

# World Journal of *Psychiatry*

*World J Psychiatr* 2020 May 19; 10(5): 81-124



**MINIREVIEWS**

- 81 Neuroendocrine, epigenetic, and intergenerational effects of general anesthetics  
*Martynyuk AE, Ju LS, Morey TE, Zhang JQ*
- 95 Abdominal pain related to adulterated opium: An emerging issue in drug addicts  
*Vahabzadeh M, Mégarbane B*

**ORIGINAL ARTICLE****Observational Study**

- 101 Effects of age and sex on clinical high-risk for psychosis in the community  
*Schultze-Lutter F, Schimmelmann BG, Flückiger R, Michel C*

**ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Psychiatry*, Kirsten M Wilkins, MD, Associate Professor, Department of Psychiatry, Yale University School of Medicine, West Haven, CT 06516, United States

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Psychiatry (WJP, World J Psychiatr)* is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of psychiatry with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

*WJP* mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of psychiatry and covering a wide range of topics including adolescent psychiatry, biological psychiatry, child psychiatry, community psychiatry, ethnopsychology, forensic psychiatry, geriatric psychiatry, military psychiatry, neuropsychiatry, orthopsychiatry, psychoanalysis, and psychosomatic medicine.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The *WJP* is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, China National Knowledge Infrastructure (CNKI), China Science and Technology Journal Database (CSTJ), and Superstar Journals Database.

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Responsible Electronic Editor: *Mei-Yi Liu*  
 Proofing Production Department Director: *Yun-Xiaojuan Wu*  
 Responsible Editorial Office Director: *Ruo-Yu Ma*

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Psychiatry*

**ISSN**

ISSN 2220-3206 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

December 31, 2011

**FREQUENCY**

Monthly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Rajesh R Tampi

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/editorialboard.htm>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

May 19, 2020

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2020 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION ETHICS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>

## Observational Study

## Effects of age and sex on clinical high-risk for psychosis in the community

Frauke Schultze-Lutter, Benno G Schimmelmann, Rahel Flückiger, Chantal Michel

**ORCID number:** Frauke Schultze-Lutter (0000-0003-1956-9574); Benno G Schimmelmann (0000-0002-8980-1466); Rahel Flückiger (0000-0003-1228-7267); Chantal Michel (0000-0003-1165-6681).

**Author contributions:** Schultze-Lutter F and Schimmelmann BG designed the research; Schultze-Lutter F, Flückiger R and Michel C performed the research; Schultze-Lutter F analyzed the data; Schultze-Lutter F wrote the first draft of the paper; Schimmelmann BG, Flückiger R and Michel C critically revised the first draft; all authors agreed to the submitted version.

**Supported by** the Swiss National Science Foundation, No. 135381 and No. 144100.

**Institutional review board statement:** The study was reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of the University of Bern, "Kantonale Ethikkommission Bern (KEK)" (Switzerland).

**Informed consent statement:** All participants of the BEARS-Kid study, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrolment. In the BEAR study, participation in the telephone interview after written information equalled informed consent.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors report no conflicts of interest in relation to the topic of this study. Outside this work, Dr. Schimmelmann received honoraria

**Frauke Schultze-Lutter**, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical Faculty, Heinrich-Heine University, Düsseldorf 40692, Germany

**Benno G Schimmelmann, Rahel Flückiger, Chantal Michel**, University Hospital of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, University of Bern, Bern 3000, Switzerland

**Benno G Schimmelmann**, University Hospital of Child and Adolescent Psychiatry, University Hospital Hamburg-Eppendorf, Hamburg 20246, Germany

**Corresponding author:** Frauke Schultze-Lutter, MSc, PhD, Assistant Professor, Head of Early Detection Research Unit, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Medical Faculty, Heinrich-Heine University, Bergische Landstraße 2, Düsseldorf 40629, Germany.

[frauke.schultze-lutter@lvr.de](mailto:frauke.schultze-lutter@lvr.de)

## Abstract

## BACKGROUND

Recent reports of both heightened prevalence rates and limited clinical relevance of clinical high-risk (CHR) criteria and their relevant symptoms in children and adolescents indicate an important role of neurodevelopment in the early detection of psychoses. Furthermore, sex effects in CHR symptoms have been reported, though studies were inconclusive. As sex also impacts on neurodevelopment, we expected that sex might have an additional contribution to age in the prevalence and clinical relevance of CHR symptoms and criteria.

## AIM

To investigate age and sex effects on CHR criteria and symptoms and their association with psychosocial impairment and mental disorder.

## METHODS

In this cross-sectional cohort study,  $n = 2916$  8- to 40-year-olds, randomly drawn from the population register of the Swiss canton Bern, were assessed in semi-structured interviews by phone or face-to-face for CHR symptoms and criteria using the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes and the Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument in its child and youth, and adult version, respectively. Furthermore, social and occupational functioning and DSM-IV axis I disorders were assessed. Simple and interaction effects of age and sex on CHR symptoms and criteria, and interaction effects of age, sex, and CHR symptoms and criteria on presentation of functional impairment and of non-psychotic disorder were investigated using logistic regression analyses.

## RESULTS

and is on the speakers board of Takeda (Shire) and Infectopharm.

**Data sharing statement:** Data of the studies are not publicly available but might be shared upon request from the first author.

**STROBE statement:** The “Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology” (STROBE) guidelines were carefully observed throughout the preparation of this manuscript.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Manuscript source:** Invited manuscript

**Received:** December 26, 2019

**Peer-review started:** December 26, 2019

**First decision:** February 29, 2020

**Revised:** March 13, 2020

**Accepted:** March 30, 2020

**Article in press:** March 30, 2020

**Published online:** May 19, 2020

**P-Reviewer:** Farhat S, Yellanthoor RB

**S-Editor:** Ma YJ

**L-Editor:** A

**E-Editor:** Liu JH



Altogether, 542 (18.6%) participants reported any CHR symptom; of these, 261 (9.0%) participants reported any one of the 11 criteria relevant cognitive and perceptual basic symptoms, and 381 (13.1%) any one of the five attenuated or transient psychotic symptoms (attenuated psychotic symptoms/brief intermittent psychotic symptoms). Fewer participants met any one of the CHR criteria ( $n = 82$ , 2.8%) or any one of the three recently recommended CHR criteria ( $n = 38$ , 1.3%). Both age and sex were significantly ( $P < 0.05$ ) associated with CHR symptoms and criteria, mostly by younger age and female sex. Though slightly differing between symptom groups, age thresholds were detected around the turn from adolescence to adulthood; they were highest for cognitive basic symptoms and CHR criteria. With the exception of the infrequent speech disorganization attenuated psychotic symptom, the interaction of age with CHR symptoms and criteria predicted functional impairment; whereas, independent of each other, sex and CHR symptoms mostly predicted mental disorders.

## CONCLUSION

Age and sex differentially impact on CHR symptoms and criteria; these differences may support better understanding of causal pathways. Thus, future CHR studies should consider effects of sex and age.

**Key words:** Psychosis; Clinical high-risk; Attenuated psychotic symptoms; Basic symptoms; Community; Age; Sex; Interview assessment; Prevalence

©The Author(s) 2020. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core tip:** Age and sex are crucial aspects in neurodevelopment and are partly interrelated, presenting as important factors in mental disorders related to neurodevelopment, such as psychosis. However, both age- and sex-related aspects are frequently neglected in the early detection of psychosis. Therefore, this highly original study examined the association of age and sex with the presentation and clinical relevance of clinical high-risk criteria and their constituting symptoms in a large community sample of 8- to 40-year-olds. Next to confirming the important role of age and sex, their differential relations to clinical high-risk symptoms reveal important insight in possible causal pathways.

**Citation:** Schultze-Lutter F, Schimmelmann BG, Flückiger R, Michel C. Effects of age and sex on clinical high-risk for psychosis in the community. *World J Psychiatr* 2020; 10(5): 101-124

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/2220-3206/full/v10/i5/101.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.5498/wjp.v10.i5.101>

## INTRODUCTION

Psychoses, especially schizophrenia-spectrum psychoses, are severe mental disorders that tend to take an unfavorable course, in particular when the first episode occurs before age 18<sup>[1,2]</sup>, and for this reason, incur immense costs and are a main cause of disability<sup>[1]</sup> already in adolescents<sup>[3]</sup>. In adolescents, this is despite the fact that the majority of first episodes of psychoses have their onset in adulthood, mostly before age 24<sup>[4,5]</sup>. Furthermore, psychoses affect more men than women<sup>[6]</sup>, in particular after childhood and adolescence, and before age of 45 to 50<sup>[5,7]</sup>. These age and sex effects on incidence rates, and their interaction, are considered to reflect the fundamentally neurodevelopmental nature of psychoses, whereby development involves two critical time windows – early (perinatal) brain development and adolescence – that together produce the symptomatic manifestations of the disorder<sup>[1]</sup>.

Starting in the 1980s, it was increasingly demonstrated that the duration of untreated first-episode psychosis as well as of its prodrome of mostly several years duration is related to poorer outcome<sup>[8]</sup>, especially in psychoses with an onset before age 18<sup>[2,9,10]</sup>. Thus, it was concluded that an earlier detection and treatment of psychoses – preferably before their first episode – was needed<sup>[11]</sup>. Subsequent studies on adult patients produced two complimentary approaches to detect psychosis early,

in its clinical high-risk (CHR) state<sup>[12,13]</sup>: The ultra-high risk (UHR) and the basic symptom (BS) approach, together consisting of five alternatively employed criteria (Table 1).

However, following the increasing involvement of younger age groups in the early detection of psychotic disorders, concerns were raised about the likely impact of age, *i.e.*, developmental aspects on the prevalence, clinical relevance, and psychosis-predictive value of CHR symptoms and criteria<sup>[17]</sup>. These concerns were corroborated by significantly lower conversion-to-psychosis rates in UHR samples comprising exclusively children and adolescents compared to adult or mixed adult-adolescent samples<sup>[13]</sup>, and by predominately negative associations between age and CHR criteria and symptoms in both clinical<sup>[18-23]</sup> and community studies<sup>[24,25]</sup>. Interestingly, when age effects were studied in child and adolescent samples only<sup>[22]</sup>, older adolescents showed better functioning and lower depressive scores; and fewer adolescents than adults reported BS criteria in addition to the main UHR criterion, *i.e.*, the attenuated psychotic symptoms (APS) syndrome (APSS)<sup>[21]</sup>. Details on studies of age effects on CHR symptoms and criteria is provided in the supplementary text 1. As regards effects of sex, despite reported sex differences in prevalence of psychoses and psychosis-spectrum disorders, and their symptom distributions<sup>[6,26-29]</sup>, sex effects on the clinical significance and psychosis-predictive value of CHR symptoms and criteria have been less studied and, apart from more negative symptoms in males, are frequently conflicting<sup>[30-43]</sup>. Details on studies of sex effects on CHR symptoms and criteria is provided in the supplementary text 2.

### **Aims and hypotheses**

It was speculated from findings on age thresholds in CHR symptoms in the community<sup>[24,25]</sup> that sex differences in brain and cognitive maturation<sup>[44-47]</sup> might lead to lower age thresholds in the clinical significance of BS and possibly APS in females compared to males. In addition, due to the lack of studies on both age and sex effects on CHR symptoms and criteria, we investigated the effect of both age and sex on the prevalence of CHR criteria and symptoms and on their association with psychosocial impairment and mental disorder in a large community sample of 8- to 40-year-olds.

---

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

---

### **Recruitment and sample**

The sample was composed of community participants in two studies: The “Bern Epidemiology At-Risk” (BEAR) study<sup>[48,49]</sup> and the “Binational Evaluation of At-Risk Symptoms in Children and Adolescents” (BEARS-Kid) study<sup>[24,25]</sup>. Both studies used a stratified sampling method to obtain a representative sample of 7370 people aged 16–40 years, in the BEAR study (response rate: 63.4%), and of 980 minors aged 8–17 years, in the BEARS-Kid study (response rate: 32.6%), from the predominantly Caucasian people registered in the semi-rural Canton of Bern (approximately 1 Mill.) and the city of Bern and its urban hinterland (approximately 200000), respectively. The city of Bern is the largest city of the Canton Bern and has approximately 134000 citizens. In the Canton Bern, 21% of the population are non-Swiss; 80% of these are from European countries. Potential participants were randomly drawn from the obligatory population register including their address, date of birth, sex, nationality, and parents’ names (for minors). Telephone numbers were subsequently searched in directories and the Internet.

In both studies, first contact was established by an information letter on the aims of the respective study, voluntariness of participation, and anonymous use of data in group statistics. For the BEAR study, participation in the telephone interview was equated with provision of informed consent; for the BEARS-Kid study, written informed assent/consent was obtained from participants and their parents. Both studies had been approved by the ethics committee of the University of Bern (KEK-BE 172/09 and 174/10).

