

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54197

Title: Rare anaplastic sarcoma of the kidney: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02533177

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Research Scientist, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-05

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-09 07:29

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-09 13:38

Review time: 6 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Anaplastic sarcoma of the kidney (ASK) is a rare and newly recognized renal neoplasm. To date, only 27 cases have been reported in the literature. So the authors present an additional case to share the experience on an extremely rare entity.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☒ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54197

Title: Rare anaplastic sarcoma of the kidney: A case report

Reviewer's code: 00188507

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Doctor, Lecturer

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-05

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-14 09:24

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-14 09:35

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The authors reported the rare case of anaplastic sarcoma of the kidney. Overall, the case is clearly presented and the manuscript is well written, therefore can be considered for its publication as in this form. It would be nice, however, if the authors could add the information of the chemotherapy summarized in Table. (for e.g., the regimen or the chemo used)

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 54197

Title: Rare anaplastic sarcoma of the kidney: A case report

Reviewer's code: 02584466

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Emeritus Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Taiwan

Manuscript submission date: 2020-02-05

Reviewer chosen by: Le Zhang

Reviewer accepted review: 2020-02-17 14:08

Reviewer performed review: 2020-02-18 20:00

Review time: 1 Day and 5 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report presents a rare type of sarcoma of the kidney with poor outcome. The report needs improvement of its English and a couple of other revisions. I will provide below the suggested changes: A. English language suggestions Abstract, Case summary, first sentence: Change "...healthy woman who presented with..." to "...healthy woman presented with..." Core tip, second sentence. Change to "The authors summarized the demographic, clinical, and prognostic features of the 28 ASK cases reported in the literature." Core tip, third sentence and Conclusion, last word: Change "prognoses" to "prognosis" Case presentation, 1st paragraph, 3rd sentence. change "..., and the bodyweight loss..." to "...and body weight loss..." Discussion, 1st paragraph, 3rd sentence: Change "Whereas ASK is..." to "ASK is..." Discussion, 2nd paragraph 3rd sentence: Change "...the definite diagnosis..." to "the definitive diagnosis..." Discussion, 2nd paragraph, 3rd sentence: change "...and CT scan; that were predominant..." to "...or CT scan, and the presence of predominant..." Discussion, 5th paragraph (bottom in page 9), 2nd sentence: change "In the total 28 patients,..." to "In these 28 patients,..." Discussion, 5th paragraph, 3rd sentence: Change the first part of the sentence to "Female sex (67.9% of the 28 patients) and right kidney involvement (68% of the 25 patients with known tumor site) were more frequent..." B. Other suggestions Types of chemotherapy used to treat this tumor should be presented in the Discussion The proposed management of this variety of renal sarcoma in the Conclusion section should be changed. My suggestion, based on the findings in Table 1 of lethal outcome in a 5-year old patient and in two patients who were initially classified as having stage 1 of the disease, is to propose that all patients with ASK should be offered radical nephrectomy, en block resection of adjacent organs and chemotherapy, and state that further experience could modify this approach in the future.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ No