

Response to Queries 4-7-2020 from the Editor

1) The main title of the article is: **Management of Barrett's esophagus with dysplasia refractory to radiofrequency ablation**

The subtitles within the article are all level 1 subtitles per the guidelines above and are now corrected to be all bold and capital only (underline removed).

The entire document was prepared with Microsoft Word and is in 12pt Book Antigua Font with 1.5 line spacing. The formatting of the article was based on the World Journal documents - Guidelines for Manuscript Preparation and Submission: Minireviews and the Format Manuscript Submission: Minireviews. The figures and tables can be found both at the bottom of the document as well as in a Powerpoint file for editing capability.

2) The decomposable figures are provided in the ppt.

Response to Reviewers

We thank the reviewers and editors for their comments. We think the review is stronger as a result of the comments.

Reviewer #1: This review is focused on an important and current topic within the set of BE treatment, concerning the therapeutic options for patients refractory to radio frequency ablation. The manuscript is well organized and exhaustive. In particular I have appreciated the last part on the cryotherapy, hybrid APC and endoscopic resection, which are relatively new approaches to this problem. Few studies on these novel techniques are available and the authors synthesize current available notions and point reader's attention on the future perspective with a good iconography and examples of possible uses. I think that this review perfectly respects the aims of the journal.

Response: We thank the reviewer for the positive comments.

I have only a few minor comments: Anti-reflux surgery paragraph, first sentence. The use of the verb remains twice in the same sentence and is not effective. Perhaps a different verb can be chosen to remark the concept in the second part of the sentence.

Response: Thank you for your comment. This has been corrected as requested.

Endorotor endoscopic resection paragraph, last sentence. There is a typographic error (and wa sable to eradicate etc...)

Response: Thank you. This was corrected.

If authors agree, a scheme of the algorithm proposed in the conclusion section, can be added, summing up the current available options.

Response: Thank you. This has been done and is now figure 5.