In both studies, eligibility criteria included main residence in Canton Bern, appropriate age, and an available telephone number. Interviews were discontinued if participants had a past or current diagnosis of psychosis or insufficient understanding of German, French, or English. Recruitment and telephone assessments for the BEAR study were conducted over 3.5 years; recruitment and face-to-face assessments for the BEARS-Kid study over 3.3 years. Prior to merging data, a feasibility study examining the correspondence of telephone and face-to-face assessments of CHR had found excellent concordance rates (78%-100%) between these two assessment modes<sup>[50]</sup>. This finding demonstrated that data of both studies could be merged and compared without danger of introducing a systematic assessment bias.

**Table 1 Clinical high risk for psychosis criteria****Ultra-high risk (UHR) criteria as per the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes (SIPS) and basic symptom (BS) criteria as per the Schizophrenia Proneness Instruments (SPI-A/SPI-CY)<sup>[15,16]</sup>****UHR: Brief Intermittent Psychotic Symptoms Syndrome (BIPSS)**

≥ 1 of the following SIPS positive (P) items scored 6 = “severe and psychotic” with first appearance in the past 3 months and a presence of at least several minutes per day at a frequency of at least once per month but less than 7 d:

P1 Unusual Thought Content/Delusional Ideas

P2 Suspiciousness/Persecutory Ideas

P3 Grandiose Ideas

P4 Perceptual Abnormalities/Hallucinations

P5 Disorganized Communication

**UHR: Attenuated Psychotic Symptoms Syndrome (APSS)**

≥ 1 of the above P-items scored 3 = “moderate” to 5 = “severe but not psychotic” with a first appearance within the past year or current rating one or more scale points higher compared to 12 mo ago, and with an occurrence of an average frequency of at least once per week in the past month.

**UHR: Genetic Risk and Deterioration Syndrome (GRDS)**

Patient meets SIPS-criteria for Schizotypal Personality Disorder or has 1<sup>st</sup>-degree relative with a psychotic disorder, and has experienced > 30% drop in the global assessment of functioning score over the last month compared to 12 mo ago

**BS: Cognitive-Perceptive Basic Symptoms (COPER)**

≥ 1 of the following BS with a score of ≥ 3 = “frequency of at least several times in a month or weekly” within the last 3 mo and with first occurrence ≥ 12 mo ago:

Thought interference

Thought perseveration

Thought pressure

Thought blockages

Disturbance of receptive speech

Decreased ability to discriminate between ideas/perception, fantasy/memories

Unstable ideas of reference

Derealization

Visual perception disturbances (excl. hypersensitivity to light or blurred vision)

Acoustic perception disturbances (excl. hypersensitivity to sounds)

**BS: Cognitive Disturbances (COGDIS)**

≥ 2 of the following BS with a score of ≥ 3 within the last 3 mo:

Inability to divide attention

Thought interference

Thought pressure

Thought blockages

Disturbance of receptive speech

Disturbance of expressive speech

Unstable ideas of reference

Disturbances of abstract thinking

Captivation of attention by details of the visual field

According to the definitions of the American Association for Public Opinion Research<sup>[51]</sup>, the contact rates of those eligible were 94.8% in the BEAR study, and 82.7% in the BEARS-Kid study; refusal rates were 30.2% and 49.2%. Main reasons for refusal were lack of interest in the topic or lack of time; these reasons were named in both studies by roughly every second and every third refuser, respectively.

Altogether 2683 persons between the ages 16–40 years (inclusive) participated in the BEAR study, 233 persons aged 8–17 years (inclusive) in the BEARS-Kid study. Thus, our final sample ( $n = 2916$ ) included 2539 (87.1%) adults and 377 (12.9%) children/adolescents. As in earlier studies<sup>[27,28,45,49]</sup>, these were divided into seven age groups for the examination of simple age effects: 8–12 years ( $n = 117$ ), 13–15 years ( $n = 69$ ), 16–17 years ( $n = 191$ ), 18–19 years ( $n = 167$ ), 20–24 years ( $n = 493$ ), 25–29 years ( $n = 319$ ), and 30–40 years ( $n = 1560$ ).

**Assessments**

Well-established semi-structured interview assessments were used to assess CHR criteria and symptoms<sup>[12,13]</sup>. The Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument (SPI)<sup>[15,16]</sup> was

used to assess the 14 BS included in the two BS CHR criteria and the criteria themselves (Table 1); in the BEAR study, the Adult version (SPI-A)<sup>[15]</sup> and, in the BEARS-Kid study, the Child and Youth version (SPI-CY)<sup>[16,52]</sup> was used. Both versions of the SPI do not differ in the definitions of corresponding BS and BS criteria. As in earlier studies<sup>[19,23-25]</sup>, for the current analysis, cognitive and perceptual BS were distinguished rather than employ the partially overlapping criteria for BS (Table 1). Irrespective of their frequency of occurrence, perceptual BS included at least one visual or acoustic perception disturbance; and cognitive BS included thought interference, blockages, pressure, and perseveration, disturbances of receptive and expressive speech, of abstract thinking, and of discriminating between ideas and perceptions; captivation of attention by details of the visual field; inability to divide attention; unstable ideas of reference; and derealization. The SPI-CY requires a minimum age of 13 years for the assessment of three of the 14 BS included in COPER and COGDIS<sup>[16]</sup> (Table 1). For this reason, main analyses were conducted on the eleven BS assessed across all age groups to avoid a negative selection bias in the youngest age group, *i.e.*, the 8- to 12-year-olds.

Furthermore, the five positive (P) items of the Structured Interview for Psychosis-Risk Syndromes (SIPS)<sup>[14]</sup> were used to explore the presentation of APS and brief intermittent psychotic symptoms (BIPS), and of the symptomatic UHR criteria (Table 1). More specifically, the current presentation of any APS (any SIPS item from P1 to P5 with a score between 3 and 5) and any BIPS (any SIPS item from P1 to P5 with a score of 6) was assessed. Delusional (P1, P2, and P3), hallucinatory (P4) and speech-disorganization (P5) APS/BIPS were distinguished irrespective of their frequency of occurrence. Of the genetic risk and deterioration syndrome (GRDS), a genetic risk was estimated only by a first-degree relative of psychosis. A schizotypal personality disorder in the participant was not assessed because of the lack of an informant<sup>[53]</sup> and the non-assessment of the negative schizotypal symptoms, which are part of the negative scale of the SIPS, in the BEAR study, and because of the young age of participants in the BEARS-Kid study.

Symptom-independent current global level of psychosocial functioning was estimated using the Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale (SOFAS)<sup>[54]</sup>; a score  $\leq 70$  was considered indicative of low, *i.e.*, clinically significant, impairment in functioning. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.)<sup>[55]</sup> and its children's version (M.I.N.I. Kid)<sup>[56]</sup> were used to assess current axis I disorders according to DSM-IV and to rule out past or present psychosis. Again for the lack of an informant in the BEAR study<sup>[53]</sup> and for the young age in the BEARS-Kid study, axis II disorders were not assessed.

In addition, as part of the sociodemographic assessment, participants (or a parent, in 8- to 15-year-olds) were asked about first- and second-degree biological family members with mental problems, treated or untreated, and the diagnosis or, if unknown or never seeking help, a description of these problems (and treatment, if applicable).

In both the BEAR and the BEARS-Kid study, interviewers were clinical psychologists who had received an intensive three-month training, especially in the semi-structured context-dependent personalized assessment of CHR symptoms and mental disorders, in order to achieve a  $\geq 95\%$  concordance rate with the trainers (in all instances the first or the last author) before the conduction of interviews. Weekly supervision of all symptom ratings performed by the first or last author further ensured excellent, reliable data quality in both studies.

### Statistical analysis

Using SPSS 25.0., frequencies were compared by  $\chi^2$  tests, and non-normally distributed interval and ordinal data were evaluated by Mann-Whitney tests. In accordance with other studies of age effects in CHR symptoms and criteria<sup>[19,23-25]</sup>, logistic regression analyses were used to calculate (1) Simple effects of the seven age groups on prevalence rates of CHR symptoms and criteria; (2) Simple effects of sex on prevalence rates of CHR symptoms and criteria; (3) Interaction effects of age and sex on prevalence rates of CHR symptoms and criteria; and (4) The interaction effect of age, sex and the single CHR symptoms or criteria on low psychosocial functioning, and the presentation of at least one axis I disorder as dependent variables. To test the simple effects, we used the enter method. To test the interaction effects, we entered the single variables as well as all of their possible combinations of interactions and employed stepwise logistic regression analyses using both the backward and the forward selection to control for the different suppressor effects associated with each selection mode. Significant predictors that were selected in both searches were re-entered in a regression analysis using the enter method to estimate their model fit. Relevant interactions were inspected for their direction by interaction graphs. Throughout, goodness-of-fit was estimated by the Omnibus test.

In accordance with previous studies of age effects<sup>[19,23-25]</sup>, the age group with a peak onset of first episode psychosis (20-24 years) served as a reference group in regression analyses of simple effects of age groups, while age rather than age group was entered in analyses of interactions because of the expected rather small numbers of low functioning and mental disorders per age group. Regarding sex, because of the reported higher incidence of psychotic disorders in men<sup>[6]</sup>, females served as a reference group. There was no missing data.

## RESULTS

### **Sample characteristics**

The majority of our sample had a Swiss nationality, already concluded school education, good psychosocial functioning and a normal occupation (Table 2). The rare sex differences were of only small effect size (0.080-0.174), indicating a slight tendency of more men being single, and of more women working in temporary job, reporting a 1<sup>st</sup>- or 2<sup>nd</sup>-degree relative with mental disorder, mostly with an affective disorder, and meeting a current non-psychotic axis I disorder.

### **Prevalence of CHR symptoms and criteria**

Altogether, 542 (18.6%) participants reported any CHR symptom; of these, 261 (9.0%) participants reported any one of the 11 BS and 381 (13.1%) any one of the five APS/BIPS, with only ten (0.35%) reporting BIPS (one delusional and nine hallucinatory) (Table 3). Speech-disorganization occurred only at APS-level and was extremely rare, not rated at all in 14- to 19-year-olds (Table 3).

Only 2.8% met criteria of any CHR criterion; mostly the BS criterion "Cognitive-Perceptive BS", COPER (2.2%) (Table 4). Thus, when only the three criteria recommended within the Guidance project of the European Psychiatric Association (EPA)<sup>[13]</sup>, *i.e.*, APSS, the BIPS syndrome (BIPSS) and the BS criterion Cognitive Disturbances (COGDIS), were considered, only 1.3% met any EPA-recommended CHR criterion (henceforth: EPA criterion). Because of the lack of reporting a sufficient decline in functioning, despite some reports of a family history of psychotic disorders (Table 2), none of the participants met the GRDS (Table 4). Also, BIPSS was very rare, its requirements met by only one participant (Table 4).

### **Simple and combined effects of age and sex on CHR symptoms**

Age effects indicated the same age thresholds for BS as reported earlier from a smaller subsample<sup>[25]</sup> (Tables 5-7), *i.e.*, a threshold of any BS and of cognitive BS in the first half of the twenties and of perceptual BS around age 18, whereby participants below these threshold had a higher likelihood to report BS and effects of age were most pronounced on cognitive BS. A newly emerging significant finding was the lower prevalence of perceptual BS in 30- to 40-year-olds. Overall, BS were significantly less frequent in males, with little differences between cognitive and perceptual BS (Tables 5-7).

Similar to earlier findings on a smaller subsample of the present sample<sup>[24]</sup>, an age effect on the report of delusional APS/BIPS was hardly detectable, apart from a slightly increased prevalence in 30- to 40-year-olds (Table 8). In any APS/BIPS and in hallucinatory APS/BIPS (Tables 9 and 10), an age threshold around age 18 showed with an additional trend toward lesser hallucinatory APS/BIPS in 30- to 40-year-olds counteracting the age effect on delusional APS/BIPS in this age group; consequently, no age effect on any APS/BIPS emerged. Due to their rare occurrence (Table 3), no age effect was detectable on speech-disorganization APS (Table 11). Similar to the findings on BS, APS/BIPS were commonly less frequent in males (Tables 9-10); the only exception occurred in speech-disorganization APS that revealed a statistical trend towards being more frequent in males (Table 11).

Except for the age models on delusional and speech-disorganization APS/BIPS, all models indicated an improved model fit that, just like the explained variance, was commonly greater for the age group models compared to the sex models (Tables 5-11).

