thank you for your letter and for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "*The utility of multiple endoscopic techniques in the differential diagnosis of gallbladder adenomyomatosis from gallbladder malignancy with bile duct invasion: A case report and literature review*" (Manuscript NO: 52920). We have reviewed again, The main corrections in the paper and our responses to the reviewer's comments are as follows:

Responses to the reviewer's comments:

1. Response to comment: (Comment on the utility that CEUS of the gallbladder can have in the differential diagnosis of gallbladder cancer)

Response: From the Reviewer's suggestion, we realize the importance of CEUS in the differential diagnosis of gallbladder cancer and added references to articles 16 and 17. Line 3, page 7 was also added.

2. Response to comment: (To underline how the increased Ca19.9 value may not always be an index of biliary neoplasia, authors can find the bibliographic reference in an ancient but exhaustive paper Gut. 1994 May; 35 (5): 707-8.)

Response: It is true as the Reviewer suggested that the Ca19.9 value may not always be an index of biliary neoplasia, according to reference 18. We have added this information to line 5, page 7.

3. Response to comment: (Please provide the author contributions)

Response: We cleared and added the contribution of each author at line 6, page1.

4. Response to comment: (Please upload the primary version (PDF) of the Informed Consent Form that has been signed by all subjects and investigators of the study)

Response: We uploaded the the Informed Consent Form again according to the requirement of editor.

5. Response to comment: (Under the heading of Case Presentation, the following seven aspects must be presented in this order)

Response: We have added this information to line 18, page 4. It's important to maintain the integrity of the article.

6. Response to comment: (Please provide the final diagnosis)

Response: the final diagnosis and gallbladder adenomyomatosis and choledocholithiasis, Line 21, page 6 was also added.

7. Response to comment: (You need to provide the grant application form(s))

or certificate of funding agency for every grant, or we will delete the part of "Supported by)

Response: we added the grant application form(s) or certificate at Approved Grant Application Form(s) or Funding Agency Copy of any Approval Document(s) file.

8. Response to comment:(Please provide the decomposable figure of all the figures, whose parts are all movable and editable organize them into a PowerPoint file, and submit as "Manuscript No. - image files.ppt" on the system. Make sure that the layers in the PPT file are fully editable.)

Response: we added all the figures at imaging file.

We also corrected part of the language and digital reference error and marked this correction in blue in the revised paper.

Special thanks to you for your valuable comments. We truly appreciate the Editors/Reviewers' earnest work and hope that the corrections will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Best regards,
all reviewers and editors