

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Manuscript NO: 52967

Title: Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency after Pancreaticoduodenectomy: Current evidence and management

Reviewer's code: 01489017

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's country: Sweden

Author's country: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-02

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-02 18:34

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-09 13:30

Review time: 6 Days and 18 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript sets out to conduct a review on pancreatic exocrine insufficiency (PEI) after pancreatic surgery. The CONSORT criteria breakdown is OK. The fact that PEI exists after pancreatic surgery is a common place statement. The novelty is lacking here. Instead, one could have concentrated on the (few) studies looking at PEI BEFORE and the AFTER pancreatic surgery and then, furthermore, categorise the data according to the extend of pancreatic resection/type of pancreaticoduodenectomy. Furthermore, it is incomprehensible to me how one could miss the evidence-based European guidelines on chronic pancreatitis with a large chapter on (post-) surgical issues related to pancreatic function/PEI (UEG/HaPanEU) and treatment.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Manuscript NO: 52967

Title: Pancreatic exocrine insufficiency after Pancreaticoduodenectomy: Current evidence and management

Reviewer's code: 03261990

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's country: China

Author's country: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-02

Reviewer chosen by: Ruo-Yu Ma

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-06 06:28

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-14 08:37

Review time: 8 Days and 2 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In your review, you describe the incidence of PEI, its clinical assessment, technical operative factors and management in the setting of PD, which has not been reviewed in the literature. Your reference documentation is very comprehensive and the review concludes almost all conditions that may have something to do with PEI. I can imagine how much work you have done for this professional review. A flaw in this otherwise good writing is that there are some sloppy grammatical issues. Overall, the abundant information from this review contribute to our understanding of possible clinical assessment and management of PEI. Readers with an interest in PEI after PD will find this paper beneficial and informative. I really appreciate your great work and it's my great honor to read this paper.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No