Except for delusional APS/BIPS that were only predicted by female sex (Table 8), both forward and backward selections indicated an effect of both age and sex on the report of CHR symptoms (Tables 12-17). In doing so, except for cognitive BS (Table 13), age and sex - if selected - were not only independent predictors but weakly interacted with each other (Tables 12, 14-17). Visual inspection of the interaction graphs revealed that, in case of any BS and perceptual BS, the likelihood of reporting these BS decreased with age in females, while it increased with age in males. Additionally, the presentation of both any and perceptual BS was generally more

**Table 2 Sociodemographic and clinical characteristics of the sample**

	Total sample (n = 2916)	Males (n = 1550)	Females (n = 1366)	$\chi^2$ (df)/U; P value (Cramer's V/Rosenthal's r)
Study participation: n (%) in BEAR	2683 (92.0)	1447 (93.4)	1236 (90.5)	8.14 (1); 0.004 (0.053)
Age in years: mean $\pm$ SD, Mdn	28.8 $\pm$ 8.7, 31	28.6 $\pm$ 8.6, 31	29.0 $\pm$ 8.9; 32	1031227.0; 0.226 (0.022)
Nationality: n (%) Swiss	2708 (92.9)	1433 (92.5)	1275 (93.3)	0.86 (1); 0.353 (0.017)
Marital status: n (%) single	1730 (59.3)	977 (63.0)	753 (55.1)	18.82 (1); < 0.001 (0.080)
Concluded school education: n (%) yes	2538 (87.0)	1372 (88.5)	1166 (85.4)	6.42 (1); 0.011 (0.047)
Highest school education: n (%)				5.14 (3); 0.162 (0.042)
ISCED 1	148 (5.1)	78 (5.0)	70 (5.1)	
ISCED 2	1689 (57.9)	921 (59.4)	768 (56.2)	
ISCED 3 (34+35)	1079 (37.0)	551 (35.6)	528 (38.7)	
Current occupation: n (%)				27.96 (4); < 0.001 (0.098)
Unemployed	61 (2.1)	37 (2.4)	24 (1.8)	
Sheltered work place	7 (0.2)	7 (0.5)	0	
Self-employed or temporary job, or other	34 (1.2)	6 (0.4)	28 (2.1)	
Normal occupation incl. education	2814 (96.5)	1500 (96.8)	1314 (96.2)	
1st- or 2nd-degree relative with mental disorder: n (%) <sup>1</sup>	1133 (39.0)	479 (31.0)	654 (48.1)	87.93 (1); < 0.001 (0.174)
With psychosis	89 (3.1)	34 (2.2)	55 (4.0)	8.22 (1); 0.004 (0.053)
With affective disorder	691 (23.8)	274 (17.8)	417 (30.6)	66.18 (1); < 0.001 (0.151)
Current SOFAS score: mean $\pm$ SD, Mdn	85.2 $\pm$ 7.1, 87	85.4 $\pm$ 7.3, 88	85.0 $\pm$ 6.9, 87	998335.5; 0.007 (0.050)
Highest-past-year SOFAS score: mean $\pm$ SD, Mdn	85.4 $\pm$ 6.9, 87	85.6 $\pm$ 7.0, 88	85.2 $\pm$ 6.8, 87	1002860.0; 0.013 (0.079)
Psychosocial impairment (SOFAS $\leq$ 70): n (%)	161 (5.5)	79 (5.1)	82 (6.0)	1.13 (1); 0.285 (0.020)
Current mental disorder: n (%)	386 (13.4)	158 (10.2)	228 (16.7)	26.69 (1); < 0.001 (0.096)

<sup>1</sup>Of n = 2904 with known biological relatives. BEAR: Bern Epidemiology At-Risk; SOFAS: Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale.

likely at a younger age and in females, with sex having a greater effect than age. A similar interaction was found for speech-disorganization APS, yet, with positives cases being generally older than negative cases.

In case of any APS/BIPS and hallucinatory APS/BIPS, interaction graphs revealed a contrary pattern with the likelihood of reporting these APS/BIPS increasing with age in females and decreasing in males, both against the background of younger age being an independent predictor.

### **Simple and combined effects of age and sex on CHR criteria**

Contrary to in CHR symptoms, the simple age group effect on CHR and EPA criteria indicated a lower prevalence in those of age 25 and older rather than a higher frequency in the younger age groups (Tables 18-19). Similar to the findings on CHR symptoms, however, females were more likely to report CHR or EPA criteria, again with sex explaining less variance than age groups (Tables 18, 19).

In the combined models, however, and once more in contrast to CHR symptoms, age and sex entered as independent predictors only, with younger age and female gender being associated with a higher likelihood of meeting any CHR or any EPA criterion (Tables 20-21). In doing so, sex was a stronger predictor compared to age (Tables 20, 21).

### **Effects of age, sex, and CHR symptoms and criteria on psychosocial impairment**

With the exception of speech-disorganisation APS, for which different predictors were selected in forward and backward selection (*i.e.*, the APS in forward and the interaction of this APS with age in backward selection), age in interaction with the

**Table 3** Frequency of clinical high risk symptoms in age groups and sexes, *n* (% of group)

	Any BS	Any cognitive BS	Any perceptual BS	Any APS or BIPS	Any delusional APS or BIPS	Any hallucinatory APS or BIPS	Any speech disorganization APS
8-12 yr	21 (17.9)	14 (12.0)	11 (9.4)	36 (30.8)	6 (5.1)	32 (27.4)	1 (0.9)
13-15 yr	18 (26.1)	15 (21.7)	5 (7.2)	15 (21.7)	4 (5.8)	14 (20.3)	0
16-17 yr	30 (15.7)	17 (8.9)	20 (10.5)	38 (19.9)	15 (7.9)	32 (16.8)	0
18-19 yr	27 (16.2)	19 (11.4)	9 (5.4)	27 (16.2)	9 (5.4)	21 (12.6)	0
20-24 yr	40 (8.1)	20 (4.1)	23 (4.7)	58 (11.8)	23 (4.7)	42 (8.5)	1 (0.2)
25-29 yr	23 (7.2)	12 (3.8)	11 (3.4)	33 (10.3)	15 (4.7)	22 (6.9)	2 (0.6)
30-40 yr	102 (6.5)	68 (4.4)	41 (2.6)	174 (11.2)	113 (7.2)	98 (6.3)	16 (1.0)
Male	110 (7.1)	69 (4.5)	51 (3.3)	161 (10.4)	79 (5.1)	107 (6.9)	15 (1.0)
Female	151 (11.1)	96 (7.0)	69 (5.1)	220 (16.1)	106 (7.8)	154 (11.3)	5 (0.4)
Total	261 (9.0)	165 (5.7)	120 (4.1)	381 (13.1)	185 (6.3)	261 (9.0)	20 (0.7)

BS: Basic symptom; BIPS: Brief intermittent psychotic symptoms; APS: Attenuated psychotic symptoms.

respective CHR symptom or criterion predicted psychosocial impairment (Tables 22-29). Only in case of perceptual BS, this interaction was further moderated by sex (Table 24).

Except for delusional APS/BIPS, the twofold interactions indicated that participants with no impairment were younger when they reported CHR symptoms or criteria compared to those not reporting these. This trend was similar but less pronounced for those with a psychosocial impairment in case of hallucinatory APS/BIPS, CHR criteria and EPA criteria, while it was opposite but less pronounced (*i.e.*, higher age in those with both social impairment, and CHR symptoms and criteria compared to those with only psychosocial impairment) in case of any BS, any cognitive BS and any APS/BIPS. In case of delusional APS/BIPS, both participants with and without psychosocial impairment were older when they reported delusional APS/BIPS compared to when they did not report delusional APS/BIPS, with this interaction being more pronounced in those with an impairment. In case of perceptual BS, the interaction effect between age and symptoms on psychosocial impairment was more pronounced in males, in whom this interaction was similar to that of age and symptoms in case of any or cognitive BS. In females, however, both participants with and without a social impairment were younger in the presence of perceptual BS, yet without the perceivable difference in the strength of this effect that was displayed in case of hallucinatory APS/BIPS, CHR criteria and EPA criteria, indicating an absence of an age-by-perceptual BS interaction in females.

### **Effects of age, sex, and CHR symptoms and criteria on nonpsychotic mental disorder**

Contrary to its minor role in respect to psychosocial impairment, sex was always an independent predictor of the presentation of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder according to DSM-IV (Tables 30-38). Mostly, sex was a predictor in addition to the CHR symptoms or criteria without interacting with them, in doing so, playing a lesser role (Tables 32-35, 37, 38). It was even the sole predictor of mental illness in case of any BS (Table 30), likely because the additional role of cognitive BS but not of perceptual BS was moderated by age (Table 31), indicating that mental disorder was less likely when participants with cognitive BS were younger, while in participants with mental disorder, age did not differ between those with and without cognitive BS. The additional role of speech-disorganization APS was also moderated by age (Table 36); yet, other than in case of cognitive BS, indicating that, irrespective of the presentation of mental disorder, participants without this APS were commonly younger than those with it; this age effect being more pronounced in those with mental disorder. In both models, the one with cognitive BS and the one with speech-disorganization APS, the effect of sex was greater than the effect of the age-symptom interaction (Tables 31, 36).

## **DISCUSSION**

Differential age thresholds in CHR symptoms were reported from a much smaller

**Table 4** Frequency of clinical high risk criteria in age groups and sexes, *n* (% of group)

	Any CHR criterion	Any EPA criterion	COPER	COGDIS	APSS	BIPSS	GRDS, only by family history
8-12 yr	7 (6.0)	5 (4.3)	2 (1.7)	1 (0.9)	4 (3.4)	0	0
13-15 yr	5 (7.2)	4 (5.8)	3 (4.3)	3 (4.3)	1 (1.4)	0	0
16-17 yr	9 (4.7)	1 (0.5)	9 (4.7)	1 (0.5)	0	0	0
18-19 yr	5 (3.0)	3 (1.8)	4 (2.4)	3 (1.8)	1 (0.6)	0	0
20-24 yr	19 (3.9)	11 (2.2)	12 (2.4)	3 (0.6)	8 (1.8)	1 (0.2)	0
25-29 yr	4 (1.3)	1 (0.3)	3 (0.9)	0	1 (0.3)	0	0
30-40 yr	33 (2.1)	13 (0.8)	30 (1.9)	10 (0.6)	5 (0.3)	0	0
Male	29 (1.9)	13 (0.8)	22 (1.4)	6 (0.4)	9 (0.6)	0	0
Female	53 (3.9)	25 (1.8)	41 (3.0)	15 (1.1)	11 (0.8)	1 (0.1)	0
Total	82 (2.8)	38 (1.3)	63 (2.2)	21 (0.7)	20 (0.7)	1 (0.03)	0

CHR: Clinical high risk; EPA: European Psychiatric Association; COPER: Cognitive-Perceptive Basic Symptoms; COGDIS: Cognitive Disturbances; APSS: Attenuated Psychotic Symptoms Syndrome; BIPSS: Brief Intermittent Psychotic Symptoms Syndrome; GRDS: Genetic Risk and Deterioration Syndrome.

subsample ( $n = 689$ ) of the present sample ( $n = 2916$ ) in the community<sup>[24,25]</sup>, suggesting an age threshold of about 15/16 year-of-age for APS that was subsequently supported in clinical samples<sup>[18,19]</sup>. An age threshold for perceptual BS around age of 18 years and an age threshold for cognitive BS within the first half of the twenties was found in the community<sup>[25]</sup>. In any case, a higher prevalence and lesser clinical relevance of the respective CHR symptoms in the age groups below these thresholds were observed<sup>[18,19,24,25]</sup>. In line with the BS concept<sup>[57,58]</sup> and current biological and cognitive behavioral models of psychosis<sup>[1,59,60]</sup>, these age thresholds were interpreted as signaling a main relation of APS to the maturation of major cognitive skills and a main relation of BS to brain maturation<sup>[25]</sup>. Furthermore, because of the sex differences in brain and cognitive maturation<sup>[44-47]</sup>, and likely, in symptomatology associated with psychosis and the CHR states<sup>[30-39]</sup>, it was anticipated that sex and age might interact, thus leading to lower age thresholds in the prevalence and clinical significance of BS and possibly APS in females compared to males<sup>[25]</sup>. Hence, in our highly original study, we investigated the effects of age and sex on the prevalence of CHR criteria and symptoms and on their association with psychosocial impairment and mental ill-health in a large community sample of 8- to 40-year-olds. Overall, our results supported the influence of both age and sex on the presentation and clinical relevance of CHR symptoms and criteria; yet, these somewhat differed in their relation to CHR symptoms and criteria, and greatly differed in their interplay with CHR symptoms and criteria in relation to proxy measures of the clinical relevance, *i.e.*, presentation of psychosocial functional impairment and non-psychotic axis I mental disorder according to DSM-IV.

