

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 54092

**Title:** Bone disease in chronic pancreatitis

**Reviewer's code:** 04092118

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Postdoc, Senior Lecturer

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Romania

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-02-14 08:08

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-02-16 10:04

**Review time:** 2 Days and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have read with great interest the review entitled “Bone disease in chronic pancreatitis”, which is of high relevance and interest for the readers. Chronic pancreatitis is usually managed by gastroenterologists, who frequently fail to think of the bone health of patients, especially when their nutritional imbalances are not very evident. I suggesting changing the term “osteopathy” in the abstract (comprising osteopenia or osteoporosis). The paper is structured as a narrative review; however, with regard to epidemiology, it would be have some details about the studies reporting on prevalence of bone disease in CP – patient population, severity of CP, risk factors accounted for. While the role of vitamin D and calcium deficiency is discussed as a risk factor, the authors should at least touch upon the benefits of supplementation in CP patients with bone disease. There are some other risk factors which should be addressed: hypogonadism, drug use. The authors should point out what does the paper bring to the existing literature (eg update with new papers), as there are already several publications on the topic.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)  
**https://**[www.wjgnet.com](http://www.wjgnet.com)

[ Y ] No

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** World Journal of Clinical Cases

**Manuscript NO:** 54092

**Title:** Bone disease in chronic pancreatitis

**Reviewer's code:** 02441672

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Brazil

**Author's Country/Territory:** United States

**Manuscript submission date:** 2020-01-08

**Reviewer chosen by:** Ruo-Yu Ma

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2020-02-14 17:35

**Reviewer performed review:** 2020-02-18 14:26

**Review time:** 3 Days and 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
**https://**www.wjgnet.com

the present manuscript is a good review on a topic that has been little studied in patients with chronic pancreatitis. The references are appropriate.

#### **INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT**

##### ***Google Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

##### ***BPG Search:***

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No