

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 54051

**Title:** Diagnosis and management of hepatic artery in-stent restenosis after liver transplantation by optical coherence tomography: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 02397506

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-16 19:57

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-16 21:40

**Review time:** 1 Hour

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors present the first case of using OCT in the diagnosis of hepatic artery restenosis after liver transplantation. The application is novel and the manuscript is succinctly written. Figure 2 needs some better mark-ups to identify the pathologic features.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 54051

**Title:** Diagnosis and management of hepatic artery in-stent restenosis after liver transplantation by optical coherence tomography: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03011144

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** BM BCh, DNB

**Professional title:** Associate Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-18 09:14

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-21 02:59

**Review time:** 2 Days and 17 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

1. Why was OCT chosen as the investigative modality when Angiography was inconclusive? How is OCT different from other conventional imaging /CT? 2. Pg 4 last paragraph : "...the patient presented with clinical impairment .." There is no description of pt symptomatology in the presentation 3. Pg 5 first paragraph : "OCT showed severe stenosis caused by stent fracture, late stent malapposition, neointimal hyperplasia and probably neoatherosclerosis tissue". How were the findings arrived at and what is the description of the technical terms ? 4. References are incomplete (without page numbers) and not as per standard format 5. Ref no 3 is not cited in order, in the manuscript 6. What are the possible limitations for use of OCT?

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Hepatology

**Manuscript NO:** 54051

**Title:** Diagnosis and management of hepatic artery in-stent restenosis after liver transplantation by optical coherence tomography: A case report

**Reviewer's code:** 03656583

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-15

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-01-21 09:53

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-01-22 02:07

**Review time:** 16 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

It is a good case report. To majority readers, optical coherence tomography (OCT) performed in in-stent restenosis of transplant hepatic artery is not known, it should be introduced more. In your paper, OCT was not used in management but diagnosis only.