### Age, sex and perception-related CHR symptoms

With regard to the simple effects of age and sex, the age thresholds in BS reported from an earlier subsample<sup>[25]</sup>, including the missing significance of perceptual BS in the smallest age group of 13- to 15-year-olds ( $n = 69$ ), was confirmed. Related to the higher power in the present much larger sample compared to the earlier smaller subsample, all reported age group effects now reached level of significance. Newly, this was also the case for a lower prevalence of perceptual BS in the largest age group of 30- to 40-year-olds ( $n = 1560$ ), which might indicate a continuous slow decrease with age rather than a strict age threshold in perceptual BS. Future community studies with larger samples of younger age groups or studies on clinical samples with a higher prevalence of perceptual BS are needed to decide between an age threshold related to brain maturation processes and a slow decrease in perceptual BS. A slow decrease might reflect continuously strengthened top-down processes in perception, which had been related to the longer duration of the schizophrenia prodrome with perceptual BS<sup>[61]</sup>.

For hallucinatory APS/BIPS, similar age group effects showed but were more pronounced for the three young age groups and less pronounced for the 30- to 40-year-olds. Thus, in contrast to the earlier analyses of a smaller subsample of the present sample, no difference in age thresholds between perceptual BS and hallucinatory APS/BIPS was found. Symptom capture studies, in particular of auditory hallucinations, indicated a pattern of increased coupling among the auditory, language and striatal regions, while resting state studies suggest that the default mode network might be involved in both auditory and visual

**Table 5** Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 11 basic symptoms

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			64.146	6	0.000			
8-12 yr	0.907	0.292	9.655	1	0.002	2.477	1.398	4.390
13-15 yr	1.386	0.320	18.753	1	0.000	3.997	2.135	7.483
16-17 yr	0.747	0.258	8.355	1	0.004	2.110	1.272	3.501
18-19 yr	0.781	0.267	8.549	1	0.003	2.184	1.294	3.687
25-29 yr	-0.128	0.272	.221	1	0.639	0.880	0.516	1.500
30-40 yr	-0.233	0.194	1.438	1	0.230	0.792	0	1.159
Sex								
Male	-0.487	0.131	13.747	1	0.000	0	0.475	0.795

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 58.10$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.044$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 13.94$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.011$ .

hallucinations<sup>[87]</sup>. Further, next to these neurobiological findings, also unstable memories, impaired source monitoring, and poor top-down attention were suggested to play a role in the formation of hallucinations<sup>[62,63]</sup>.

Thus, age group effects indicate that brain maturation processes, in particular of temporal and occipital regions, might play a role in the occurrence of both perceptual BS and hallucinatory BS, with sex-dependent maturation of cognitive abilities<sup>[45,64,65]</sup> such as memory, source monitoring and top-down attention further impacting on the appraisal, and thereby the severity of hallucinatory experiences. While this might explain the age group effects, it does not explain the simple sex findings, with higher prevalence rates in females. However, the interaction of age and sex in perceptual BS and hallucinatory APS/BIPS indicated that, in line with our initial assumption, in particular younger females were prone to report perceptual BS, whereas, contrary to our expectations, hallucinatory APS/BIPS were more frequently reported by females with advancing age. Thus, brain maturation processes might be more pronounced in perceptual BS that, for this reason, are also independently influenced by sex, while sex differences in neurocognitive mechanisms might further moderate hallucinatory experiences, thereby protecting hallucination-prone females for some time. However, to better understand age and sex effects in perception-related CHR symptoms more research employing both sophisticated neurobiological and psychopathological assessments are needed<sup>[66]</sup>.

### **Age, sex and cognition-related CHR symptoms**

Compared to perceptual BS, more pronounced effects of age group and, relatedly, a more distinct age threshold showed for cognitive BS, which was also indicated by a higher amount of explained variance (39% for perceptual *vs* 47% for cognitive BS). This supports the earlier notion of cognitive BS being related to brain maturation processes, particularly of frontal regions<sup>[28]</sup>. As in earlier studies<sup>[24,19]</sup>, the regression model of delusional APS/BIPS was not significant and revealed little effects of age on prevalence of delusional APS/BIPS, except for a newly detectable marginally significant effect in 30- to 40-year-olds, indicating a higher prevalence [Exp[Beta]=1.57 (1.01-2.53)]. In the earlier less powered study<sup>[24]</sup>, however, an increased prevalence was also indicated in this age group by exhibiting the smallest  $P$  value that, however, had not even approached a statistical trend ( $P = 0.19$ ; Exp[Beta]=1.82 (0.74-4.48))<sup>[24]</sup>.

Interestingly, although no significant age model was detected for the newly separately considered speech-disorganization APS, the result also pointed towards a slightly higher prevalence in the 30- to 40-year-olds [ $P = 0.114$ ; Exp[Beta]=5.10 (0.67-38.54)], despite the low number of altogether only 20 participants scoring positively on it. This age effect was again apparent in the mental disorder model including speech-disorganization APS; in which, in addition to female sex being related to mental disorders, older age was associated with the presence of speech-disorganization APS, in particular in those with mental disorder. Thus the interaction of age and speech-disorganization APS contributed to the prediction of mental disorders, though less than sex alone. Accordingly, contrary to all other associations between CHR symptoms and criteria, males were more likely to display speech-disorganization APS. These findings are somewhat in line with brain studies on cognitive and language functions, and their brain structure and function that reported a larger effect of sex compared to age<sup>[64,67-69]</sup> with little interaction between the two. A link of disturbed white matter in the cerebral language pathways with disorganized

**Table 6** Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 9 cognitive basic symptoms

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			56.279	6	0			
8-12 yr	1.168	0.365	10.233	1	0.001	3.215	1.572	6.574
13-15 yr	1.882	0.371	25.809	1	0.000	6.569	3.178	13.581
16-17 yr	0.838	0.342	6.011	1	0.014	2.311	1.183	4.513
18-19 yr	1.111	0.334	11.062	1	0.001	3.036	1.578	5.842
25-29 yr	-0.079	0.372	0.045	1	0.833	0.924	0.446	1.918
30-40 yr	0.075	0.260	0.083	1	0.773	1.078	0.648	1.794
Sex								
Male	-0.484	0.162	8.881	1	0.003	0.616	0.448	0.847

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 48.55$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.047$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 9.02$ ;  $P = 0.003$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.009$ .

speech and formal thought disorder, respectively, in schizophrenia was suggested by findings of structural abnormalities predominantly in the left superior temporal gyrus, angular gyrus, inferior operculum and orbitofrontal cortex, and of functional abnormalities in the left superior and middle temporal gyrus<sup>[70-75]</sup>. These studies on clinical samples used clinical ratings or speech-samples to evaluate degree of disorganized speech, while the studies of age and sex effects on brain structures and functions in non-clinical samples commonly used objective neurocognitive measures<sup>[64,69]</sup>. These neurocognitive assessments commonly correlate to a small-to-moderate degree with speech disorganization<sup>[76]</sup>; thus, the age and sex effects reported in non-clinical samples might apply as well – an assumption further supported by our findings.

Other than observable speech disorganization, cognitive BS did not correlate to neurocognitive measures<sup>[77]</sup>. And contrary to speech-disorganization APS but similar to all other CHR symptoms and criteria, cognitive BS were related to female sex and most prevalent in younger age groups, in which age and sex acted independent of each other, with sex having a more pronounced impact on the report of cognitive BS. Furthermore, report of cognitive BS was increasingly related to functional impairment and presentation of a mental disorder with advancing age, in doing so exhibiting a divergent model for mental disorders compared to speech-disorganization APS, although female sex was related to mental disorders in both models. By definition, cognitive BS are spontaneously and immediately recognized as disturbances of one's own cognitive processes that differ from what is considered to be the "normal" mental self, and are not necessarily observable by others in terms of odd thinking, disturbed speech, or formal thought disorder<sup>[15,16,57,58]</sup>. For these phenomenological differences, cognitive BS formed a single factor in a recent dimensional analysis, while speech-disorganization APS joined other disorganization symptoms in a different factor; in doing so, the cognitive BS factor was positively linked to the disorganized factor and possibly acted as a facilitator<sup>[78]</sup>. With regards to the neurobiological underpinnings of cognitive BS, targeted studies are still missing<sup>[58]</sup> but, for the described differences to speech-disorganization APS, can be assumed to partly differ from those on formal thought disorders, especially with regard to the language-unrelated cognitive BS.

### Sex and prevalence of APS/BIPS

With regard to sex, based on prevalence data on psychoses, we had expected more males to report CHR symptoms, in particular APS/BIPS, yet, this only showed at statistical trend level for speech-disorganization APS that were almost three times more frequent in men compared to females. In line with the literature on CHR samples and samples referred to specialized early detection services<sup>[20,31,34,36,38]</sup>, more severe APS/BIPS, and more cognitive and perceptual BS were reported in females. This difference between more males within our studied age range presenting with frank psychotic disorder and more females presenting with CHR symptoms, might be related to differences in symptomatic sex effects in referral and converter samples of early detection services, and relatedly, in psychosis-specificity of sex effects<sup>[65]</sup>. Sex effects in referral or baseline APS/BIPS samples, both with an assumable high rate of non-conversion of at least 60%<sup>[13]</sup>, were argued to reflect more general sex effects on psychopathology that included more frequent and/or severe positive psychotic symptoms (especially paranoid) in females<sup>[26,28,29]</sup>. This was also reported for some

**Table 7** Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 2 perceptual basic symptoms

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			35.620	6	0.000			
8-12 yr	0.752	0.382	3.872	1	0.049	2.121	1.003	4.484
13-15 yr	0.468	0.511	0.838	1	0.360	1.596	0.586	4.347
16-17 yr	0.871	0.319	7.483	1	0.006	2.390	1.280	4.462
18-19 yr	0.152	0.404	0.141	1	0.707	1.164	0.528	2.568
25-29 yr	-0.315	0.374	0.710	1	0.400	0.730	0.351	1.519
30-40 yr	-0.595	0.266	5.011	1	0.025	0.552	0.328	0.929
Sex								
Male	-0.447	0.189	5.623	1	0.018	0.640	0.442	0.925

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 32.82$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.039$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 5.70$ ;  $P = 0.017$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.007$ .

CHR samples<sup>[31]</sup>, though rather with respect to hallucinatory than to delusional APS/BIPS<sup>[34,38]</sup>. The working of more general sex effects – that impacts on the prevalence rates of mental disorders and their age of first presentation and is considered important for the understanding of causal mechanisms<sup>[79,80]</sup> – also has to be expected in our community sample and, consequently, might explain the higher prevalence of CHR symptoms in females.

A higher prevalence of APS in females with a very similar Exp[Beta] (or Odds Ratio) as found in our study [0.63 (0.42–0.93) *vs* 0.60 (0.49–0.75)] was recently reported from a Brazilian convenience sample of 6- to 14-year-olds from the community<sup>[39]</sup>. Yet, in this study, the prevalence rate of APS as assessed with Comprehensive Assessment of At-Risk Mental States (CAARMS)<sup>[81]</sup> was only 5.7%, and thus, much lower than our 13.1%-rate. In the Brazilian study, however, clinical relevance defined as “would raise concern about the child’s mental health status in a clinical setting”<sup>[39]</sup> was emphasized, and more than three quarters of children fulfilled this criterion. While the CAARMS differs from the SIPS in the frequency and onset criteria, APS/BIPS cover largely the same phenomena in both assessments that both rate symptom severity at a 7-point scale with APS/BIPS being rated with a score of “ $\geq 3$ ”<sup>[82]</sup>. In the CAARMS, a score of “5” requires “may result in some change in behaviour, but minor” for delusional APS, which is not required in scores of “3” and “4”. A score of “4” only requires “Able to give plausible explanation for experience. May be associated with mild distress.” For hallucinatory APS/BIPS, scoring thresholds are similarly high for impact on behaviour and conviction. Thus milder, easily dismissed, non-distressing experiences at the level of APS that did not impact on behaviour, and, consequently, likely would not raise concerns about the mental state (*i.e.*, lower CAARMS scores and, likewise, lower SIPS scores<sup>[82]</sup>), might not have been rated in the Brazilian study<sup>[39]</sup>. Except for the rarely rated grandiose ideas ( $n = 8$ ), a score of “3” in the SIPS accounted for 60.0% to 72.3% of APS/BIPS-ratings in the remaining four P-items of the SIPS in our sample. Thus, this possible difference in scoring might account for some of the difference in prevalence rates of these two community studies. Furthermore, for developmental reasons, hallucinatory experiences that had the highest prevalence of APS in our sample might not be reliable assessable in 6- and 7-year-old children<sup>[17,83]</sup>, and consequently, not rated in the Brazilian sample, this further decreasing the overall prevalence rate of APS.

