

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54148

Title: Predictive factors of central lymph node metastases in papillary thyroid microcarcinoma

Reviewer's code: 00503773

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's country: Turkey

Author's country: China

Manuscript submission date: 2020-01-11

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-01-11 10:31

Reviewer performed review: 2020-01-14 08:22

Review time: 2 Days and 21 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I read the manuscript named “ Predictive factors of central lymph node metastases in papillary thyroid microcarcinoma ”. (Manuscript NO: 54148) and my recommendations are as follows. Title: It accurately reflects the major topic and contents of the study. Abstract: Adequate, summarizing the topic. Topics has been discussed with all aspects. References: References are appropriate and updated. This study is clearly presented . In my opinion this manuscript gives additional new knowledge to the existing literature. I think that this manuscript is suitable and worth to be published in the World Journal of Clinical Cases.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No