

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53805

Title: Management of peroral endoscopic myotomy for gastrointestinal motility disorder

Reviewer's code: 02954782

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: South Korea

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-08 04:43

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-12 13:32

Review time: 4 Days and 8 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
				<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I'm pleased to participate to review in this manuscript as a peer reviewer. I think that it is a well written review paper entitled " Management of peroral endoscopic myotomy for gastrointestinal motility disorder". Only an interpretation of abbreviation is needed below the table. Thank you.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53805

Title: Management of peroral endoscopic myotomy for gastrointestinal motility disorder

Reviewer's code: 03478442

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FEBG, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-11 20:13

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-14 22:07

Review time: 3 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good				<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input type="checkbox"/> General
				<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a very interesting manuscript regarding POEM, written by experts on the field. I recommend changing the title to "Advances in Peroral Endoscopic Myotomy and variations of the procedure". However, the authors are requested to explain a little better the difference between the different types of the procedure. One or two more pictures or schemes could help. What do they mean by the term open poem? Is this type of procedure performed in cases of sigmoid achalasia or can it be performed in every case? How is it possible to leave open the mucosal defect without having an increased rate of infections? Does the mucosal defect close by itself? A more detailed description of the procedures could answer these questions. In addition a detailed description of any potential complications would be of help (eg cases of severe bleeding, mediastinitis, any deaths). The authors could also mention any efforts (under development) to reduce gastroesophageal reflux by complex procedures of suturing of the gastric fundus.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 53805

Title: Management of peroral endoscopic myotomy for gastrointestinal motility disorder

Reviewer's code: 02941507

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: FACG, FEBG, MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Staff Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Greece

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-07

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-09 10:47

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-15 14:28

Review time: 6 Days and 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
				<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:
				<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Please have your text grammatically corrected.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No