

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Gastroenterology

**Manuscript NO:** 53857

**Title:** Management of Barrett's esophagus with dysplasia refractory to radiofrequency ablation

**Reviewer's code:** 02563617

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Italy

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2019-12-31

**Reviewer chosen by:** Le Zhang

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-02-17 13:34

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-02-19 07:39

**Review time:** 1 Day and 18 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	RE-REVIEW	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> No	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair		<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision		Peer-reviewer's expertise on the topic of the manuscript:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection		<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
				<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
				<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
				Conflicts-of-Interest:

☐ Yes

☐ No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This review is focused on an important and current topic within the set of BE treatment, concerning the therapeutic options for patients refractory to radio frequency ablation. The manuscript is well organized and exhaustive. In particular I have appreciated the last part on the cryotherapy, hybrid APC and endoscopic resection, which are relatively new approaches to this problem. Few studies on these novel techniques are available and the authors synthesize current available notions and point reader's attention on the future perspective with a good iconography and examples of possible uses. I think that this review perfectly respects the aims of the journal. I have only few minor comments: Anti-reflux surgery paragraph, first sentence. The use of the verb remains twice in the same sentence is not effective. Perhaps a different verb can be chosen to remark the concept in the second part of the sentence. Endoscopic resection paragraph, last sentence. There is a typographic error (and was able to eradicate etc...) If authors agree, a scheme of the algorithm proposed in the conclusion section, can be added, summing up the current available options.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

☐ The same title



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ Yes ☐ No

***BPG Search:***

☐ The same title

☐ Duplicate publication

☐ Plagiarism

☐ Yes ☐ No