



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology

Manuscript NO: 53112

Title: Similarities and differences in guidelines for the management of pancreatic cysts

Reviewer's code: 03646542

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's country: Denmark

Author's country: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-06

Reviewer chosen by: Jie Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-28 19:57

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-02 14:11

Review time: 4 Days and 18 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



Lanke et al have discussed differences between current guidelines on management of pancreatic cystic lesions. The manuscript is comprehensive and well written. I have following comments/suggestions for revision: 1. Revised IAP guidelines from 2017 replace the older ones (2012), there is no need to discuss older guidelines. 2. Some language polishing is needed. Please make sure that spaces between number and unit of measurement are consistent throughout the text. Please use the greater than or equal or less than or equal signs where applicable (\geq). 3. I advise against using headers to link to images (algorithms), this should be done in the text. 4. Table 1 lists only the most common types of cystic lesions (not all of them), this should be specified. 5. Algorithms 1,2, and 3 should be uploaded as images. I further suggest combining the 3 algorithms into a single one. 6. Please revise the images and remove unnecessary info. Images should be uniform and in 300 DPI. Lesions should be clearly identified, e.g. by an arrow, in images 5 and 6. Similarly, images 7 and 8 are measuring the pancreatic duct, this should be clarified.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

BPG Search:

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No