



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 53247

**Title:** Oesophageal Atresia: The Growth Gap

**Reviewer's code:** 00503623

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's country:** United States

**Author's country:** Australia

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-12 14:44

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-12 15:38

**Review time:** 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

Tis manuscript provides an extensive review of Oesophageal Atresia (OA) and the



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

reasons why the children affected by this condition exhibit poor growth, and difficulties with swallowing and speech. Moreover, this review explores the impact of diet on the children with OA and the role of microbiota, and provides some directions towards an effective interventions to maximize growth and nutritional outcomes. Considering limited research in the area of OA, this review provides much needed guidance towards the implementation of appropriate interventions to optimize growth and developmental outcomes of children with repaired OA.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 53247

**Title:** Oesophageal Atresia: The Growth Gap

**Reviewer's code:** 00068720

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MSc

**Professional title:** Attending Doctor, Professor

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Author's country:** Australia

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-13 14:56

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-17 03:17

**Review time:** 3 Days and 12 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This work proposes an extensive review on the prevalence of and factors associated



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

with growth impairment in children with OA, , and suggests areas for future research in this field. The paper offers an interesting analysis and their innovation and findings are well emphasized.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- The same title
- Duplicate publication
- Plagiarism
- No



**PEER-REVIEW REPORT**

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 53247

**Title:** Oesophageal Atresia: The Growth Gap

**Reviewer's code:** 02577402

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's country:** China

**Author's country:** Australia

**Reviewer chosen by:** Artificial Intelligence Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2019-12-13 00:42

**Reviewer performed review:** 2019-12-22 02:11

**Review time:** 9 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

In this study, the authors reviewed oesophageal atrsia:the growth gap. Some problems



existed. 1. INTRODUCTION: In THIS SECTION, the authors should give the brief introduction of the five types of OA so that the authors will have a brief idea of these types because later the authors will deal with these types once more. However, in this section, the authors just briefly mentioned type C neglecting the other four types. 2. What does WFH mean in the section of PREVALENCE OF POOR GROWTH IN OA. When using an abbreviation, the full phrase has to be given at the first time of use. Later you can always use the abbreviation without mentioning the full phrase. Please check the whole article and correct all similar issues of abbreviation usage. Later, I found that the authors give the full phrase of WFH in the surgical factors section. This is not correct. Moreover, the HFA and its full phrase were used twice. Please check. In this section, the authors mentioned type C and other types of OA. However, the reader still do not understand other types. That is why you have introduce all the types in the INTRODUCTION section. 3. Citation: When citing others' work, please be careful. The authors used Puntis et al was the only study to. This is not correct. Puntis et al are a group of authors rather than a study. You should write like this: The study by Puntis et al was the only one to ---. Other examples are "Baired et al was the first study". This is not correct. Please check the whole article and correct all similar issues. Also, the authors used "both Menzies et al and Vergouwe et al observed". Both means two, however, here there are a lot more authors. The authors probably mean both studies by Menzies et al and Vergouwe et al observed. Please check. 4. Table 1 is too big and too complex. Please shorten and simplify it.

## INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

### *Google Search:*

[ ] The same title

[ ] Duplicate publication



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-223-8242

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](https://www.wjgnet.com)

Plagiarism

No

***BPG Search:***

The same title

Duplicate publication

Plagiarism

No