### Age, sex and CHR criteria

CHR criteria, at prevalence rates of 2.8% for any CHR and 1.3% for any EPA criterion, were far more infrequent compared to CHR symptoms, indicating that CHR symptoms are frequently not meeting onset and frequency requirements of CHR criteria (Table 1), mostly by infrequent occurrence<sup>[24,26,48,49]</sup>. Similar to the majority of CHR symptoms, CHR and EPA-recommended CHR criteria exhibited effects of both age and sex, with age group effects explaining more variance than sex effects. Different to most CHR symptoms, however, the age thresholds for CHR and EPA criteria around age of 24/25 years were higher and indicated a significantly lower prevalence above this age rather than a higher prevalence below it. In line with these effects of age groups, the combined analyses of age and sex indicated independent effects of these with younger age and, more pronounced, female sex being associated with meeting the criteria. This slightly higher age threshold might indicate that, in case of the above discussed aberrant processes in both neurocognitive and

**Table 8** Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 5 attenuated psychotic symptoms or brief intermittent psychotic symptoms

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			50.070	6	0.000			
8-12 yr	1.204	0.244	24.295	1	0.000	3.333	2.065	5.380
13-15 yr	0.734	0.324	5.144	1	0.023	2.083	1.105	3.928
16-17 yr	0.622	0.229	7.386	1	0.007	1.863	1.189	2.917
18-19 yr	0.369	0.252	2.138	1	0.144	1.446	0.882	2.372
25-29 yr	-0.145	0.231	0.392	1	0.531	0.865	0.550	1.361
30-40 yr	-0.060	0.161	0.139	1	0.709	0.942	0.686	1.292
Sex								
Male	-0.505	0.111	20.613	1	0.000	0.604	0.486	0.751

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 45.17$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.028$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 20.89$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.013$ .

neurobiological maturation, maturation disturbances underlying CHR symptoms have to persist and possibly increase before impacting sufficiently on psychopathology to meet CHR criteria<sup>[25,46,84]</sup>.

### Age, sex and clinical relevance of CHR symptoms and criteria

Our study confirmed the clinical relevance of CHR symptoms and criteria in terms of being selected as a predictor of both functional impairment and mental disorder, which were used as proxy measures of clinical relevance. Furthermore, it confirmed the earlier reported stronger association of CHR symptoms and criteria with functional impairment in comparison to mental disorder<sup>[49]</sup>, in terms of larger explained variance of the functional impairment models compared to the corresponding mental disorder models. This indicates that CHR symptoms and criteria possess clinical relevance in themselves and are not merely a global indicator of mental ill-health<sup>[49,85]</sup>.

A most striking global difference emerged with respect to the interplay of sex, age, and CHR symptoms and criteria in association to these two proxy measures of clinical relevance. Social impairment was commonly predicted by the interaction between age, and CHR symptoms and criteria, with only perceptual BS being additionally moderated by sex. In doing so, in all CHR symptoms but delusional APS/BIPS as well as in CHR/EPA criteria, younger age in the presence of CHR symptoms and criteria was associated with good psychosocial functioning. With regard to the mental disorder models, CHR symptoms and criteria and, to a lesser degree, sex were commonly independent predictors of mental disorders, with only cognitive BS and speech-disorganization APS being additionally moderated by age; in doing so, the interaction was a weaker predictor compared to sex. The role of sex indicated in all instances more females with current non-psychotic mental disorders. This sex effect in point-prevalence of mental disorders is in line with higher 12-month point but not lifetime prevalence rates of mental disorders in females<sup>[86]</sup>, and is very likely independent of the effects of CHR symptoms and criteria. Follow-up of the sample will demonstrate in future to what degree CHR symptoms and, more importantly, CHR and EPA criteria are related to the development of psychotic and psychosis-spectrum disorders.

### Strengths and limitations

Our study has several strengths and limitations. A clear strength of our study is the large sample size – albeit the disproportionate subsample sizes with lesser children and adolescents compared to adults, that must be considered a limitation. Another strength is the assessment of CHR symptoms and criteria in a way as done in clinical samples, *i.e.*, using standard instruments for the assessment of CHR states, carried out by well-trained and supervised clinical psychologists in clinical semi-structured interviews. Although this was done via the telephone in the BEAR study and face-to-face in the BEAR-Kid study, an earlier feasibility study that had compared telephone and face-to-face assessment of CHR symptoms and criteria in a fully crossed design reported good correspondence between these two assessment modes, especially when only focussing on presentation of CHR symptoms and criteria<sup>[50]</sup> as done in the present study. Thus, the reported differences between children and adolescents and adults, *i.e.*, the effects of age, are likely genuine and not only caused by an assessment

**Table 9 Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 3 delusional attenuated psychotic symptoms or brief intermittent psychotic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			7.120	6	0.310			
8-12 yr	0.099	0.470	0.045	1	0.833	1.105	0.439	2.777
13-15 yr	0.229	0.558	0.169	1	0.681	1.258	0.422	3.751
16-17 yr	0.555	0.343	2.610	1	0.106	1.742	0.888	3.414
18-19 yr	0.152	0.404	0.141	1	0.707	1.164	0.528	2.568
25-29 yr	0.008	0.340	0.001	1	0.981	1.008	0.518	1.963
30-40 yr	0.467	0.235	3.961	1	0.047	1.596	1.007	2.529
Sex								
Male	-0.449	0.154	8.548	1	0.003	0.638	0.473	0.862

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 7.48$ ;  $P = 0.279$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.007$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 8.66$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.008$ .

bias.

Albeit the similar recruitment procedure in the BEAR and the BEARS-Kid study that was based on random sampling from the population register, the lower response rate in the BEARS-Kid study might limit its representativeness. The BEAR sample, however, was already reported to be well-representative<sup>[49]</sup>.

Despite the large sample size, however, some CHR symptoms and criteria occurred in too small numbers to meet the minimum of five outcome events per predictor variable in all regression analyses<sup>[87]</sup>. Regression analyses were consequently affected by this, in particular, simple age group effects as well as combined effects of age, sex, and CHR symptoms and criteria on the presentation of psychosocial impairment and of mental disorders in case of speech-disorganization APS, and also regression analyses on simple age effects in case of EPA criteria. Thus, these results might be of limited reliability and should be considered only with caution.

Earlier studies had only distinguished hallucinatory APS/BIPS from delusional and speech-disorganization APS/BIPS<sup>[19,23,24]</sup>. Thus, in light of the unique findings on speech-disorganization APS that fit well to the findings on cognitive BS, the separate consideration of delusional and speech-disorganization APS/BIPS can be regarded as another strength of our study.

### Conclusion

Our results on a community sample indicate that age and sex impact on the prevalence of CHR symptoms and criteria as well as on their association with proxy measures of clinical relevance, *i.e.*, the presentation of functional impairment and of non-psychotic mental disorder. In doing so, age and sex moderate CHR symptoms and criteria in various ways, these differences opening ways to better understand their causal pathways. Thus, further efforts at unravelling causal pathways of psychosis, at biomarker discovery and at early therapeutic intervention should consider effects of both sex and age<sup>[17,24,25,46,84]</sup>.

**Table 10 Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of hallucinatory attenuated psychotic symptoms or brief intermittent psychotic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			80.886	6	0.000			
8-12 yr	1.397	0.263	28.262	1	0.000	4.043	2.415	6.766
13-15 yr	1.006	0.340	8.744	1	0.003	2.733	1.404	5.323
16-17 yr	0.771	0.252	9.343	1	0.002	2.161	1.318	3.542
18-19 yr	0.435	0.284	2.348	1	0.125	1.545	0.886	2.693
25-29 yr	-0.229	0.274	0.700	1	0.403	0.795	0.465	1.360
30-40 yr	-0.329	0.192	2.929	1	0.087	0.720	0.494	1.049
Sex								
Male	-0.539	0.132	16.711	1	0.000	0.584	0.451	0.756

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 72.42$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.054$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 17.02$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.013$ .

**Table 11 Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of speech-disorganization attenuated psychotic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			2.777	6	0.836			
8-12 yr	1.445	1.418	1.038	1	0.308	4.241	0.263	68.311
13-15 yr	-15.004	4838.665	0.000	1	0.998	0.000	0.000	.
16-17 yr	-15.004	2908.261	0.000	1	0.996	0.000	0.000	.
18-19 yr	-15.004	3110.226	0.000	1	0.996	0.000	0.000	.
25-29 yr	1.133	1.227	0.852	1	0.356	3.104	0.280	34.375
30-40 yr	1.629	1.032	2.491	1	0.114	5.098	0.674	38.543
Sex								
Male	0.978	0.518	3.570	1	0.059	2.660	0.964	7.338

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 10.57$ ;  $P = 0.103$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.046$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 4.08$ ;  $P = 0.044$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.018$ .

**Table 12 Combined effects of selected age and sex predictors on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 11 basic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age	-0.062	0.009	42.503	1	0.000	0.940	0.923	0.958
Sex	-1.450	0.416	12.181	1	0.000	0.235	0.104	0.529
Age and sex	0.036	0.015	5.887	1	0.015	1.036	1.007	1.067

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(3) = 62.40$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.047$ .

**Table 13 Combined effects of selected age and sex predictors on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 9 cognitive basic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age	-0.046	0.009	26.592	1	0.000	0.955	0.939	0.972
Sex	-0.496	0.163	9.250	1	0.002	0.609	0.442	0.838

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 35.47$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.034$ .

**Table 14 Combined effects of selected age and sex predictors on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 2 perceptual basic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age	-0.078	0.014	32.110	1	0.000	0.925	0.901	0.950
Sex	-1.971	0.580	11.556	1	0.001	0.139	0.045	0.434
Age and sex	0.060	0.021	7.893	1	0.005	1.062	1.018	1.107

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(3) = 40.47$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.047$ .

**Table 15 Combined effects of selected age and sex predictors on presence of  $\geq 1$  of the 5 attenuated psychotic symptoms or brief intermittent psychotic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age	-0.024	0.006	14.923	1	0.000	0.976	0.964	0.988
Age and sex	-0.019	0.004	21.891	1	0.000	0.982	0.974	0.989

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 48.37$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.030$ .

**Table 16 Combined effects of selected age and sex predictors on presence of hallucinatory attenuated psychotic symptoms or brief intermittent psychotic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age	-0.047	0.007	40.904	1	0.000	0.954	0.940	0.968
Age and sex	-0.022	0.005	18.547	1	0.000	0.978	0.969	0.988

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 77.65$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.058$ .

**Table 17 Combined effects of selected age and sex predictors on presence of speech-disorganization attenuated psychotic symptoms**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and sex	0.047	0.016	8.505	1	0.004	1.048	1.016	1.082

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(1)=9.85$ ;  $P = 0.002$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.043$ .

**Table 18 Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of  $\geq 1$  clinical high risk criterion**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			17.714	6	0.007			
8-12 yr	0.462	0.455	1.034	1	0.309	1.588	0.651	3.870
13-15 yr	0.667	0.520	1.647	1	0.199	1.949	0.703	5.400
16-17 yr	0.210	0.414	0.257	1	0.612	1.234	0.548	2.777
18-19 yr	-0.261	0.511	0.262	1	0.609	0.770	0.283	2.095
25-29 yr	-1.150	0.555	4.291	1	0.038	0.317	0.107	0.940
30-40 yr	-0.618	0.293	4.453	1	0.035	0.539	0.304	0.957
Sex								
Male	-0.750	0.234	10.272	1	0.001	0.472	0.299	0.747

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 17.23$ ;  $P = 0.008$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.026$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 10.79$ ;  $P = 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.016$ .

**Table 19 Simple effects of age group and sex on presence of  $\geq 1$  European Psychiatric Association-recommended clinical high risk criterion**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age category			22.230	6	0.001			
8-12 yr	0.671	0.549	1.491	1	0.222	1.956	0.666	5.743
13-15 yr	0.992	0.599	2.746	1	0.098	2.697	0.834	8.717
16-17 yr	-1.467	1.048	1.960	1	0.162	0.231	0.030	1.799
18-19 yr	-0.221	0.658	0.113	1	0.737	0.802	0.221	2.908
25-29 yr	-1.982	1.047	3.584	1	0.058	0.138	0.018	1.073
30-40 yr	-0.999	0.413	5.852	1	0.016	0.368	0.164	0.827
Sex								
Male	-0.790	0.344	5.279	1	0.022	0.454	0.231	0.890

Reference groups are 20-24-year-olds and females, respectively. Age: GoF:  $\chi^2(6) = 21.65$ ;  $P = 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.057$ . Sex: GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 5.60$ ;  $P = 0.018$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.015$ .

**Table 20 Combined effects of selected age and sex predictors on presence of  $\geq 1$  clinical high risk criterion**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age	-0.043	0.012	12.101	1	0.001	0.958	0.935	0.982
Sex	-0.760	0.235	10.511	1	0.001	0.468	0.295	0.740

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 22.75$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.034$ .

