

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54096

Title: Mesonephric adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix with rare lung metastasis: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03287044

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Italy

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-13

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-13 18:48

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-21 08:48

Review time: 7 Days and 13 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read with great interest the Manuscript titled “Mesonephric adenocarcinoma of the uterine cervix with rare lung metastasis: a case report and literature review” (54096), which falls within the aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases. In my honest opinion, the topic is interesting and the reported case novel enough to attract the readers’ attention. Nevertheless, the authors should clarify some points and improve the discussion citing relevant and novel key articles about the topic. Authors should consider the following recommendations: - Manuscript should be further revised by a native English speaker. - Does this manuscript conform the The CARE Guidelines: Consensus-based Clinical Case Reporting (CARE), available through Enhancing the QUALity and Transparency Of health Research (EQUATOR) network guidelines? It would be mandatory to declare about this element. - To date, several lines of evidence support the possibility to use specific biomarkers to identify early stage cervical cancer and, in this way, offer a better prognosis to the patients. This point deserves to be discussed, referring to: PMID: 28918603; PMID: 29787011; PMID 31651558.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

[] Duplicate publication

[] Plagiarism

[Y] No