

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53777

Title: Defecation function and quality of life in patients with slow-transit constipation after colectomy

Reviewer's code: 05058948

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-31 17:57

Reviewer performed review: 2019-12-31 21:18

Review time: 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have published a well-designed study detailing outcomes after surgery for slow transit constipation. The results are convincing, however, the data are largely not new, and several other studies have shown similar results. At least this does line up with other studies published. 1) My main concern is the novelty of the data presented. A recent meta-analysis and practice guideline identified 40 trials or series of outcomes after surgery for constipation (PMID: 28960923). This is cited by the authors in the manuscript. I would like the authors to comment a bit more on how their study is different from previously published series, and what are the novel findings here? Will this change practice or how we communicate with patients? 2) What was done with patients who had anorectal manometry or defecography suggesting pelvic floor dyssynergia? Where these patients excluded from surgery or were they operated on like other patients. It would also be interesting to comment on different among those with pelvic floor dyssynergia vs without (pure slow transit constipation) 3) For radiopaque marker testing why was 3 days chosen instead of 5 days using a 20% threshold cutoff. 4) Please clarify the study design. The authors say this is a retrospective study, but questionnaires were prospectively collected. Was this a retrospective analysis of prospectively collected data? Or was this a prospective study with questionnaires and timepoints planned out prior to the start of the study. 5) A good deal of english language editing also needs to occur.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

[] The same title

[] Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

☐ Plagiarism

☐ No

BPG Search:

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53777

Title: Defecation function and quality of life in patients with slow-transit constipation after colectomy

Reviewer's code: 03034261

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FACS, FICS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Academic Fellow, Academic Research, Doctor, Surgeon, Surgical Oncologist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-12-31 19:36

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-07 21:39

Review time: 7 Days and 2 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
--------------------	------------------	------------	--------------------------



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

That's a very interesting paper with novelty in this field. Please improve your language especially in the abstract. What was your criteria concerning the chosen operation IRA vs CRA, laparoscopic vs lap-assisted etc ? What was the treatment of choice in patients with anastomotic leak? Please provide a more extensive comparison with the existing literature and highlight the novelty of your paper. Thank you

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[Y] No

RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53777

Title: Defecation function and quality of life in patients with slow-transit constipation after colectomy

Reviewer's code: 05058948

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2019-12-31

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Qiao Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-04-11 00:10

Reviewer performed review: 2020-04-11 00:13

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for the revisions.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No