**Table 21 Combined effects of selected age and sex predictors on presence of  $\geq 1$  European Psychiatric Association-recommended clinical high risk criterion**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age	-0.055	0.018	9.480	1	0.002	0.946	0.914	0.980
Sex	-0.799	0.345	5.372	1	0.020	0.450	0.229	0.884

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 15.08$ ;  $P = 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.040$ .

**Table 22 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 11 basic symptoms) predictors on presence of psychosocial impairment (Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale  $\leq 70$ )**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and BS	0.051	0.006	62.374	1	0.000	1.052	1.039	1.066

GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 49.21$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.048$ . BS: Basic symptom

**Table 23 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 9 cognitive basic symptoms) predictors on presence of psychosocial impairment (Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale  $\leq 70$ )**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and BS	0.055	0.007	54.240	1	0.000	1.056	1.041	1.072

GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 41.41$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.041$ . BS: Basic symptom.

**Table 24 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 2 perceptual basic symptoms) predictors on presence of psychosocial impairment (Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale  $\leq 70$ )**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and sex and BS	0.062	0.011	31.588	1	0.000	1.064	1.041	1.087

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 23.36$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.023$ . BS: Basic symptom.

**Table 25 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 5 attenuated psychotic symptoms/brief intermittent psychotic symptoms) predictors on presence of psychosocial impairment (Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale  $\leq 70$ )**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and APS	-0.043	0.012	12.101	1	0.001	0.958	0.935	0.982

GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 113.70$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.110$ . APS: Attenuated psychotic symptoms.

**Table 26 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 3 delusional attenuated psychotic symptoms/brief intermittent psychotic symptoms) predictors on presence of psychosocial impairment (Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale  $\leq 70$ )**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and APS	0.064	0.006	117.318	1	0.000	1.066	1.054	1.079

GoF:  $\chi^2(1)=91.82$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.089$ . APS: Attenuated psychotic symptoms.

**Table 27 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms (hallucinatory attenuated psychotic symptoms/brief intermittent psychotic symptoms) predictors on presence of psychosocial impairment (Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale  $\leq 70$ )**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and APS	0.048	0.007	51.014	1	0.000	1.049	1.035	1.063

GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 40.25$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.039$ . APS: Attenuated psychotic symptoms.

**Table 28 Combined effects of selected age, sex and criteria ( $\geq 1$  clinical high risk criterion) predictors on presence of psychosocial impairment (Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale  $\leq 70$ )**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and CHR criterion	0.091	0.009	100.738	1	0.000	1.095	1.076	1.115

GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 84.42$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.082$ . CHR: Clinical high risk.

**Table 29 Combined effects of selected age, sex and criteria ( $\geq 1$  European Psychiatric Association criterion) predictors on presence of psychosocial impairment (Social and Occupational Functioning Assessment Scale  $\leq 70$ )**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Age and EPA criterion	0.107	0.014	57.021	1	0.000	1.113	1.083	1.145

GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 55.71$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.054$ . EPA: European Psychiatric Association.

**Table 30 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 11 basic symptoms) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.568	0.111	26.224	1	0.000	0.567	0.456	0.704

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(1) = 26.69$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.017$ .

**Table 31 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 9 cognitive basic symptom) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.547	0.112	23.953	1	0.000	0.579	0.465	0.720
Age and BS	0.039	0.006	35.956	1	0.000	1.039	1.026	1.053

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 58.03$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.036$ . BS: Basic symptom.

**Table 32 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 2 perceptual basic symptoms) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.547	0.112	23.963	1	0.000	0.579	0.465	0.721
BS	1.135	0.206	30.355	1	0.000	3.112	2.078	4.660

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 53.03$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.033$ . BS: Basic symptom.

**Table 33 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 5 attenuated psychotic symptoms/brief intermittent psychotic symptoms) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.488	0.113	18.482	1	0.000	0.614	0.492	0.767
APS	1.318	0.129	104.567	1	0.000	3.735	2.902	4.809

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 121.11$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.075$ . APS: Attenuated psychotic symptoms.

**Table 34 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms ( $\geq 1$  of 3 delusional attenuated psychotic symptoms/brief intermittent psychotic symptoms) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.528	0.113	21.963	1	0.000	0.590	0.473	0.735
APS	1.442	0.165	76.391	1	0.000	4.230	3.061	5.845

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 93.44$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.058$ . APS: Attenuated psychotic symptoms.

**Table 35 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms (hallucinatory attenuated psychotic symptoms/brief intermittent psychotic symptoms) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.515	0.112	21.005	1	0.000	0.598	0.480	0.745
APS	1.099	0.150	53.835	1	0.000	3.002	2.238	4.026

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 74.44$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.046$ . APS: Attenuated psychotic symptoms.

**Table 36 Combined effects of selected age, sex and symptoms (speech-disorganization attenuated psychotic symptoms) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.599	0.112	28.561	1	0.000	0.550	0.441	0.685
Age and APS	0.061	0.013	21.201	1	0.000	1.063	1.036	1.091

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 45.67$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.029$ . APS: Attenuated psychotic symptoms.

**Table 37 Combined effects of selected age, sex and criteria ( $\geq 1$  clinical high risk criterion) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.525	0.112	21.784	1	0.000	0.592	0.475	0.738
CHR criterion	1.746	0.231	57.300	1	0.000	5.734	3.648	9.012

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 77.35$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.048$ . CHR: Clinical high risk.

**Table 38 Combined effects of selected age, sex and criteria ( $\geq 1$  European Psychiatric Association criterion) predictors on presence of any non-psychotic axis-I disorder**

	Beta	SE	Wald	df	P value	Exp (Beta)	95%CI; lower	95%CI; upper
Sex	-0.543	0.112	23.516	1	0.000	0.581	0.466	0.724
EPA criterion	2.066	0.334	38.306	1	0.000	7.896	4.104	15.192

Reference group of sex is females. GoF:  $\chi^2(2) = 62.16$ ;  $P < 0.001$ ; Nagelkerke's  $R^2 = 0.039$ . EPA: European Psychiatric Association.

## ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

### Research background

Age and sex are crucial aspects in neurodevelopment and are partly interrelated, presenting as important factors in mental disorders related to neurodevelopment. One example of these disorders are psychotic disorders whose age-at-onset and type (affective *vs* non-affective) are influenced by sex. Furthermore, in community samples, clinical high risk (CHR) symptoms and criteria that are currently used for an early detection of psychosis, *i.e.*, attenuated and transient psychotic as well as cognitive and perceptual basic symptoms, were reported to be more frequent and less clinically relevant in children and adolescents in whom CHR criteria are also related to lower rates of conversion to psychosis.

### Research motivation

From the differences in these age thresholds, *i.e.*, around age 16 for attenuated psychotic symptoms, and around age 18 and 23 for perceptual and cognitive basic symptoms, it was speculated that sex differences in brain and cognitive maturation might lead to lower age thresholds in the clinical significance of BS and possibly APS in females compared to males. Yet, studies on the interaction of age and sex on CHR symptoms and criteria are lacking.

### Research objectives

The main objective was to examine the association of age and sex on the presentation and clinical relevance of clinical high-risk criteria and their constituting symptoms in a large community study of 8- to 40-year-olds.

### Research methods

We investigated the effect of both age and sex on the prevalence of CHR criteria and symptoms and on their association with psychosocial impairment and mental disorder in a community sample of  $n = 2916$  8- to 40-year-olds. The sample was composed of community participants in two studies: The "Bern Epidemiology At-Risk" (BEAR) study and the "Binational Evaluation of At-Risk Symptoms in Children and Adolescents" (BEARS-Kid) study. Both studies used a stratified sampling method to obtain a representative sample of 7370 people aged 16–40 years in the BEAR study (response rate: 63.4%) and of 980 minors aged 8–17 years in the BEARS-Kid study (response rate: 32.6%) from citizens of the Swiss Canton of Bern.

### Research results

Five hundred forty-two (18.6%) participants reported any CHR symptom; of these, 261 (9.0%) participants reported any one of the 11 criteria relevant cognitive and perceptual basic symptoms, and 381 (13.1%) any one of the five attenuated or transient psychotic symptoms. Fewer participants met any one of the four symptomatic CHR criteria ( $n = 82$ , 2.8%). Both age and sex were significantly associated with CHR symptoms and criteria, mostly by younger age and female sex. Though slightly differing between symptom groups, age thresholds were detected around the turn from adolescence to adulthood, *i.e.*, around age 18; they were highest for cognitive basic symptoms and CHR criteria, *i.e.*, around age 23. With the exception of the infrequent attenuated psychotic symptom "speech disorganization", the interaction of age with CHR symptoms and criteria predicted functional impairment; whereas, independent of each other, sex and CHR symptoms mostly predicted mental disorders. Only once, in case of functional impairment, an interaction of both age and sex with CHR symptoms – perceptual basic symptoms – became significant.

### Research conclusions

Next to confirming the important role of age and sex in the prevalence and clinical relevance of CHR symptoms and criteria, their differential relations to CHR symptoms reveal important insight in possible causal pathways.

### Research perspectives

In psychosis research, future efforts at unravelling causal pathways of psychosis, at biomarker discovery and at early therapeutic intervention should consider effects of both sex and age.

---

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors thank our Australian colleague, Mrs. Madelyn Thomson, for her careful language assistance.

---

## REFERENCES

- Insel TR. Rethinking schizophrenia. *Nature* 2010; **468**: 187-193 [PMID: 21068826 DOI: 10.1038/nature09552]
- Stentebjerg-Olesen M, Pagsberg AK, Fink-Jensen A, Correll CU, Jeppesen P. Clinical Characteristics and Predictors of Outcome of Schizophrenia-Spectrum Psychosis in Children and Adolescents: A Systematic Review. *J Child Adolesc Psychopharmacol* 2016; **26**: 410-427 [PMID: 27136403 DOI: 10.1089/cap.2015.0097]
- Gore FM, Bloem PJ, Patton GC, Ferguson J, Joseph V, Coffey C, Sawyer SM, Mathers CD. Global burden of disease in young people aged 10-24 years: a systematic analysis. *Lancet* 2011; **377**: 2093-2102 [PMID: 21652063 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(11)60512-6]
- Jongsma HE, Gayer-Anderson C, Lasalvia A, Quattrone D, Mulè A, Szöke A, Seltén JP, Turner C, Arango C, Tarricone I, Berardi D, Tortelli A, Llorca PM, de Haan L, Bobes J, Bernardo M, Sanjuán J, Santos JL, Arrojo M, Del-Ben CM, Menezes PR, Velthorst E, Murray RM, Ruttén BP, Jones PB, van Os J, Morgan C, Kirkbride JB; European Network of National Schizophrenia Networks Studying Gene-Environment Interactions Work Package 2 (EU-GEI WP2) Group. Treated Incidence of Psychotic Disorders in the Multinational EU-GEI Study. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2018; **75**: 36-46 [PMID: 29214289 DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2017.3554]
- Kirkbride JB, Errazuriz A, Croudace TJ, Morgan C, Jackson D, Boydell J, Murray RM, Jones PB. Incidence of schizophrenia and other psychoses in England, 1950-2009: a systematic review and meta-analyses. *PLoS One* 2012; **7**: e31660 [PMID: 22457710 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0031660]
- Jongsma HE, Turner C, Kirkbride JB, Jones PB. International incidence of psychotic disorders, 2002-17: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Public Health* 2019; **4**: e229-e244 [PMID: 31054641 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-2667(19)30056-8]
- Pedersen CB, Mors O, Bertelsen A, Waltoft BL, Agerbo E, McGrath JJ, Mortensen PB, Eaton WW. A comprehensive nationwide study of the incidence rate and lifetime risk for treated mental disorders. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2014; **71**: 573-581 [PMID: 24806211 DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2014.16]
- Penttilä M, Jääskeläinen E, Hirvonen N, Isohanni M, Miettunen J. Duration of untreated psychosis as predictor of long-term outcome in schizophrenia: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Br J Psychiatry* 2014; **205**: 88-94 [PMID: 25252316 DOI: 10.1192/bjp.bp.113.127753]
- Schimmelmann BG, Conus P, Cotton S, McGorry PD, Lambert M. Pre-treatment, baseline, and outcome differences between early-onset and adult-onset psychosis in an epidemiological cohort of 636 first-episode patients. *Schizophr Res* 2007; **95**: 1-8 [PMID: 17628441 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2007.06.004]
- Schultze-Lutter F, Rahman J, Ruhrmann S, Michel C, Schimmelmann BG, Maier W, Klosterkötter J. Duration of unspecified prodromal and clinical high risk states, and early help-seeking in first-admission psychosis patients. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2015; **50**: 1831-1841 [PMID: 26155901 DOI: 10.1007/s00127-015-1093-3]
- Yung AR, McGorry PD. Is pre-psychotic intervention realistic in schizophrenia and related disorders? *Aust N Z J Psychiatry* 1997; **31**: 799-805 [PMID: 9483251 DOI: 10.3109/00048679709065502]
- Fusar-Poli P, Borgwardt S, Bechdolf A, Addington J, Riecher-Rössler A, Schultze-Lutter F, Keshavan M, Wood S, Ruhrmann S, Seidman LJ, Valmaggia L, Cannon T, Velthorst E, De Haan L, Cornblatt B, Bonoldi I, Birchwood M, McGlashan T, Carpenter W, McGorry P, Klosterkötter J, McGuire P, Yung A. The psychosis high-risk state: a comprehensive state-of-the-art review. *JAMA Psychiatry* 2013; **70**: 107-120 [PMID: 23165428 DOI: 10.1001/jamapsychiatry.2013.269]
- Schultze-Lutter F, Michel C, Schmidt SJ, Schimmelmann BG, Maric NP, Salokangas RK, Riecher-Rössler A, van der Gaag M, Nordentoft M, Raballo A, Meneghelli A, Marshall M, Morrison A, Ruhrmann S, Klosterkötter J. EPA guidance on the early detection of clinical high risk states of psychoses. *Eur Psychiatry* 2015; **30**: 405-416 [PMID: 25735810 DOI: 10.1016/j.eurpsy.2015.01.010]
- McGlashan TH, Walsh BC, Woods SW. The psychosis-risk syndrome. Handbook for diagnosis and follow-up. New York: Oxford University, 2010
- Schultze-Lutter F, Addington J, Ruhrmann S, Klosterkötter J. Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, Adult version (SPI-A). Rome, Italy: Giovanni Fioriti Editore s.r.l., 2012
- Schultze-Lutter F, Marshall M, Koch E. Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, Child and Youth version; Extended English Translation (SPI-CY EET). Rome, Italy: Giovanni Fioriti Editore s.r.l., 2012
- Schimmelmann BG, Schultze-Lutter F. Early detection and intervention of psychosis in children and adolescents: urgent need for studies. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2012; **21**: 239-241 [PMID: 22526975 DOI: 10.1007/s00787-012-0271-z]
- Cornblatt BA, Carrión RE, Auther A, McLaughlin D, Olsen RH, John M, Correll CU. Psychosis Prevention: A Modified Clinical High Risk Perspective From the Recognition and Prevention (RAP) Program. *Am J Psychiatry* 2015; **172**: 986-994 [PMID: 26046336 DOI: 10.1176/appi.ajp.2015.13121686]
- Schultze-Lutter F, Hubl D, Schimmelmann BG, Michel C. Age effect on prevalence of ultra-high risk for

- psychosis symptoms: replication in a clinical sample of an early detection of psychosis service. *Eur Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2017; **26**: 1401-1405 [PMID: 28456857 DOI: 10.1007/s00787-017-0994-y]
- 20 **Theodoridou A**, Hengartner MP, Heekeren K, Dvorsky D, Schultze-Lutter F, Gerstenberg M, Walitza S, Rössler W. Influence of demographic characteristics on attenuated positive psychotic symptoms in a young, help-seeking, at-risk population. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2019; **13**: 53-56 [PMID: 28417595 DOI: 10.1111/eip.12444]
- 21 **Gerstenberg M**, Theodoridou A, Traber-Walker N, Francini M, Wotruba D, Metzler S, Müller M, Dvorsky D, Correll CU, Walitza S, Rössler W, Heekeren K. Adolescents and adults at clinical high-risk for psychosis: age-related differences in attenuated positive symptoms syndrome prevalence and entanglement with basic symptoms. *Psychol Med* 2016; **46**: 1069-1078 [PMID: 26671170 DOI: 10.1017/S0033291715002627]
- 22 **Ribolsi M**, Lin A, Wardenaar KJ, Pontillo M, Mazzone L, Vicari S, Armando M. Clinical presentation of Attenuated Psychosis Syndrome in children and adolescents: Is there an age effect? *Psychiatry Res* 2017; **252**: 169-174 [PMID: 28282534 DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2017.02.050]
- 23 **Armando M**, Schneider M, Pontillo M, Vicari S, Debbané M, Schultze-Lutter F, Eliez S. No age effect in the prevalence and clinical significance of ultra-high risk symptoms and criteria for psychosis in 22q11 deletion syndrome: Confirmation of the genetically driven risk for psychosis? *PLoS One* 2017; **12**: e0174797 [PMID: 28406913 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0174797]
- 24 **Schimmelmann BG**, Michel C, Martz-Irgartinger A, Linder C, Schultze-Lutter F. Age matters in the prevalence and clinical significance of ultra-high-risk for psychosis symptoms and criteria in the general population: Findings from the BEAR and BEARS-kid studies. *World Psychiatry* 2015; **14**: 189-197 [PMID: 26043337 DOI: 10.1002/wps.20216]
- 25 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Ruhrmann S, Michel C, Kindler J, Schimmelmann BG, Schmidt SJ. Age effects on basic symptoms in the community: A route to gain new insight into the neurodevelopment of psychosis? *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2020; **270**: 311-324 [PMID: 30361925 DOI: 10.1007/s00406-018-0949-4]
- 26 **Bora E**, Baysan Arabaci L. Effect of age and gender on schizotypal personality traits in the normal population. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2009; **63**: 663-669 [PMID: 19674380 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1819.2009.02011.x]
- 27 **Maric N**, Krabbendam L, Vollebbergh W, de Graaf R, van Os J. Sex differences in symptoms of psychosis in a non-selected, general population sample. *Schizophr Res* 2003; **63**: 89-95 [PMID: 12892862 DOI: 10.1016/s0920-9964(02)00380-8]
- 28 **Riecher-Rössler A**, Butler S, Kulkarni J. Sex and gender differences in schizophrenic psychoses-a critical review. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2018; **21**: 627-648 [PMID: 29766281 DOI: 10.1007/s00737-018-0847-9]
- 29 **Thorup A**, Petersen L, Jeppesen P, Ohlenschlaeger J, Christensen T, Krarup G, Jorgensen P, Nordentoft M. Gender differences in young adults with first-episode schizophrenia spectrum disorders at baseline in the Danish OPUS study. *J Nerv Ment Dis* 2007; **195**: 396-405 [PMID: 17502805 DOI: 10.1097/01.nmd.0000253784.59708.dd]
- 30 **Barajas A**, Ochoa S, Obiols JE, Lalucat-Jo L. Gender differences in individuals at high-risk of psychosis: a comprehensive literature review. *Scientific World Journal* 2015; **2015**: 430735 [PMID: 25685840 DOI: 10.1155/2015/430735]
- 31 **Addington J**, Schultze-Lutter F, Romans S, Seeman MV. Prodromal Phase of Psychosis in Adolescent Women. In: Romans S, Seeman MV. *Women's Mental Health: A Life Cycle Approach*. Romans S, Seeman MV. Philadelphia: Lippincott Williams Wilkins, 2006; 123-132
- 32 **Corcoran CM**, Kimhy D, Parrilla-Escobar MA, Cressman VL, Stanford AD, Thompson J, David SB, Crumley A, Schobel S, Moore H, Malaspina D. The relationship of social function to depressive and negative symptoms in individuals at clinical high risk for psychosis. *Psychol Med* 2011; **41**: 251-261 [PMID: 20444306 DOI: 10.1017/S0033291710000802]
- 33 **González-Rodríguez A**, Studerus E, Spitz A, Bugra H, Aston J, Borgwardt S, Rapp C, Riecher-Rössler A. Gender differences in the psychopathology of emerging psychosis. *Isr J Psychiatry Relat Sci* 2014; **51**: 85-92 [PMID: 25372557]
- 34 **Heitz U**, Studerus E, Menghini-Müller S, Pappmeyer M, Egloff L, Ittig S, Navarra A, Andreou C, Riecher-Rössler A. Gender differences in first self-perceived signs and symptoms in patients with an at-risk mental state and first-episode psychosis. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2019; **13**: 582-588 [PMID: 29235240 DOI: 10.1111/eip.12528]
- 35 **Rietschel L**, Lambert M, Karow A, Zink M, Müller H, Heinz A, de Millas W, Janssen B, Gaebel W, Schneider F, Naber D, Juckel G, Krüger-Özgürdal S, Wobrock T, Wagner M, Maier W, Klosterkötter J, Bechdolf A; PREVENT study group. Clinical high risk for psychosis: gender differences in symptoms and social functioning. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2017; **11**: 306-313 [PMID: 25808791 DOI: 10.1111/eip.12240]
- 36 **Rosen M**, Haidl TK, Ruhrmann S, Vogetley K, Schultze-Lutter F. Sex differences in symptomatology of psychosis-risk patients and in prediction of psychosis. *Arch Womens Ment Health* 2019 [PMID: 31485796 DOI: 10.1007/s00737-019-01000-3]
- 37 **Willhite RK**, Niendam TA, Bearden CE, Zinberg J, O'Brien MP, Cannon TD. Gender differences in symptoms, functioning and social support in patients at ultra-high risk for developing a psychotic disorder. *Schizophr Res* 2008; **104**: 237-245 [PMID: 18573639 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2008.05.019]
- 38 **Waford RN**, MacDonald A, Goines K, Novacek DM, Trotman HD, Elaine F W, Addington J, Bearden CE, Cadenhead KS, Cannon TD, Cornblatt BA, Heinssen R, Mathalon DH, Tsuang MT, Perkins DO, Seidman LJ, Woods SW, McGlashan TH. Demographic correlates of attenuated positive psychotic symptoms. *Schizophr Res* 2015; **166**: 31-36 [PMID: 25999040 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2015.04.035]
- 39 **Moriyama TS**, van Os J, Gadelha A, Pan PM, Salum GA, Manfro GG, Mari JJ, Miguel EC, Rohde LA, Polanczyk GV, McGuire P, Bressan RA, Drukker M. Differences Between Self-Reported Psychotic Experiences, Clinically Relevant Psychotic Experiences, and Attenuated Psychotic Symptoms in the General Population. *Front Psychiatry* 2019; **10**: 782 [PMID: 31736802 DOI: 10.3389/fpsy.2019.00782]
- 40 **Cocchi A**, Lora A, Meneghelli A, La Greca E, Pisano A, Cascio MT, Preti A; Programma2000 Team; Desio Early Intervention Service Team. Sex differences in first-episode psychosis and in people at ultra-high risk. *Psychiatry Res* 2014; **215**: 314-322 [PMID: 24355686 DOI: 10.1016/j.psychres.2013.11.023]
- 41 **Kotlicka-Antczak M**, Pawelczyk T, Podgórski M, Żurner N, Karbownik MS, Pawelczyk A. Polish individuals with an at-risk mental state: demographic and clinical characteristics. *Early Interv Psychiatry* 2018; **12**: 391-399 [PMID: 27111136 DOI: 10.1111/eip.12333]
- 42 **Lemos-Giráldez S**, Vallina-Fernández O, Fernández-Iglesias P, Vallejo-Secco G, Fonseca-Pedrero E,

- Paino-Piñeiro M, Sierra-Baigrie S, Garcia-Pelayo P, Pedrejón-Molino C, Alonso-Bada S, Gutiérrez-Pérez A, Ortega-Ferrández JA. Symptomatic and functional outcome in youth at ultra-high risk for psychosis: a longitudinal study. *Schizophr Res* 2009; **115**: 121-129 [PMID: 19786339 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2009.09.011]
- 43 **Walder DJ**, Holtzman CW, Addington J, Cadenhead K, Tsuang M, Cornblatt B, Cannon TD, McGlashan TH, Woods SW, Perkins DO, Seidman LJ, Heinsen R, Walker EF. Sexual dimorphisms and prediction of conversion in the NAPLS psychosis prodrome. *Schizophr Res* 2013; **144**: 43-50 [PMID: 23340377 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2012.11.039]
- 44 **Sun Y**, Lee R, Chen Y, Collinson S, Thakor N, Bezerianos A, Sim K. Progressive gender differences of structural brain networks in healthy adults: a longitudinal, diffusion tensor imaging study. *PLoS One* 2015; **10**: e0118857 [PMID: 25742013 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0118857]
- 45 **Gur RC**, Gur RE. Complementarity of sex differences in brain and behavior: From laterality to multimodal neuroimaging. *J Neurosci Res* 2017; **95**: 189-199 [PMID: 27870413 DOI: 10.1002/jnr.23830]
- 46 **Jacobs GR**, Ameis SH, Ji JL, Viviano JD, Dickie EW, Wheeler AL, Stojanovski S, Anticevic A, Voineskos AN. Developmentally divergent sexual dimorphism in the cortico-striatal-thalamic-cortical psychosis risk pathway. *Neuropsychopharmacology* 2019; **44**: 1649-1658 [PMID: 31060043 DOI: 10.1038/s41386-019-0408-6]
- 47 **Ardila A**, Rosselli M, Matute E, Inozemtseva O. Gender differences in cognitive development. *Dev Psychol* 2011; **47**: 984-990 [PMID: 21744957 DOI: 10.1037/a0023819]
- 48 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Michel C, Ruhrmann S, Schimmelmann BG. Prevalence and clinical significance of DSM-5-attenuated psychosis syndrome in adolescents and young adults in the general population: the Bern Epidemiological At-Risk (BEAR) study. *Schizophr Bull* 2014; **40**: 1499-1508 [PMID: 24353096 DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbt171]
- 49 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Michel C, Ruhrmann S, Schimmelmann BG. Prevalence and clinical relevance of interview-assessed psychosis-risk symptoms in the young adult community. *Psychol Med* 2018; **48**: 1167-1178 [PMID: 28889802 DOI: 10.1017/S0033291717002586]
- 50 **Michel C**, Schimmelmann BG, Kupferschmid S, Siegwart M, Schultze-Lutter F. Reliability of telephone assessments of at-risk criteria of psychosis: a comparison to face-to-face interviews. *Schizophr Res* 2014; **153**: 251-253 [PMID: 24529611 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2014.01.025]
- 51 **American Association for Public Opinion Research**. Standard Definitions: Final Dispositions of Case Codes and Outcome Rates for Surveys. 9th ed. Washington: American Association for Public Opinion Research, 2016
- 52 **Fux L**, Walger P, Schimmelmann BG, Schultze-Lutter F. The Schizophrenia Proneness Instrument, Child and Youth version (SPI-CY): practicability and discriminative validity. *Schizophr Res* 2013; **146**: 69-78 [PMID: 23473813 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2013.02.014]
- 53 **Tyrer P**, Coombs N, Ibrahim F, Mathilakath A, Bajaj P, Ranger M, Rao B, Din R. Critical developments in the assessment of personality disorder. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 2007; **49**: s51-s59 [PMID: 17470943 DOI: 10.1192/bjp.190.5.s51]
- 54 **American Psychiatric Association**. Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders. 4th ed. Washington: American Psychiatric Association, 1994
- 55 **Sheehan DV**, Lecrubier Y, Sheehan KH, Amorim P, Janavs J, Weiller E, Hergueta T, Baker R, Dunbar GC. The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatry* 1998; **59** Suppl 20: 22-33;quiz 34-57 [PMID: 9881538]
- 56 **Sheehan DV**, Sheehan KH, Shytle RD, Janavs J, Bannon Y, Rogers JE, Milo KM, Stock SL, Wilkinson B. Reliability and validity of the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview for Children and Adolescents (MINI-KID). *J Clin Psychiatry* 2010; **71**: 313-326 [PMID: 20331933 DOI: 10.4088/JCP.09m05305whi]
- 57 **Schultze-Lutter F**. Subjective symptoms of schizophrenia in research and the clinic: the basic symptom concept. *Schizophr Bull* 2009; **35**: 5-8 [PMID: 19074497 DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbn139]
- 58 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Debbané M, Theodoridou A, Wood SJ, Raballo A, Michel C, Schmidt SJ, Kindler J, Ruhrmann S, Uhlhaas PJ. Revisiting the Basic Symptom Concept: Toward Translating Risk Symptoms for Psychosis into Neurobiological Targets. *Front Psychiatry* 2016; **7**: 9 [PMID: 26858660 DOI: 10.3389/fpsy.2016.00009]
- 59 **Bentall RP**, Fernyhough C, Morrison AP, Lewis S, Corcoran R. Prospects for a cognitive-developmental account of psychotic experiences. *Br J Clin Psychol* 2007; **46**: 155-173 [PMID: 17524210 DOI: 10.1348/014466506X123011]
- 60 **Varese F**, Bentall RP. The metacognitive beliefs account of hallucinatory experiences: a literature review and meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev* 2011; **31**: 850-864 [PMID: 21549663 DOI: 10.1016/j.cpr.2010.12.001]
- 61 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Ruhrmann S, Hoyer C, Klosterkötter J, Leweke FM. The initial prodrome of schizophrenia: different duration, different underlying deficits? *Compr Psychiatry* 2007; **48**: 479-488 [PMID: 17707258 DOI: 10.1016/j.comppsy.2007.04.001]
- 62 **Alderson-Day B**, Diederer K, Fernyhough C, Ford JM, Horga G, Margulies DS, McCarthy-Jones S, Northoff G, Shine JM, Turner J, van de Ven V, van Lutterveld R, Waters F, Jardri R. Auditory Hallucinations and the Brain's Resting-State Networks: Findings and Methodological Observations. *Schizophr Bull* 2016; **42**: 1110-1123 [PMID: 27280452 DOI: 10.1093/schbul/sbw078]
- 63 **Ćurčić-Blake B**, Ford JM, Hubl D, Orlov ND, Sommer IE, Waters F, Allen P, Jardri R, Woodruff PW, David O, Mulert C, Woodward TS, Aleman A. Interaction of language, auditory and memory brain networks in auditory verbal hallucinations. *Prog Neurobiol* 2017; **148**: 1-20 [PMID: 27890810 DOI: 10.1016/j.pneurobio.2016.11.002]
- 64 **Gur RE**, Gur RC. Sex differences in brain and behavior in adolescence: Findings from the Philadelphia Neurodevelopmental Cohort. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 2016; **70**: 159-170 [PMID: 27498084 DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.07.035]
- 65 **Kosmidou VE**, Adam A, Papadaniil CD, Tsolaki M, Hadjileontiadis LJ, Kompatsiaris I. Gender effect in human brain responses to bottom-up and top-down attention using the EEG 3D-Vector Field Tomography. *Conf Proc IEEE Eng Med Biol Soc* 2015; **2015**: 7574-7577 [PMID: 26738045 DOI: 10.1109/EMBC.2015.7320145]
- 66 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Schmidt SJ, Theodoridou A. Psychopathology—a Precision Tool in Need of Re-sharpening. *Front Psychiatry* 2018; **9**: 446 [PMID: 30283368 DOI: 10.3389/fpsy.2018.00446]
- 67 **Ingalhalikar M**, Smith A, Parker D, Satterthwaite TD, Elliott MA, Ruparel K, Hakonarson H, Gur RE, Gur RC, Verma R. Sex differences in the structural connectome of the human brain. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*

- USA 2014; **111**: 823-828 [PMID: 24297904 DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1316909110]
- 68 **Hsu JL**, Leemans A, Bai CH, Lee CH, Tsai YF, Chiu HC, Chen WH. Gender differences and age-related white matter changes of the human brain: a diffusion tensor imaging study. *Neuroimage* 2008; **39**: 566-577 [PMID: 17951075 DOI: 10.1016/j.neuroimage.2007.09.017]
- 69 **Houston J**, Allendorfer J, Nenert R, Goodman AM, Szaflarski JP. White Matter Language Pathways and Language Performance in Healthy Adults Across Ages. *Front Neurosci* 2019; **13**: 1185 [PMID: 31736704 DOI: 10.3389/fnins.2019.01185]
- 70 **Viher PV**, Stegmayer K, Giezendanner S, Federspiel A, Bohlhalter S, Wiest R, Strik W, Walther S. White matter correlates of the disorganized speech dimension in schizophrenia. *Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2018; **268**: 99-104 [PMID: 28032254 DOI: 10.1007/s00406-016-0753-y]
- 71 **Palaniyappan L**, Mahmood J, Balain V, Mougín O, Gowland PA, Liddle PF. Structural correlates of formal thought disorder in schizophrenia: An ultra-high field multivariate morphometry study. *Schizophr Res* 2015; **168**: 305-312 [PMID: 26232240 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2015.07.022]
- 72 **Sans-Sansa B**, McKenna PJ, Canales-Rodríguez EJ, Ortiz-Gil J, López-Araquistain L, Sarró S, Dueñas RM, Blanch J, Salvador R, Pomarol-Clotet E. Association of formal thought disorder in schizophrenia with structural brain abnormalities in language-related cortical regions. *Schizophr Res* 2013; **146**: 308-313 [PMID: 23522907 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2013.02.032]
- 73 **Horn H**, Jann K, Federspiel A, Walther S, Wiest R, Müller T, Strik W. Semantic network disconnection in formal thought disorder. *Neuropsychobiology* 2012; **66**: 14-23 [PMID: 22797273 DOI: 10.1159/000337133]
- 74 **Kircher T**, Bröhl H, Meier F, Engelen J. Formal thought disorders: from phenomenology to neurobiology. *Lancet Psychiatry* 2018; **5**: 515-526 [PMID: 29678679 DOI: 10.1016/S2215-0366(18)30059-2]
- 75 **van den Heuvel MP**, Fornito A. Brain networks in schizophrenia. *Neuropsychol Rev* 2014; **24**: 32-48 [PMID: 24500505 DOI: 10.1007/s11065-014-9248-7]
- 76 **Bora E**, Yalincetin B, Akdede BB, Alptekin K. Neurocognitive and linguistic correlates of positive and negative formal thought disorder: A meta-analysis. *Schizophr Res* 2019; **209**: 2-11 [PMID: 31153670 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2019.05.025]
- 77 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Ruhrmann S, Pickler H, von Reventlow HG, Daumann B, Brockhaus-Dumke A, Klosterkötter J, Pukrop R. Relationship between subjective and objective cognitive function in the early and late prodrome. *Br J Psychiatry Suppl* 2007; **51**: s43-s51 [PMID: 18055937 DOI: 10.1192/bjp.191.51.s43]
- 78 **Flückiger R**, Michel C, Grant P, Ruhrmann S, Vogele K, Hubl D, Schimmelmann BG, Klosterkötter J, Schmidt SJ, Schultze-Lutter F. The interrelationship between schizotypy, clinical high risk for psychosis and related symptoms: Cognitive disturbances matter. *Schizophr Res* 2019; **210**: 188-196 [PMID: 30683524 DOI: 10.1016/j.schres.2018.12.039]
- 79 **van der Ven E**, Veling W, Tortelli A, Tarricone I, Berardi D, Bourque F, Selten JP. Evidence of an excessive gender gap in the risk of psychotic disorder among North African immigrants in Europe: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2016; **51**: 1603-1613 [PMID: 27372300 DOI: 10.1007/s00127-016-1261-0]
- 80 **Hill TD**, Needham BL. Rethinking gender and mental health: a critical analysis of three propositions. *Soc Sci Med* 2013; **92**: 83-91 [PMID: 23849282 DOI: 10.1016/j.socscimed.2013.05.025]
- 81 **Yung AR**, Phillips LJ, Simmons MB, Ward J, Thompson P, French P, McGorry P. CAARMS. Comprehensive assessment at risk mental states. Parkville Victoria: The PACE Clinic, ORYGEN Research Centre, University of Melbourne, Department of Psychiatry, 2006
- 82 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Schimmelmann BG, Ruhrmann S, Michel C. 'A rose is a rose is a rose', but at-risk criteria differ. *Psychopathology* 2013; **46**: 75-87 [PMID: 22906805 DOI: 10.1159/000339208]
- 83 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Schmidt SJ. Not Just Small Adults - The Need for Developmental Considerations in Psychopathology. *Austin Child Adolesc Psychiatry* 2016; **1**: 1001
- 84 **Ladouceur CD**, Peper JS, Crone EA, Dahl RE. White matter development in adolescence: the influence of puberty and implications for affective disorders. *Dev Cogn Neurosci* 2012; **2**: 36-54 [PMID: 22247751 DOI: 10.1016/j.dcn.2011.06.002]
- 85 **Schultze-Lutter F**, Klosterkötter J, Gaebel W, Schmidt SJ. Psychosis-risk criteria in the general population: frequent misinterpretations and current evidence. *World Psychiatry* 2018; **17**: 107-108 [PMID: 29352561 DOI: 10.1002/wps.20498]
- 86 **Steel Z**, Marnane C, Iranpour C, Chey T, Jackson JW, Patel V, Silove D. The global prevalence of common mental disorders: a systematic review and meta-analysis 1980-2013. *Int J Epidemiol* 2014; **43**: 476-493 [PMID: 24648481 DOI: 10.1093/ije/dyu038]
- 87 **Vittinghoff E**, McCulloch CE. Relaxing the rule of ten events per variable in logistic and Cox regression. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007; **165**: 710-718 [PMID: 17182981 DOI: 10.1093/aje/kwk052]



Published By Baishideng Publishing Group Inc  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
Telephone: +1-925-3991568  
E-mail: [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
Help Desk: <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

